

1 **DRAFT SCIENTIFIC OPINION**

2 **Scientific Opinion on Dietary Reference Values for magnesium<sup>1</sup>**

3 **EFSA Panel on Dietetic Products, Nutrition and Allergies (EFSA NDA Panel)<sup>2,3</sup>**

4 European Food Safety Authority (EFSA NDA Panel), Parma, Italy

5 **ABSTRACT**

6 Following a request from the European Commission, the Panel on Dietetic Products, Nutrition and Allergies  
7 (NDA) derived Dietary Reference Values (DRVs) for magnesium. The Panel considers that Average  
8 Requirements (ARs) and Population Reference Intakes (PRIs) for magnesium cannot be derived for adults,  
9 infants and children, and therefore defines Adequate Intakes (AIs), based on observed intakes in healthy  
10 populations in the EU. This approach considers the range of average intakes of magnesium estimated by EFSA  
11 from dietary surveys in children and adults in nine EU countries. For adults, an AI for magnesium is set at  
12 350 mg/day for men and 300 mg/day for women. For children aged 1 to < 3 years, an AI for magnesium is set at  
13 160 mg/day for both sexes. For children aged 3 to < 10 years, an AI for magnesium is set at 230 mg/day for both  
14 sexes. For children aged 10 to < 18 years, an AI for magnesium is set at 300 mg/day for boys and 250 mg/day for  
15 girls. For infants aged 7–11 months, an AI for magnesium of 80 mg/day is derived by extrapolating upwards from  
16 the estimated magnesium intake in exclusively breast-fed infants aged 0–6 months and by considering observed  
17 average intakes in the few surveys for which data were available. For pregnant and lactating women, the Panel  
18 considers that there is no evidence for an increased need for magnesium, and the same AI is set as for non-  
19 pregnant, non-lactating women.

20 © European Food Safety Authority, 20YY

22 **KEY WORDS**

23 magnesium, balance, observed intake, Adequate Intake, Dietary Reference Value

---

<sup>1</sup> On request from the European Commission, Question No EFSA-Q-2011-01215, endorsed for public consultation on 23 April 2015.

<sup>2</sup> Panel members: Carlo Agostoni, Roberto Berni Canani, Susan Fairweather-Tait, Marina Heinonen, Hannu Korhonen, Sébastien La Vieille, Rosangela Marchelli, Ambroise Martin, Androniki Naska, Monika Neuhäuser-Berthold, Grażyna Nowicka, Yolanda Sanz, Alfonso Siani, Anders Sjödin, Martin Stern, Sean (J.J.) Strain, Inge Tetens, Daniel Tomé, Dominique Turck and Hans Verhagen. Correspondence: [nda@efsa.europa.eu](mailto:nda@efsa.europa.eu)

<sup>3</sup> Acknowledgement: The Panel wishes to thank the members of the Working Group on Dietary Reference Values for minerals: Peter Aggett, Carlo Agostoni, Susan Fairweather-Tait, Marianne Geleijnse, Ambroise Martin, Harry McArdle, Androniki Naska, Hildegard Przyrembel, and Alfonso Siani for the preparatory work on this scientific opinion.

Suggested citation: EFSA NDA Panel (EFSA Panel on Dietetic Products, Nutrition and Allergies), 2015. Draft scientific opinion on Dietary Reference Values for magnesium. EFSA Journal 2015;volume(issue):NNNN, 64 pp. doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2015.NNNN

## 24 SUMMARY

25 Following a request from the European Commission, the EFSA Panel on Dietetic Products, Nutrition  
26 and Allergies (EFSA NDA Panel) was asked to deliver a scientific opinion on Dietary Reference  
27 Values for the European population, including magnesium.

28 Magnesium is an alkaline earth metal. It occurs as the free cation  $Mg^{2+}$  in aqueous solutions or as the  
29 mineral part of a large variety of compounds, including chlorides, carbonates and hydroxides.  
30 Magnesium is a cofactor of more than 300 enzymatic reactions, acting either on the enzyme itself as a  
31 structural or catalytic component or on the substrate, especially for reactions involving ATP, which  
32 make magnesium essential in the intermediary metabolism for the synthesis of carbohydrates, lipids,  
33 nucleic acids and proteins as well as for specific actions in various organs in the neuromuscular or  
34 cardiovascular system.

35 Magnesium deficiency can cause hypocalcaemia and hypokalaemia, leading to neurological or cardiac  
36 symptoms when it is associated with marked hypomagnesaemia. Due to the widespread involvement  
37 of magnesium in numerous physiological functions and the metabolic interactions between  
38 magnesium and other minerals, it is difficult to relate magnesium deficiency to specific symptoms.

39 Magnesium absorption takes place in the distal intestine, mainly as the ionised form. Percentage  
40 absorption is generally considered to be 40–50 %, but figures from 10 to 70 % have also been  
41 reported. Magnesium absorption can be inhibited by phytic acid and phosphate and enhanced by the  
42 fermentation of soluble dietary fibre, though the physiological relevance of these interactions at  
43 adequate intakes remains to be established.

44 The majority of the body magnesium content is stored in bone (about 60 %) and muscle (about 25 %).  
45 A small amount is present in the serum, mainly as the free cation. Most cells are able to actively and  
46 rapidly buffer magnesium loss or accumulation through the involvement of specific magnesium  
47 transporters. The kidney plays a major role in magnesium homeostasis and maintenance of serum  
48 concentration. Urinary magnesium excretion is increased by high natriuresis, osmotic load, and  
49 metabolic acidosis, and reduced by metabolic alkalosis, parathyroid hormone, and, possibly,  
50 calcitonin. A large part of the magnesium content of faeces stems from unabsorbed magnesium.  
51 Endogenous magnesium is lost through bile, pancreatic and intestinal juices and intestinal cells, and  
52 part of this can be reabsorbed. Magnesium losses through sweat are modest and very variable  
53 depending on the techniques used for sweat collection, and losses through menstruation are  
54 negligible.

55 There is some evidence that urinary magnesium concentration reflects magnesium intake. Urinary,  
56 faecal, serum and erythrocyte magnesium concentrations have been used for the assessment of  
57 magnesium status, with serum magnesium concentration being the most frequently used marker.  
58 However, the Panel considers that the usefulness of serum magnesium concentration as a marker of  
59 intake or status is questionable and that there are at present no appropriate biomarkers for magnesium  
60 status that can be used for deriving DRVs for magnesium.

61 The Panel notes that a recent pooled analysis of balance studies in adults suggests that zero  
62 magnesium balance may occur at a magnesium intake of 165 mg/day. The Panel also notes that results  
63 of some large-scale and long-term prospective observational studies point to an inverse relationship  
64 between magnesium intake and risk of diabetes mellitus type 2, mostly at higher magnesium intakes.

65 Foods rich in magnesium are nuts, whole grains and grain products, fish and seafood, several  
66 vegetables, legumes, berries, banana, and some coffee and cocoa beverage preparations. The  
67 magnesium content of tap/bottled water can make a significant contribution to intake. On the basis of  
68 data from 13 dietary surveys in nine EU countries, dietary intake of magnesium was estimated by

69 EFSA using food consumption data from the EFSA Comprehensive European Food Consumption  
70 Database and composition data from the EFSA Food Composition Database.

71 For both sexes combined, average magnesium intake ranged from 72 to 120 mg/day (25–45 mg/MJ,  
72 9.2–12.7 mg/kg body weight per day) in infants (< 1 year of age), from 153 to 188 mg/day (35–  
73 45 mg/MJ, 12.7–15.8 mg/kg body weight per day) in children aged 1 to < 3 years, from 184 to  
74 281 mg/day (28–43 mg/MJ, 7.6–13.0 mg/kg body weight per day) in children aged 3 to < 10 years,  
75 from 213 to 384 mg/day (28–44 mg/MJ, 4.2–7.7 mg/kg body weight per day) in children aged 10 to  
76 < 18 years, and from 232 to 439 mg/day (31–51 mg/MJ, 3.4–5.3 mg/kg body weight per day) in adults  
77 (≥ 18 years). Main food groups contributing to magnesium intake were grains and grain-based  
78 products, milk and milk products and coffee, cocoa, tea and infusions.

79 Considering all evidence available, i.e. from balance studies and prospective observational studies,  
80 the Panel decided to set an Adequate Intake (AI) based on observed intakes in several EU countries.  
81 For adults of all ages, the Panel proposed to set AIs according to sex. Considering the distribution of  
82 observed average intakes (males 264–439 mg/day; females 232–357 mg/day), the Panel proposed for  
83 all adult men above 18 years an AI of 350 mg/day, and for all adult women an AI of 300 mg/day, after  
84 rounding.

85 The Panel also decided to set an AI for infants aged 7–11 months and children based on observed  
86 intakes in several EU countries. For infants aged 7–11 months, an AI in line with the proposal of SCF  
87 (1993) of 80 mg/day was set. This value represents, after rounding, the midpoint (78 mg/day) of the  
88 range between 35 mg/day (magnesium intake estimated by extrapolation using isometric scaling from  
89 intakes in breast-fed infants aged 0–6 months) and 120 mg/day (highest value of the range of observed  
90 mean intakes in the EU countries for which data are available). For children aged 1 to < 10 years,  
91 considering the absence of a strong basis for a distinct value according to sex and the distribution of  
92 observed mean intakes, AIs were set at the midpoint of average intakes (160 mg/day for boys and girls  
93 aged 1 to < 3 years, and 230 mg/day for boys and girls aged 3 to < 10 years). For children aged 10 to  
94 < 18 years, considering the rather large differences in magnesium intakes between boys and girls, the  
95 Panel proposed to set AIs according to sex, and to select the midpoints of average intakes as AIs, i.e.  
96 300 mg/day for boys, and 250 mg/day for girls.

97 Considering that pregnancy induces only a small increase in magnesium requirement, which is likely  
98 covered by adaptive physiological mechanisms, the Panel considers that the AI for non-pregnant  
99 women also applies to pregnant women. For lactating women, considering that 25 mg/day are secreted  
100 with breast milk during the first six months of exclusive breastfeeding and the possibility of  
101 adaptation of magnesium metabolism, both at the level of absorption and elimination, the Panel  
102 considers that the AI for non-pregnant women also applies to lactating women.

103

104 **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

105	Abstract .....	1
106	Summary .....	2
107	Background as provided by the European Commission .....	6
108	Terms of reference as provided by the European Commission .....	6
109	Assessment .....	8
110	1. Introduction .....	8
111	2. Definition/category .....	8
112	2.1. Chemistry .....	8
113	2.2. Function of magnesium .....	8
114	2.2.1. Biochemical functions .....	8
115	2.2.2. Health consequences of deficiency and excess .....	8
116	2.2.2.1. Deficiency .....	8
117	2.2.2.2. Excess .....	9
118	2.3. Physiology and metabolism .....	9
119	2.3.1. Intestinal absorption .....	9
120	2.3.2. Transport in blood .....	9
121	2.3.3. Distribution to tissues .....	9
122	2.3.4. Storage .....	10
123	2.3.5. Elimination .....	10
124	2.3.5.1. Urine .....	10
125	2.3.5.2. Faeces .....	10
126	2.3.5.3. Skin and sweat .....	10
127	2.3.5.4. Menses .....	11
128	2.3.5.5. Breast milk .....	11
129	2.3.6. Interaction with other nutrients .....	12
130	2.4. Biomarkers .....	12
131	2.4.1. Serum/plasma magnesium concentration .....	13
132	2.4.2. Red blood cell magnesium concentration .....	13
133	2.4.3. Urinary magnesium excretion .....	13
134	2.4.4. Other potential biomarkers .....	14
135	2.4.5. Conclusions on biomarkers of intake and status .....	14
136	2.5. Effects of genotype .....	14
137	3. Dietary sources and intake data .....	15
138	3.1. Dietary sources .....	15
139	3.2. Dietary intake .....	15
140	4. Overview of Dietary Reference Values and recommendations .....	17
141	4.1. Adults .....	17
142	4.2. Infants and children .....	19
143	4.3. Pregnancy and lactation .....	21
144	5. Criteria (endpoints) on which to base Dietary Reference Values .....	23
145	5.1. Biomarkers as indicators of magnesium requirement .....	23
146	5.2. Balance studies on magnesium .....	23
147	5.2.1. Balance studies in adults .....	23
148	5.2.2. Balance studies and other indicators of requirement in children .....	24
149	5.3. Indicators of magnesium requirement in pregnancy .....	25
150	5.4. Indicators of magnesium requirement in lactation .....	25
151	5.5. Magnesium intake and health consequences .....	25
152	5.5.1. Cardiovascular disease-related outcomes .....	26
153	5.5.1.1. Blood pressure .....	26
154	5.5.1.2. Cardiovascular events .....	26
155	5.5.1.3. Stroke .....	27

156	5.5.1.4.	Arrhythmia.....	27
157	5.5.1.5.	Conclusions on cardiovascular disease-related outcomes .....	28
158	5.5.2.	Metabolic syndrome .....	28
159	5.5.3.	Diabetes mellitus type 2 .....	28
160	5.5.4.	Cancer.....	29
161	5.5.5.	Bone health-related outcomes .....	29
162	6.	Data on which to base Dietary Reference Values .....	30
163	6.1.	Adults.....	30
164	6.2.	Infants aged 7–11 months .....	31
165	6.3.	Children .....	31
166	6.4.	Pregnancy.....	32
167	6.5.	Lactation .....	32
168		Conclusions .....	32
169		Recommendations for research .....	33
170		References .....	34
171		Appendices .....	47
172	Appendix A.	Magnesium concentration in human milk of mothers of term infants .....	47
173	Appendix B.	Dietary surveys in the EFSA Comprehensive European Food Consumption	
174		database included in the nutrient intake calculation and number of subjects	
175		in the different age classes .....	49
176	Appendix C.	Magnesium intake in males in different surveys according to age classes and	
177		country.....	50
178	Appendix D.	Magnesium intake in females in different surveys according to age classes	
179		and country .....	52
180	Appendix E.	Minimum and maximum % contribution of different FoodEx2 level1 food	
181		groups to magnesium intake in males .....	54
182	Appendix F.	Minimum and maximum % contribution of different FoodEx2 level1 food	
183		groups to magnesium intake in females .....	55
184	Appendix G.	Balance studies in adults with adaptation periods of $\geq$ 12 days.....	56
185	Appendix H.	Balance studies in children.....	59
186	Appendix I.	Characteristics of prospective cohort studies of magnesium intake and risk	
187		of type 2 diabetes (adapted from Dong et al. (2011)) .....	61
188		Abbreviations .....	63
189			

190 **BACKGROUND AS PROVIDED BY THE EUROPEAN COMMISSION**

191 The scientific advice on nutrient intakes is important as the basis of Community action in the field of  
192 nutrition, for example such advice has in the past been used as the basis of nutrition labelling. The  
193 Scientific Committee for Food (SCF) report on nutrient and energy intakes for the European  
194 Community dates from 1993. There is a need to review and, if necessary, to update these earlier  
195 recommendations to ensure that the Community action in the area of nutrition is underpinned by the  
196 latest scientific advice.

197 In 1993, the SCF adopted an opinion on the nutrient and energy intakes for the European  
198 Community.<sup>4</sup> The report provided Reference Intakes for energy, certain macronutrients and  
199 micronutrients, but it did not include certain substances of physiological importance, for example  
200 dietary fibre.

201 Since then new scientific data have become available for some of the nutrients, and scientific advisory  
202 bodies in many European Union Member States and in the United States have reported on  
203 recommended dietary intakes. For a number of nutrients these newly established (Department of  
204 National Health and Welfare) recommendations differ from the reference intakes in the SCF (1993)  
205 report. Although there is considerable consensus between these newly derived (Department of  
206 National Health and Welfare) recommendations, differing opinions remain on some of the  
207 recommendations. Therefore, there is a need to review the existing EU Reference Intakes in the light  
208 of new scientific evidence, and taking into account the more recently reported national  
209 recommendations. There is also a need to include dietary components that were not covered in the  
210 SCF opinion of 1993, such as dietary fibre, and to consider whether it might be appropriate to  
211 establish reference intakes for other (essential) substances with a physiological effect.

212 In this context EFSA is requested to consider the existing Population Reference Intakes for energy,  
213 micro- and macronutrients and certain other dietary components, to review and complete the SCF  
214 recommendations, in the light of new evidence, and in addition advise on a Population Reference  
215 Intake for dietary fibre.

216 For communication of nutrition and healthy eating messages to the public it is generally more  
217 appropriate to express recommendations for the intake of individual nutrients or substances in food-  
218 based terms. In this context EFSA is asked to provide assistance on the translation of nutrient based  
219 recommendations for a healthy diet into food based recommendations intended for the population as a  
220 whole.

221 **TERMS OF REFERENCE AS PROVIDED BY THE EUROPEAN COMMISSION**

222 In accordance with Article 29 (1)(a) and Article 31 of Regulation (EC) No. 178/2002,<sup>5</sup> the  
223 Commission requests EFSA to review the existing advice of the Scientific Committee for Food on  
224 population reference intakes for energy, nutrients and other substances with a nutritional or  
225 physiological effect in the context of a balanced diet which, when part of an overall healthy lifestyle,  
226 contribute to good health through optimal nutrition.

227 In the first instance EFSA is asked to provide advice on energy, macronutrients and dietary fibre.  
228 Specifically advice is requested on the following dietary components:

- 229
- Carbohydrates, including sugars;

---

<sup>4</sup> Scientific Committee for Food, Nutrient and energy intakes for the European Community, Reports of the Scientific Committee for Food 31<sup>st</sup> series, Office for Official Publication of the European Communities, Luxembourg, 1993.

<sup>5</sup> Regulation (EC) No 178/2002 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 28 January 2002 laying down the general principles and requirements of food law, establishing the European Food Safety Authority and laying down procedures in matters of food safety. OJ L 31, 1.2.2002, p. 1-24.

230 • Fats, including saturated fatty acids, polyunsaturated fatty acids and monounsaturated fatty  
231 acids, *trans* fatty acids;

232 • Protein;

233 • Dietary fibre.

234 Following on from the first part of the task, EFSA is asked to advise on population reference intakes  
235 of micronutrients in the diet and, if considered appropriate, other essential substances with a  
236 nutritional or physiological effect in the context of a balanced diet which, when part of an overall  
237 healthy lifestyle, contribute to good health through optimal nutrition.

238 Finally, EFSA is asked to provide guidance on the translation of nutrient based dietary advice into  
239 guidance, intended for the European population as a whole, on the contribution of different foods or  
240 categories of foods to an overall diet that would help to maintain good health through optimal  
241 nutrition (food-based dietary guidelines).

242

243 **ASSESSMENT**244 **1. Introduction**

245 In 1993, the Scientific Committee for Food (SCF, 1993) adopted an opinion on the nutrient and  
246 energy intakes for the European Community. For magnesium, the SCF did not set a Population  
247 Reference Intake (PRI) but an Acceptable Range of Intakes for adults, including pregnant and  
248 lactating women. For children, approximate PRIs were defined on the basis of body weight.

249 **2. Definition/category**250 **2.1. Chemistry**

251 Magnesium (atomic number 12, atomic mass 24.30 Da) is an alkaline earth metal belonging to the  
252 third group of elements in the periodic table; it is the eighth most abundant element in the earth crust  
253 and the eleventh in the human body. Like calcium, its usual oxidation state is +2 and, due to its strong  
254 reactivity, it does not occur in the native metallic state, but rather as the free cation  $Mg^{2+}$  in aqueous  
255 solution or as the mineral part of a large variety of compounds, including chlorides, carbonates and  
256 hydroxides. It can react with nitrogen, phosphorous, sulphur and halides; however, its bond to protein  
257 or other biological molecules tends to be weaker than that of calcium (Saris et al., 2000). There are  
258 three natural stable isotopes, i.e.  $^{24}Mg$  (natural abundance 79 %),  $^{25}Mg$  (10 %) and  $^{26}Mg$  (11 %).

259 **2.2. Function of magnesium**260 **2.2.1. Biochemical functions**

261 Magnesium is a cofactor of more than 300 enzymatic reactions, acting either on the substrate  
262 (especially for reactions involving ATP, where its binding to the nucleotide induces an adequate  
263 conformation and helps to weaken the terminal O–P bond of ATP, thereby facilitating the transfer of  
264 phosphate (Sanders et al., 1999; Rude and Gruber, 2004) or on the enzyme itself as a structural or  
265 catalytic component. Since ATP utilisation is involved in many metabolic pathways, magnesium is  
266 essential in the intermediary metabolism for the synthesis of carbohydrates, lipids, nucleic acids and  
267 proteins as well as for specific actions in various organs such as the neuromuscular or cardiovascular  
268 system. Magnesium can interfere with calcium at the membrane level or bind to membrane  
269 phospholipids, thus modulating membrane permeability and electrical characteristics. Magnesium has  
270 an impact on bone health through its participation to the structure of hydroxyapatite crystals in bone.

271 **2.2.2. Health consequences of deficiency and excess**272 **2.2.2.1. Deficiency**

273 Magnesium deficiency can be induced by many different causes, including renal and gastrointestinal  
274 dysfunctions; magnesium deficiency can cause hypocalcaemia and hypokalaemia, leading to  
275 neurological or cardiac symptoms when it is associated with marked hypomagnesaemia  
276 ( $< 0.5$  mmol/L). Due to the widespread involvement of magnesium in numerous physiological  
277 functions and the metabolic interactions between magnesium and other minerals, it is difficult to  
278 relate magnesium deficiency to specific symptoms such as neuromuscular irritability, muscle tremors  
279 and cramps, fasciculation, wasting and weakness, restless leg syndrome, fibromyalgia, i.e. conditions  
280 where the use of magnesium supplementation has led to inconsistent results (Brown et al., 2012).

281 2.2.2.2. Excess

282 A Tolerable Upper Intake Level (UL) was determined by the SCF (2001) based on studies in which  
283 mild diarrhoea occurred after ingestion of magnesium supplements and in which information on  
284 magnesium intake from foods and beverages was not available. A No Observed Adverse Effect Level  
285 (NOAEL) of 250 mg/day was derived and, using an uncertainty factor of 1, a UL of 250 mg/day was  
286 established for adults, including pregnant and lactating women, and children from 4 years onwards.  
287 Due to lack of data, a UL could not be established for children aged 1–3 years. The UL was  
288 established for readily dissociable magnesium salts (e.g., chloride, sulphate, aspartate, lactate) and  
289 compounds like magnesium oxide in nutritional supplements, water, or added to foods and beverages,  
290 but does not include magnesium normally present in foods and beverages.

291 **2.3. Physiology and metabolism**

292 **2.3.1. Intestinal absorption**

293 Magnesium absorption takes place in the distal intestine, mainly in ionised form through a  
294 paracellular process via tight junctions and is driven by electrochemical gradients and solvent drag.  
295 Saturable transcellular absorption seems to be significant only at low dietary intakes. At usual intakes,  
296 magnesium absorption is only loosely regulated; percentage absorption is generally considered to be  
297 40–50 %, but figures from 10 to 70 % have also been reported. The fractional absorption of  
298 magnesium decreases with magnesium intake, which makes the comparison between studies difficult  
299 (Sabatier et al., 2003b). Magnesium absorption can be inhibited by phytic acid and phosphate and  
300 enhanced by the fermentation of soluble dietary fibre, though the physiological relevance of these  
301 interactions at adequate intakes remains to be established.

302 **2.3.2. Transport in blood**

303 Approximately 0.3 % of body magnesium is in the serum, as a free cation which is the bioactive form  
304 (about 54 %), a protein-bound form (about 33 %, mainly to albumin (75 %)) and as anion complexes  
305 (about 13 %) (Elin, 1987). Magnesium concentrations in blood cells are higher than in the serum:  
306 eight times in reticulocytes, three times in red blood cells.

307 **2.3.3. Distribution to tissues**

308 Magnesium is approximately equally distributed in bone and soft tissues, less than 1 % being present  
309 in blood compartments. Cellular magnesium concentrations are constantly high, in the range of 17–  
310 20 mmol/L (Swaminathan, 2003), despite rapid movements across cell membranes through multiple  
311 carriers and channels. Intracellular concentrations have been observed to decrease linearly with  
312 increasing age, without parallel changes in plasma magnesium concentration (Barbagallo et al., 2000;  
313 Barbagallo et al., 2009).

314 The most important transport systems to tissues appears to be the transient receptor potential  
315 melastatin 7 (TRPM7), associated with cell proliferation or apoptosis; TRPM7, which is also  
316 permeable to calcium, is negatively regulated by intracellular magnesium and magnesium-nucleotide  
317 complexes (Romani, 2011; Park et al., 2014). TRPM6, functioning with TRPM7 or independently, is  
318 specifically expressed in the colon and distal renal tubule, where it plays a role in the reabsorption of  
319 magnesium (Woudenberg-Vrenken et al., 2009; Romani, 2011). Some other non-specific transporters  
320 are also involved in magnesium transfer, such as claudins, Mag T1, SLC41, ACDP, NIPA and  
321 Huntingtin across cell membranes, Mrs2 across mitochondrial membranes and MMgt across Golgi  
322 membranes (Romani, 2011). As shown in *in vitro* studies, through the action of magnesium  
323 transporters enabling large magnesium fluxes, most cells are able to actively and rapidly buffer  
324 magnesium loss or accumulation (Romani, 2011). In the whole body, compartmental analysis using

325 stable isotopes showed the existence of at least two major extraplasma compartments: the first  
326 compartment represents 80 % of the rapidly exchangeable pool with an exchange rate of 48 mg/hour;  
327 the second pool has a faster exchange rate of 179 mg/hour, the sum of these rapidly exchangeable  
328 compartments amounting to around 25 % of the magnesium body pool (Sabatier et al., 2003a).

#### 329 **2.3.4. Storage**

330 Total body magnesium content in a healthy adult is around 20–28 g (Rude, 2014). Of this, about 60 %  
331 is in bone (Musso, 2009), either strongly bound to apatite where it is difficult to mobilise or loosely  
332 adsorbed at the surface of mineral crystals, where it can be easily mobilised in response to variation in  
333 dietary supply (Laires et al., 2004). About 25 % of body magnesium is in muscle where mitochondria  
334 are considered to be the intracellular storage site (Kubota et al., 2005; Wolf and Trapani, 2008).

#### 335 **2.3.5. Elimination**

##### 336 2.3.5.1. Urine

337 The kidney plays a major role in magnesium homeostasis and maintenance of serum concentrations.  
338 Around 80 % of serum magnesium is ultrafiltrable through the glomerulus, but only around 3 % of the  
339 filtered fraction appears in the urine, due to an efficient reabsorption taking place mainly (60–70 %)   
340 in the thick ascending loop of Henle. This transport is being directly related to sodium chloride  
341 reabsorption and the positive luminal voltage in this segment. The main stimuli that increase urinary  
342 magnesium excretion are high natriuresis, osmotic load, and metabolic acidosis; those that reduce it  
343 are metabolic alkalosis, parathyroid hormone, and, possibly, calcitonin (Musso, 2009). The remaining  
344 part of the reabsorption takes place in the distal convoluted tubule via an active transcellular  
345 mechanism that finally controls the amount excreted in the urine (Dai et al., 2001).

##### 346 2.3.5.2. Faeces

347 A large part of the magnesium content of faeces stems from unabsorbed magnesium (Lakshmanan et  
348 al. (1984). The endogenous routes of elimination of absorbed magnesium through the digestive tract  
349 are bile, pancreatic and intestinal juices, and intestinal cells; part of these endogenous losses can be  
350 reabsorbed (Swaminathan, 2003). Using stable isotopes, endogenous faecal excretion has been  
351 determined to be  $49 \pm 11$  mg/day in six healthy men aged 26–41 years (Sabatier et al., 2003a), around  
352 15 mg/day (0.1–0.9 mg/kg body weight per day) in 9- to 14 year-old boys and girls (Abrams et al.,  
353 1997), or ranging from 4.7 to 21.7 mg/day in five girls aged 12–14 years, without influence of calcium  
354 intake (Sojka et al., 1997). Basal losses at zero intake deduced from a compilation of balance studies  
355 in adults (Hunt and Johnson (2006); see Section 5.2.1) are around 20 mg/day (around 0.31 mg/kg  
356 body weight per day).

##### 357 2.3.5.3. Skin and sweat

358 Reported sweat magnesium concentrations are very variable, from 3 to 60 mg/L depending on the  
359 environment, with a hot and humid environment associated with the highest losses (Nielsen and  
360 Lukaski, 2006). After 24-hour exposure to 37°C, sweat losses amounted to 25 % of the total daily  
361 magnesium loss (Consolazio et al., 1963). Acclimatisation may reduce sweat magnesium  
362 concentration by around 40 % (Chinevere et al., 2008), though this finding may have been due to  
363 technical issues rather than an adaptive physiological process (Ely et al., 2013). In 7- to 9-year-old  
364 boys involved in sedentary activities in a metabolic unit, magnesium total body sweat loss was very  
365 variable but also very low, from 115 to 300 µg/day, representing only 0.05 to 0.13 % of the intake  
366 (range 172–300 mg/day). Daily loss through sweat was measured to be around 2 mg/day (0.6 % of the  
367 total output) in six men (McDonald and Margen, 1979). Costa et al. (1969) measured during exercise

368 a sweat magnesium concentration of around 15 µg/g. During work in a hot environment (27°C),  
369 Beller et al. (1975) determined the magnesium concentration of sweat to range from 1.6 to 5.5 mg/L.  
370 With a different technique collecting the totality of sweat, Shirreffs and Maughan (1997) measured a  
371 concentration of  $12.2 \pm 12.2$  mg/L during four repeated trials in five healthy young men and women.  
372 Montain et al. (2007) determined a sweat magnesium concentration of  $1.3 \pm 0.6$  mg/L in seven heat-  
373 acclimated subjects (6 males, 1 female) completing several hours of treadmill exercise at 27°C. In six  
374 healthy women aged  $27 \pm 4$  years, a whole body magnesium loss of  $35 \pm 13$  mg/day was measured; in  
375 this experiment, a patch technique has been shown to overestimate magnesium sweat loss by 3.6 times  
376 (Palacios et al., 2003). Whole body sweat magnesium concentration was  $14.5 \pm 4.8$  mg/L for eight  
377 athletes, the patch technique overestimating this value by 48 % (Baker et al., 2011).

378 Hunt and Johnson (2006) reported on whole-body surface losses of magnesium in 11 young men.  
379 Subjects wore cotton suits for 48 hours after which time their skin was rinsed with deionised water.  
380 Whole-body surface losses of 4.1 mg/day were measured and considered to be negligible.

381 The Panel notes that very different figures have been reported for magnesium sweat losses, which can  
382 be at least partially explained by different techniques for sweat collection; the highest values are  
383 reported after intense exercise and/or in a hot environment. At moderate physical activity performed  
384 around thermoneutrality, the Panel considers that magnesium losses through sweat are likely modest,  
385 in the range of 1–5 mg/day, on the basis of a daily sweat volume of around 0.5 L/day (Shirreffs and  
386 Maughan, 2005; Subudhi et al., 2005).

#### 387 2.3.5.4. Menses

388 Hunt and Schofield (1969) measured menstrual magnesium losses in five women (20–40 years of  
389 age); for the whole menstrual period, these varied from  $2 \pm 1$  mg to  $7 \pm 5$  mg in different experimental  
390 settings. On a daily basis, this loss appears to be marginal. Hunt and Johnson (2006) reported on  
391 menstrual magnesium losses amounting to 2.3 mg/day, with a range of 0.3–6.5 mg/day, though the  
392 source of these data is unclear. Considering a magnesium concentration in whole blood of around 35–  
393 40 mg/L in healthy women in the control group (Abdulsahib, 2011) and the volume of blood loss  
394 (median 18–30 mL per menstrual period (Hallberg et al., 1966; Harvey et al., 2005)), a median  
395 magnesium loss of around 0.7–1.2 mg/menstrual period can be calculated.

396 The Panel considers that magnesium losses through menstruation in women are negligible.

#### 397 2.3.5.5. Breast milk

398 Two comprehensive literature searches were performed on breast milk magnesium concentrations  
399 (periods January 1990 to October 2011 (Brown et al., 2012) and October 2010 to January 2014  
400 (LASER Analytica, 2014). These searches identified 16 studies on magnesium concentration in breast  
401 milk of mothers of term infants, one of which was a review (Dorea, 2000). Studies not yet considered  
402 in the review by Dorea (2000) are listed in Appendix A.

403 The studies report cross-sectional sample data from 1–365 days of lactation. Mean magnesium  
404 concentration from all breast milk studies ranged between 23 and 35 mg/L, in line with the conclusion  
405 of the review by Dorea (2000) where a median value of 31 mg/L from a range of 15 to 64 mg/L is  
406 provided. Dorea (2000) reported that 75 % of reported mean magnesium concentrations in breast milk  
407 were below 35 mg/L. Variation is likely due to different analytical techniques employed within  
408 studies and due to differences in dietary patterns between countries (Parr et al., 1991).

409 For the studies listed in Appendix A, there was no clear correlation between stage of lactation and  
410 breast milk magnesium concentration. Hunt et al. (2005) found that there was a relatively wide  
411 variation between subjects at a given stage of lactation.

412 Dengel et al. (1994) provided a controlled diet (218 mg/day) to six lactating, six non-lactating and  
413 seven never-pregnant women; from measurement of magnesium concentration in breast milk ( $33.3 \pm$   
414  $0.2$  mg/L) and estimation of infant's intake by test weighing it was concluded that  $25.2 \pm 1.5$  mg/day  
415 of magnesium was provided to the infant via breast milk.

416 The Panel considers that the magnesium concentration of mature human milk is 31 mg/L. Based on a  
417 mean milk transfer of 0.8 L/day (Butte et al., 2002; FAO/WHO/UNU, 2004; EFSA NDA Panel, 2009)  
418 and a concentration of magnesium in mature breast milk of 31 mg/L, a secretion of 25 mg/day of  
419 magnesium in breast milk is estimated during the first six months of lactation.

### 420 **2.3.6. Interaction with other nutrients**

421 On the basis of physiology, metabolism and biochemistry, many interactions of magnesium with other  
422 minerals, vitamins or substances present in foods can be suspected, some of which have already been  
423 mentioned; most of these interactions have been the subject of a very limited number of studies,  
424 frequently with a high risk of bias (Brown et al., 2012). Balance studies performed either in children  
425 or in adults did not detect an interaction between magnesium and calcium balances (Greger et al.,  
426 1978; Spencer et al., 1994; Andon et al., 1996; Abrams et al., 1997; Milne and Nielsen, 2000; Klevay  
427 and Milne, 2002). However, in two studies calcium balance was significantly more positive under  
428 conditions of negative magnesium balance (magnesium intake 118 mg/day) than with a positive  
429 magnesium balance (magnesium intake 318 mg/day) (Nielsen, 2004; Nielsen et al., 2007). Sodium  
430 intake might have some influence on magnesium absorption and balance (Nishimuta et al., 2006).  
431 Intake of 53 mg zinc/day during 90 days can decrease magnesium balance (Nielsen and Milne, 2004).  
432 There are some studies indicating a relationship with protein intake, possibly through increased  
433 apparent absorption. For example, in boys aged 13–14 years, Schwartz et al. (1973) showed that zero  
434 magnesium balance was obtained with an intake of 4.6 mg/kg body weight per day for a high-protein  
435 diet (265 mg nitrogen/kg body weight per day, i.e. 1.65 g protein/kg body weight per day) and with an  
436 intake of 7.6 mg/kg body weight per day for a low-protein diet (123 mg nitrogen/kg body weight per  
437 day, i.e. 0.77 g protein/kg body weight per day<sup>6</sup>) (see Appendix H). The balance study of Wisker et al.  
438 (1991) showed that % faecal magnesium excretion and balances differed significantly between low-  
439 fibre and high-fibre diets containing adequate amounts of protein; there is no clear effect in the study  
440 of Kelsay and Prather (1983) of diets low and high in fibre and oxalic acid.

441 Manganese shares physical properties that enable it to be interchangeable with magnesium in  
442 enzymatic phosphate transfer reactions and it has been used as a probe to study the role of magnesium  
443 in these processes, particularly in energy metabolism. The relevance of this inter-relationship to  
444 human dietary requirements is uncertain, but it is noteworthy that pigs fed 25 % of the recommended  
445 intake of magnesium had an increased incidence of cardiac changes and sudden death (Miller et al.,  
446 2000).

447 The Panel considers that data on interactions between magnesium and other minerals, protein or fibre  
448 are limited and cannot be used for setting DRVs for magnesium.

### 449 **2.4. Biomarkers**

450 For the assessment of magnesium status, the concentrations of magnesium in urine, faeces, serum and  
451 erythrocytes have been measured. Witkowski et al. (2011) assessed methods for determining  
452 magnesium status in humans and undertook meta-analyses. This systematic review included a total of  
453 27 studies (randomised controlled trials, controlled trials, depletion–repletion or depletion–only  
454 studies). However, conclusions about the responsiveness of the identified relevant biomarkers and

---

<sup>6</sup> The PRI for protein for boys aged 13 years is 0.9 g/kg body weight per day and it is 0.89 g/kg body weight per day for boys aged 14 years (EFSA NDA Panel, 2012).

455 type, dose, or length of supplementation were not possible and there was a high degree of  
456 heterogeneity between studies.

#### 457 **2.4.1. Serum/plasma magnesium concentration**

458 The sensitivity of serum/plasma magnesium concentration to magnesium intake is low. Combining  
459 data from 18 supplementation arms (daily doses of 197 mg to 23 mg/kg body weight for 3–52 weeks)  
460 and four depletion/repletion or depletion-only studies with 322 participants, Witkowski et al. (2011)  
461 showed a significant response of serum/plasma magnesium concentration to magnesium intake for all  
462 studies. However, the depletion/repletion or depletion-only studies did not reveal changes in  
463 serum/plasma magnesium concentration in response to changes in magnesium intake. Others have  
464 noted a lack of association between magnesium intake via self-selected diets and plasma magnesium  
465 concentration (Lakshmanan et al., 1984). In the study of Misialek et al. (2013), when stratifying for  
466 serum magnesium concentration and relating this to magnesium intake, the quintiles of serum  
467 magnesium concentration correspond to very similar average magnesium intakes, i.e. from 247 to  
468 257 mg/day, whereas the quintiles of dietary intake range from less than 180 mg/day to more than  
469 320 mg/day.

470 Serum magnesium concentration remains within a narrow range. Based on data from the US National  
471 Health and Nutrition Examination Survey I (5<sup>th</sup> and 95<sup>th</sup> percentiles, Lowenstein and Stanton (1986), it  
472 has been suggested that values below 0.75 mmol/L may indicate magnesium deficiency and values  
473 above 0.96 mmol/L may indicate excessive intakes; however, it has also been suggested that a serum  
474 magnesium concentration within this range cannot totally rule out the possibility of magnesium  
475 deficiency (Arnaud, 2008). Serum magnesium concentration remains constant with increasing age  
476 (Barbagallo et al., 2009).

477 Thus, despite serum/plasma magnesium concentration being the most frequently used biomarker for  
478 magnesium, the Panel considers that the usefulness of serum/plasma magnesium concentration as a  
479 marker of intake or status is questionable.

480 Theoretically, ionised magnesium in plasma, serum or blood would be a better marker of functional  
481 magnesium. However, the information is limited and the few studies available did not indicate that  
482 ionised magnesium changes in response to changes in magnesium intake (Durlach et al., 2002;  
483 Witkowski et al., 2011).

#### 484 **2.4.2. Red blood cell magnesium concentration**

485 Erythrocytes contain a high concentration of magnesium ( $2.3 \pm 0.24$  mmol/L of packed cells; ionised  
486 magnesium  $0.2 \pm 0.2$  mmol/L of cell water (Millart et al., 1995)), which is required for ATP  
487 utilisation and some other metabolic functions. The relationship between magnesium intake and red  
488 blood cell magnesium concentration has been described as weak (Lakshmanan et al., 1984). Several  
489 weeks of low magnesium intake are needed for decreasing red blood cell magnesium concentration, so  
490 that this marker may reflect medium-term magnesium status.

491 Compared to red blood cell magnesium concentration, magnesium concentration in platelets or  
492 lymphocytes may better reflect muscle and tissue concentrations (Arnaud, 2008). However,  
493 Witkowski et al. (2011) point out the paucity of available information in humans.

#### 494 **2.4.3. Urinary magnesium excretion**

495 Magnesium intake (duplicate diet analysis of self-selected diets) and urinary magnesium  
496 concentration has been found to be correlated ( $r = 0.45$ ) (Lakshmanan et al., 1984). According to  
497 Witkowski et al. (2011), the combination of data from 15 supplementation arms (with doses between

498 200 mg magnesium given once and 23 mg/kg body weight per day given for 52 weeks) and three  
499 depletion/repletion or depletion-only studies including 363 subjects revealed a significant response of  
500 urinary magnesium excretion to a change in magnesium intake, though there was considerable  
501 heterogeneity between studies. The authors stressed that the low number of studies with few subjects  
502 per study precludes conclusions to be drawn about potential relations between biomarker  
503 responsiveness and type, dose, or length of supplementation. Moreover, magnesium intake from diet  
504 alone is not reported in all supplementation studies.

#### 505 **2.4.4. Other potential biomarkers**

506 The magnesium loading test has been proposed as a marker of magnesium status: when 24-hour  
507 urinary excretion of magnesium after a magnesium load is decreased, this is interpreted as an  
508 indicator of magnesium deficiency; however, there is no standardised protocol (Arnaud, 2008) and no  
509 consensus on the usefulness of the test (Elin, 2011; Günther, 2011). Similarly, hair and nail  
510 magnesium concentrations are difficult to interpret since the relationship to intake, deficiency or  
511 excess is still unclear (Arnaud, 2008).

512 Tissue magnesium concentrations (e.g. muscle) require invasive techniques and have not been  
513 frequently measured in human studies; non-invasive techniques such as neutron activation measuring  
514 magnesium in hand bones still require validation in clinical studies (Aslam et al., 2008).

515 Though magnesium is the cofactor of many enzymes, no functional biomarker has been identified to  
516 date; however, fasting C-peptide and plasma insulin concentrations have been proposed as possible  
517 markers following a study using a dose of supplemental magnesium above the UL (Chacko et al.,  
518 2011). The Panel notes the lack of specificity of these parameters for magnesium status.

#### 519 **2.4.5. Conclusions on biomarkers of intake and status**

520 Reviews on biomarkers of magnesium intake or status generally conclude that all the proposed  
521 markers have limitations (Elin, 1987, 1991; Franz, 2004; Arnaud, 2008; Witkowski et al., 2011). The  
522 Panel considers that there are at present no appropriate biomarkers for magnesium status. The Panel  
523 also considers that the suitability of urinary magnesium excretion as a marker of intake requires  
524 confirmation in well-designed studies.

### 525 **2.5. Effects of genotype**

526 From twin studies, heritability of magnesium control appears to be limited (only 27 % of the variance  
527 may be genetically determined) (Hunter et al., 2002) and the underlying genetic control system might  
528 be complex (Henrotte et al., 1990). Several genetic disorders of magnesium homeostasis have been  
529 characterised in a limited number of affected individuals (Weber et al., 2001; Schlingmann et al.,  
530 2004). Genome-wide association studies have identified several loci that influence serum magnesium  
531 concentrations (Meyer et al., 2010). Some common genetic variants of TRPM6 and seven genes have  
532 been associated with a higher risk for diabetes mellitus type 2 in case of magnesium intake below  
533 250 mg/day (Song et al., 2009), but this has not been confirmed in a large scale study combining the  
534 data from 15 prospective cohorts (Hruby et al., 2013). The Panel considers that there is currently no  
535 basis for taking into account the information on genotypes for the setting of DRVs for magnesium.

536 **3. Dietary sources and intake data**

537 **3.1. Dietary sources**

538 Foods rich in magnesium are nuts, whole grains and grain products, fish and seafood, several  
539 vegetables, legumes, berries, banana, and some coffee and cocoa beverage preparations. The  
540 magnesium content of tap/bottled water can make a significant contribution to intake.

541 Magnesium as magnesium acetate, magnesium carbonate, magnesium chloride, magnesium salts of  
542 citric acid, magnesium gluconate, magnesium glycerophosphate, magnesium salts of orthophosphoric  
543 acid, magnesium lactate, magnesium hydroxide, magnesium oxide, magnesium potassium citrate and  
544 magnesium sulphate may be added to both foods<sup>7</sup> and food supplements,<sup>8</sup> whereas magnesium L-  
545 ascorbate, magnesium bisglycinate, magnesium L-lysinate, magnesium malate, magnesium L-pidolate,  
546 magnesium pyruvate, magnesium succinate, magnesium taurate, magnesium acetyl taurate may be  
547 added to food supplements only.<sup>6</sup> The magnesium content of infant and follow-on formulae<sup>9</sup> and the  
548 maximum magnesium content of processed cereal-based foods and baby foods for infants and young  
549 children<sup>10</sup> is regulated.

550 **3.2. Dietary intake**

551 Dietary intake of magnesium was estimated by EFSA using the EFSA Comprehensive European Food  
552 Consumption Database (EFSA, 2011a) and the EFSA Food Composition Database, classified  
553 according to the food classification and description system FoodEx2 (EFSA, 2011b). Food  
554 consumption data from 13 dietary surveys from nine EU countries (Finland, France, Germany,  
555 Ireland, Italy, Latvia, the Netherlands, Sweden and the UK) were used. The data covered all age  
556 groups from infants to adults (Appendix B).

557 Nutrient composition data for magnesium were derived from the EFSA Nutrient Composition  
558 Database (Roe et al., 2013). Food composition information from Finland, France, Germany, Italy, the  
559 Netherlands, Sweden and the UK was used to calculate magnesium intake in these countries,  
560 assuming that the best intake estimate would be obtained when both the consumption data and the  
561 composition data are from the same country. For magnesium intake estimates of Ireland and Latvia,  
562 food composition data from the UK and Germany, respectively, were used, because no specific  
563 composition data from these countries were available. EFSA intake estimates are based on  
564 consumption of foods, either fortified or not (i.e. without dietary supplements). The amount of  
565 borrowed magnesium values in these datasets varied between 14 % and 91 %. Magnesium  
566 concentration was directly available for 2 795 food terms of the food consumption data used in this  
567 assessment at least in one of the included food composition databases, and was missing for all  
568 included countries for 673 consumed food items, to which either a value from another food (in case  
569 the food with missing value was consumed frequently or in high quantities or belonged to a food  
570 group with a high magnesium concentration), or a zero value (otherwise), was attributed.

571 After consistency checks and replacement of missing values for magnesium in the EFSA Food  
572 Composition Database, magnesium intake was calculated in mg/day and mg/MJ, for males (Appendix  
573 C) and females (Appendix D). Magnesium intake was also calculated in mg/kg body weight per day.  
574 For this, the intakes were divided by the body weight of the person, if provided to EFSA. Magnesium

<sup>7</sup> Regulation No 1925/2006 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 20 December 2006 on the addition of vitamins and minerals and of certain other substances to foods, OJ L 404, 30.12.2006, p. 26.

<sup>8</sup> Directive 2002/46/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 10 June 2002 on the approximation of the laws of the Member States relating to food supplements, OJ L 183, 12.7.2002, p. 51.

<sup>9</sup> Commission Directive 2006/141/EC of 22 December 2006 on infant formulae and follow-on formulae and amending Directive 1999/21/EC, OJ L 401, 30.12.2006, p.1.

<sup>10</sup> Commission Directive 2006/125/EC of 5 December 2006 on processed cereal-based foods and baby foods for infants and young children, OJ L 339, 6.12.2006, p. 16.

575 intake calculations were performed only on subjects with at least two reporting days. Food  
576 consumption data of infants (aged 1–11 months in the Italian survey, 4–11 months in the UK survey  
577 and 6 or 6–11 months in the Finnish or German survey, respectively), either formula-fed or breast-fed,  
578 were provided by four studies. The consumption of human milk was taken into account if the amount  
579 of human milk consumed (Italian survey and UK survey) or the number of breast milk consumption  
580 events (German survey) were reported. In case of the Italian survey, the data provider had estimated  
581 the human milk consumption prior to submitting the data to EFSA based on the number of eating  
582 occasions using standard portions per eating occasion. In the Finnish DIPP study only the information  
583 “breast fed infants” was available, but without any indication about the number of breast milk  
584 consumption events or the amount of breast milk consumed per event. For the German VELs study,  
585 the total amount of breast milk was calculated based on the observations by Paul et al. (1988) on  
586 breast milk consumption during one eating occasion at different ages, i.e. the amounts of breast milk  
587 consumed on one eating occasion was set to 135 g/eating occasion for infants between 6 and 7 months  
588 of age and to 100 g/eating occasion for infants between 8 and 12 months of age, as in the original  
589 report by Kersting and Clausen (2003). Food consumption data in children were provided by ten  
590 studies, and data on adults by eight studies, including one on pregnant girls and women. EFSA  
591 estimates are based on consumption of foods, either fortified or not (i.e. without dietary supplements).

592 For both sexes combined, average magnesium intake ranged from 72 to 120 mg/day (25–45 mg/MJ,  
593 9.2–12.7 mg/kg body weight per day) in infants (< 1 year of age), from 153 to 188 mg/day (35–  
594 45 mg/MJ, 12.7–15.8 mg/kg body weight per day) in children aged 1 to < 3 years, from 184 to  
595 281 mg/day (28–43 mg/MJ, 7.6–13.0 mg/kg body weight per day) in children aged 3 to < 10 years,  
596 from 213 to 384 mg/day (28–44 mg/MJ, 4.2–7.7 mg/kg body weight per day) in children aged 10 to  
597 < 18 years, and from 232 to 439 mg/day (31–51 mg/MJ, 3.4–5.3 mg/kg body weight per day) in adults  
598 of both sexes ( $\geq$  18 years). Average daily intake (but not energy-adjusted intake) was in most cases  
599 slightly higher in males (see Appendix C) compared to females (see Appendix D), mainly due to  
600 larger quantities of food consumed per day.

601 Main food groups contributing to magnesium intake were grains and grain-based products (up to 20–  
602 40 % in all groups except infants), milk and milk products (up to about 10–30 % of the total  
603 magnesium intake in children and less in older age classes) and coffee, cocoa, tea and infusions (up to  
604 about 20 % in adults) (see Appendices D and E). Differences in main contributors to magnesium  
605 intake between males and females were small.

606 EFSA’s magnesium intake estimates in mg/day were compared with published intake values from the  
607 same survey and dataset and the same age class, using the German EsKiMo and VELs surveys in  
608 children (Kersting and Clausen, 2003; Mensink et al., 2007), the DIPP study in Finnish children  
609 (Kyttälä et al., 2008; Kyttälä et al., 2010), the study in Finnish adolescents (Hoppu et al., 2010), the  
610 French national INCA2 survey (Afssa 2009), the Irish NANS (IUNA, 2011), the FINDIET 2012  
611 Survey (Helldán et al., 2013), the Italian INRAN-SCAI Survey (Sette et al., 2011), the Dutch National  
612 Dietary Survey (van Rossum et al., 2011), the Swedish national survey Riksmaten (Amcoff et al.,  
613 2012), the UK NDNS (Bates et al., 2012) and the DNSIYC-2011 Study in UK infants and toddlers  
614 (Lennox et al., 2013). EFSA’s intake estimates for the various surveys as compared to published  
615 values are shown in Table 1. Values below 100 % indicate that EFSA’s intake estimates are lower  
616 than published values and vice versa.

617 **Table 1:** EFSA’s average daily magnesium intake estimates, expressed as percentages of the  
618 intakes reported in the literature

Country	% of published intake (% range over different age classes in a specific survey)
Finland	93–110 % (DIPP, for ages ≥ 1 year), 105–106 % (Finnish adolescents), 94–97 % (FINDIET 2012)
France	96–109 % (INCA2)
Germany	82–86 % (VELS infants), 100–108 % (VELS children), 94–99 % (EsKiMo)
Ireland	111–120 % (NANS)
Italy	91 % (INRAN-SCAI, infants and young children), 104–108 % (children aged 3 to < 18 years), 117–121 % (adults)
NL	96–98 % (Dutch National Dietary Survey)
Sweden	113–119 % (Riksmaten)
UK	120–123 % (DNSIYC-2011), 108–118 % (NDNS–Rolling Programme, Years 1–3, for ages ≥ 3 years)

619

620 Comparisons had inherent limitations in case of the UK survey with published intake values from the  
621 first two years of the survey and EFSA data from the UK covering the first three years. In the survey  
622 in Finnish children aged 10–18 years, published values were for two consecutive days of dietary  
623 recall, while EFSA data comprised two 48-hour dietary recalls. Likewise, comparisons were not  
624 optimal for the EsKiMo study and the DIPP study, because the published intake values included  
625 supplement consumption, while the EFSA estimates are based on food consumption only. However,  
626 according to these publications (Mensink et al., 2007; Kytölä et al., 2010), magnesium supplements  
627 were not among the major contributors to magnesium intake in these age classes. A comparison could  
628 not be undertaken for the Latvian survey or the infants in the DIPP study, as no matching publication  
629 was available. The EFSA estimates differed up to about 10 % from the published values in Finland,  
630 France, Germany, and the Netherlands. For infants in the German VELs study the intakes were  
631 underestimates of 14–18 %. This is most probably due to differences in the composition data used for  
632 the intake estimations, because the quantification of breast milk consumption was done similarly both  
633 in the VELs study and in this assessment (Paul et al., 1988; Kersting and Clausen, 2003). The  
634 estimated Irish intakes showed to be higher by 11–20 % compared to published estimates, which may  
635 partly be due to the fact that data provided on composite dishes were almost completely disaggregated  
636 to ingredient level, thereby not capturing possible magnesium losses due to processing. EFSA intake  
637 estimates were also higher compared to published magnesium estimates for Sweden and the UK,  
638 which may partly be related to the high number of composite foods in these datasets, for which the  
639 national magnesium values for composite foods may have been more accurate compared to values of  
640 the somewhat limited list of composite foods in the FoodEx2 classification system.

641 Uncertainties in the estimates of all countries may be caused by inaccuracies in mapping food  
642 consumption data according to the FoodEx2 classification, in analysing or estimating magnesium  
643 composition for the food composition table, due to the use of borrowed magnesium values from other  
644 countries in the food composition database, and by replacing missing magnesium values by values of  
645 similar foods or food groups in the magnesium intake estimation process. These uncertainties may, in  
646 principle, cause both too high and too low estimates of magnesium intake. It is not possible to  
647 conclude which of these intake estimates would be closer to the actual magnesium intake.

#### 648 4. Overview of Dietary Reference Values and recommendations

##### 649 4.1. Adults

650 The German-speaking countries (D-A-CH, 2015) derived RIs based on results of balance studies  
651 (Jones et al., 1967; Marxhall et al., 1976; Lakshmanan et al., 1984; Wisker et al., 1991; IOM, 1997)

652 and urinary magnesium excretion before and after magnesium loading in older adults (Gullestad et al.,  
653 1994).

654 Previously, the Nordic countries had set Recommended Intakes (RIs) of 350 mg/day for men and  
655 280 mg/day for women. For this, evidence from a balance study had been considered showing that a  
656 magnesium intake of 3.4 mg/kg body weight per day resulted in neutral magnesium balance in almost  
657 all subjects (Jones et al., 1967). For the Nordic Nutrition Recommendations (NNR) 2012, it was  
658 considered that the new evidence including a pooled analysis of 27 balance studies pointing to neutral  
659 magnesium balances at lower intakes (Hunt and Johnson, 2006) than these RIs does not indicate that  
660 the values should be changed (Nordic Council of Ministers, 2014).

661 The World Health Organization/Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations  
662 (WHO/FAO, 2004) highlighted the uncertainties in previously used approaches to derive magnesium  
663 requirements. It was also stated that previous estimates by other authorities may have been  
664 overestimates. Based on magnesium intake on a body weight basis considered by similar committees  
665 in the US, the EU and the UK to maintain zero magnesium balance and considering especially balance  
666 studies enabling the development of an equilibrium (Hunt and Schofield, 1969; Marxhall et al., 1976;  
667 Mahalko et al., 1983; Andon et al., 1996) Recommended Nutrient Intakes were proposed which were  
668 denoted as provisional. WHO/FAO (2004) assumed that older adults have a lower requirement “as  
669 requirements for growth diminish”, but that at the same time absorption efficiency likely decreases as  
670 well.

671 On the basis of data from balance studies (Lakshmanan et al., 1984; Spencer et al., 1994), Afssa  
672 (2001) determined an Average Requirement (AR) for adults of 350 mg/day or 5 mg/kg body weight  
673 per day. By applying a coefficient of variation (CV) of 10 %, a PRI of 6 mg/kg body weight per day  
674 was set.

675 On the basis of balance studies conducted in men (Greger and Baier, 1983; Lakshmanan et al., 1984;  
676 Schwartz et al., 1986) and women (Lakshmanan et al., 1984; Wisker et al., 1991), IOM (1997) derived  
677 EARs of 330 mg/day for men and 255 mg/day for women aged 19 to 30 years. Applying a CV of  
678 10 % to these EARs resulted in Recommended Dietary Allowances (RDAs) of 400 and 310 mg/day  
679 for men and women, respectively. For men aged 31–50 years, balance studies of Kelsay et al. (1979);  
680 Kelsay and Prather (1983); Mahalko et al. (1983); Lakshmanan et al. (1984); Spencer et al. (1994)  
681 were considered. As there were more instances of negative balance in the intake range of 300–  
682 350 mg/day for subjects in this age range, the EAR was set at a slightly higher amount, i.e. at  
683 350 mg/day. For men aged 51–70 years, the aforementioned balance studies plus one in men with a  
684 mean age of  $53 \pm 5$  years were considered (Schwartz et al., 1984) and the same EAR of 350 mg/day  
685 was derived. For women aged 31–50 years and 51–70 years, the EAR was raised to 265 mg/day based  
686 on the study by Lakshmanan et al. (1984) and considering the slight increase for men of these age  
687 ranges compared to younger men. RDAs of 420 and 320 mg/day were derived for men and women  
688 aged 31–70 years, respectively. For adults above 70 years, results from balance studies were not  
689 available and results from magnesium tolerance tests and red blood cell magnesium concentrations  
690 were considered. Given the uncertainty of these markers as indicators of magnesium requirement and  
691 the lack of balance studies for older adults, it was decided to retain the EAR and the RDA for adults  
692 aged 31–70 years also for this age group.

693 The SCF (1993) noted that results of balance studies are difficult to interpret due to methodological  
694 limitations in some, a long time to achieve equilibrium and the potential for physiological adaptations  
695 to low magnesium intakes (Marxhall et al., 1976; Seelig, 1982; Schwartz et al., 1984). It was stated  
696 that some balance data (Jones et al., 1967; Health and Welfare Canada Scientific Review Committee,  
697 1990) suggest that a magnesium intake of 3.4 mg/kg body weight per day may be associated with zero  
698 balance, but a PRI was not set. Instead, an Acceptable Range of Intakes of 150–500 mg/day was  
699 proposed based on observed intakes (in the US and the UK).

700 The Netherlands Food and Nutrition Council (1992) considered on the basis of balance studies (Jones  
701 et al., 1967; Schwartz et al., 1978; Kelsay et al., 1979; van Dokkum et al., 1983; Schwartz et al.,  
702 1984) that no negative balances occur at magnesium intakes of 250–350 mg/day. Assuming that  
703 magnesium requirement is related to body weight, an Adequate Range of Intake of 300–350 mg/day  
704 for men and of 250–300 mg/day for women was derived.

705 The UK COMA (DH, 1991) considered balance studies to set DRVs (Jones et al., 1967; Marxhall et  
706 al., 1976; Seelig, 1982). Based on one study (Jones et al., 1967) showing that a magnesium intake of  
707 3.4 mg/kg body weight per day is adequate for maintaining zero balance an EAR was derived by  
708 multiplication with reference body weights. Using a CV of 10 % for men and 17.5 % for women,  
709 Reference Nutrient Intakes (RNI) were set for men and women of all ages (Table 2).

710 **Table 2:** Overview of Dietary Reference Values for magnesium for adults

	D-A-CH (2015)	NCM (2014)	WHO/FAO (2004)	Afssa (2001)	IOM (1997)	SCF (1993)	NL (1992)	DH (1991)
Age (years)	19–< 25	≥ 18	19–65	≥ 20	19–30	≥ 18	≥ 19	≥ 19
<b>PRI</b>								
Men (mg/day)	400	350	260	420	400	150–500 <sup>(a)</sup>	300–350 <sup>(a)</sup>	300
Women (mg/day)	310	280	220	360	310	150–500 <sup>(a)</sup>	250–300 <sup>(a)</sup>	270
Age (years)	≥ 25		> 65		≥ 31			
<b>PRI</b>								
Men (mg/day)	350		224		420			
Women (mg/day)	300		190		320			

711 NCM, Nordic Council of Ministers; NL, Netherlands Food and Nutrition Council

712 (a): Acceptable/Adequate Range of Intakes

#### 713 4.2. Infants and children

714 The German-speaking countries (D-A-CH, 2015) assumed that there is a magnesium retention of  
715 3 mg/kg body weight per day during growth which should be covered by an intake of 6 mg/kg body  
716 weight per day (IOM, 1997). RIs were derived on the basis of this value and taking into account  
717 reference body weights of the respective age groups.

718 For NNR 2012, the Nordic countries (Nordic Council of Ministers, 2014) maintained the RIs for  
719 children from 1996, which at that time had been taken over from SCF (1993).

720 WHO/FAO (2004) stated that results of magnesium balance studies and other studies possibly useful  
721 for assessing magnesium requirements should be interpreted in the light of protein and energy intakes  
722 of subjects studied. It was also stated that previously derived magnesium requirements by other  
723 authorities may have been overestimates. Based on magnesium intake on a body weight basis  
724 considered by similar committees in the US, the EU and the UK to maintain zero magnesium balance  
725 and considering especially balance studies enabling the development of an equilibrium (Hunt and  
726 Schofield, 1969; Marxhall et al., 1976; Mahalko et al., 1983; Andon et al., 1996) Recommended  
727 Nutrient Intakes were proposed which were denoted as provisional. Results of a study on nutritional  
728 rehabilitation of children suffering from protein-energy malnutrition and receiving or not magnesium  
729 supplements (Nichols et al., 1978) was considered to support the value derived for young children.

730 Afssa (2001) set an AI of 75 mg/day for infants from 6–12 months on the basis of magnesium intake  
731 from breast milk and solid food (Lonnerdal, 1995). Afssa (2001) noted that studies using isotopes  
732 indicate an AR of 5 mg/kg body weight per day for children (Abrams et al., 1997) which increases to  
733 5.3 mg/kg per day in older children, due to an increase in growth velocity. The PRI of 6 mg/kg body  
734 weight per day derived for adults was also applied to children up to 12 years. For children aged 13–  
735 18 years, an additional intake of 25 mg/day was advised to cover needs related to the increased rate of  
736 growth.

737 IOM (1997) set an Adequate Intake (AI) of 75 mg/day for infants aged 7–12 months considering an  
738 average magnesium concentration in breast milk of 34 mg/L (Dewey et al., 1984; Allen et al., 1991), a  
739 mean breast milk intake of 0.6 L/day (Heinig et al., 1993) and a mean magnesium intake from solid  
740 foods of 55 mg/day as observed in formula-fed infants aged 9–12 months (Specker et al., 1997). For  
741 children from 1–18 years, EARs were set on the basis of magnesium balance studies. These were  
742 available for children aged 10–15 years (Schwartz et al., 1973; Greger et al., 1978; Greger et al.,  
743 1979; Andon et al., 1996; Abrams et al., 1997; Sojka et al., 1997) and 7–9 years (Schofield and  
744 Morrell, 1960). A magnesium intake of 5 mg/kg body weight per day appeared to have met the  
745 requirement of some but not all children in these studies. Using this value and reference body weights,  
746 EARs for younger children were extrapolated and were 65, 110 and 200 mg/day for children aged 1–  
747 3, 4–8 and 9–13 years, respectively. For children aged 14–18 years, average requirements were  
748 assumed to be greater per kg body weight and the EAR was estimated to be 5.3 mg/kg body weight  
749 per day. Using reference body weights, EARs of 340 and 300 mg/day were derived for boys and girls,  
750 respectively. In the absence of information about the variance in requirements, a CV of 10 % was  
751 applied to all EAR values to derive the RDAs (Table 3).

752 The SCF (1993) derived “quasi-PRIs” on the basis of body weights and assuming that requirements  
753 range from 7 mg/kg body weight per day (denoted slightly higher than the intake from breast milk) at  
754 6–11 months to 4.2 mg/kg body weight per day (denoted slightly higher than the figure of 3.4 mg/kg  
755 body weight per day likely adequate in adults) at 15–17 years. An extra 30 % was added to allow for  
756 individual variations in growth. The SCF (1993) stressed the uncertainty around these values (Table  
757 3).

758 The Netherlands Food and Nutrition Council (1992) extrapolated Adequate Ranges of Intake for  
759 children from those for adults on the basis of body weight. For breast-fed infants a daily magnesium  
760 intake of 25–35 mg was estimated (Wacker and Parisi, 1968; Neville et al., 1984), and the Adequate  
761 Range of Intake for infants aged 6–12 months was set at 35–60 mg/day.

762 The UK COMA (DH, 1991) derived an EAR of 6 mg/kg body weight per day for infants aged 4–6  
763 months on the basis of magnesium intake via breast milk reported to contain 28 mg/L (range 26–  
764 30 mg/L, DHSS (1980)). For children from 6 months to 18 years, the EAR was assumed to be  
765 4.5 mg/kg body weight per day, which was interpolated between the value for infants aged 4–6  
766 months and the EAR on a body weight basis for adults (see Section 4.1). EARs were derived using  
767 reference body weights and RNI values were set using CVs between 10 % and 16.5 % for the various age  
768 groups.

769 **Table 3:** Overview of Dietary Reference Values for magnesium for children

	D-A-CH (2015)	NCM (2014)	WHO/FAO (2004)	Afssa (2001)	IOM (1997)	SCF (1993)	NL (1992)	DH (1991)
Age (months)	4-<12	6-11	7-12	7-12	7-12	6-11	6-12	7-9
PRI (mg/day)	60	80	54 <sup>(b)</sup>	75	75 <sup>(a)</sup>	80 <sup>(b)</sup>	35-60 <sup>(c)</sup>	75
Age (years)								10-12
PRI (mg/day)								80
Age (years)	1-<4	1-<2	1-3	1-3	1-3	1-3	1-4	1-3
PRI (mg/day)	80	85	60 <sup>(b)</sup>	80	80	85 <sup>(b)</sup>	60-70 <sup>(c)</sup>	85
Age (years)	4-<7	2-5	4-6	4-6	4-8	4-6	4-7	4-6
PRI (mg/day)	120	120	76 <sup>(b)</sup>	130	130	120 <sup>(b)</sup>	90-100 <sup>(c)</sup>	120
Age (years)	7-<10	6-9	7-9	7-9		7-10	7-10	7-10
PRI (mg/day)	170	200	100 <sup>(b)</sup>	200		200 <sup>(b)</sup>	120-140 <sup>(c)</sup>	200
Age (years)	10-<13	10-13	10-18	10-12	9-13	11-14	10-13	11-14
PRI (mg/day)				280				
Boys	230	280	230 <sup>(b)</sup>		240	280 <sup>(b)</sup>	150-175 <sup>(c)</sup>	280
Girls	250	280	220 <sup>(b)</sup>		240	280 <sup>(b)</sup>	155-185 <sup>(c)</sup>	280
Age (years)	13-<15			13-15			13-16	
PRI (mg/day)								
Boys	310			410			220-255 <sup>(c)</sup>	
Girls	310			370			210-250 <sup>(c)</sup>	
Age (years)	15-<19	14-17		16-19	14-18	15-17	16-19	15-18
PRI (mg/day)								
Boys	400	350		410	410	300 <sup>(b)</sup>	275-325 <sup>(c)</sup>	300
Girls	350	280		370	360	300 <sup>(b)</sup>	225-275 <sup>(c)</sup>	300

770 NCM, Nordic Council of Ministers; NL, Netherlands Food and Nutrition Council

771 (a): AI

772 (b): The uncertainty accompanying these values was expressed.

773 (c): Adequate Range of Intake

### 774 4.3. Pregnancy and lactation

775 The German-speaking countries (D-A-CH, 2015) stated that the fetus accumulates daily 5-7.5 mg of  
 776 magnesium during the third trimester. It was, however, assumed that the Recommended Intake for  
 777 (young) non-pregnant women covers the requirement arising from this. During lactation, considering  
 778 a mean magnesium concentration of breast milk of 31 mg/L and a milk secretion of 0.75 L/day a daily  
 779 magnesium loss of 24 mg via breast milk was assumed. Taking into account absorption efficiency, an  
 780 additional magnesium intake of 80-90 mg/day was estimated to compensate for this loss.

781 For NNR 2012 it was considered that the RI for non-pregnant and non-lactating women is sufficient to  
 782 also cover the needs during pregnancy and lactation (Nordic Council of Ministers, 2014).

783 WHO/FAO (2004) considered that the fetus accumulates 8 mg and fetal adnexa accumulate 5 mg of  
 784 magnesium during the whole pregnancy. Taking into account absorption efficiency a total  
 785 requirement of 26 mg over the whole pregnancy was calculated which was assumed to be met by  
 786 adaptation. Thus, the Recommended Intake for pregnant women was the same as for non-pregnant  
 787 women. During lactation, a daily magnesium loss of 25-28 mg via breast milk was assumed. An  
 788 additional magnesium intake of 50-55 mg/day was estimated to cover this loss.

789 Afssa (2001) acknowledged that the requirement during pregnancy increases particularly during the  
 790 third semester, due to the transfer of magnesium to the fetus. Due to the absence of compensatory  
 791 mechanisms for this increased requirement, an additional intake of 40 mg/day was advised during  
 792 pregnancy. For lactating women, an increase in intake of 30 mg/day was recommended, despite a  
 793 decrease in urinary magnesium excretion and an increase in bone resorption that may contribute to  
 794 meeting the increased magnesium requirement during lactation.

795 IOM (1997) considered that inconsistent findings on the effects of magnesium supplementation on  
 796 pregnancy outcome make it difficult to determine whether magnesium intakes greater than those

797 recommended for non-pregnant women are beneficial. It was noted that there are no data indicating  
 798 that magnesium is conserved during pregnancy or intestinal absorption is increased. Thus, a factorial  
 799 approach was used considering the gain in weight associated with pregnancy (increase in lean body  
 800 mass of 6–9 kg with a midpoint of 7.5 kg (IOM, 1990), a magnesium concentration of lean body mass  
 801 of 470 mg/kg (Widdowson and Dickerson, 1964) and an absorption efficiency of 40 % (Abrams et al.,  
 802 1997)). A value of 33 mg/day was calculated and the additional requirement set to 35 mg/day. For  
 803 lactation, IOM (1997) considered that consistent evidence does not exist to support an increased  
 804 requirement for dietary magnesium during lactation. It was stated that decreased urinary excretion of  
 805 magnesium and increased bone resorption during lactation may provide the necessary magnesium for  
 806 milk production. Therefore, the EAR and RDA were estimated to be the same as for non-lactating  
 807 women of similar age and body weight.

808 The SCF (1993) stated that the Acceptable Range of Intakes for adults (i.e. 150–500 mg/day) also  
 809 applies to pregnant and lactating women.

810 The Netherlands Food and Nutrition Council (1992) stated that balance studies in pregnant women  
 811 have shown that a daily magnesium intake of 400 mg is not always sufficient to maintain balance and  
 812 that the increased requirement for magnesium is likely greatest during the third trimester. An  
 813 Adequate Range of Intake of 300–350 mg/day was set. For lactating women an Adequate Range of  
 814 Intake of 300–400 mg/day was derived.

815 The UK COMA (DH, 1991) considered that the fetus accumulates about 8 mg/day of magnesium and  
 816 that there is a requirement of 10 mg/day for the accumulation of placenta and other tissues (Ziegler et  
 817 al., 1976; Widdowson, 1980). However, it was considered that physiological adaptation during  
 818 pregnancy and release from maternal stores ensures an adequate supply, and no additional intake was  
 819 set. For lactating women, taking into account a magnesium concentration in breast milk of 28 mg/L  
 820 (DHSS, 1980), assuming a magnesium secretion of 25 mg/day via milk and an absorption efficiency  
 821 of 50 %, an additional intake of 50 mg/day was derived (Table 4).

822 **Table 4:** Overview of Dietary Reference Values for magnesium for pregnant and lactating women

	D-A-CH (2015)	NCM (2014)	WHO/FAO (2004)	Afssa (2001)	IOM (1997)	SCF (1993)	NL (1992)	DH (1991)
<b>Pregnancy:</b>								
<b>additional intake</b> (mg/day)	0	0	0	40	40	0	0–100	0
<b>PRI</b> (mg/day)	350 <sup>(a)</sup> /310 <sup>(b)</sup>	280	220	400	400 <sup>(a)</sup> 350 <sup>(d)</sup> 360 <sup>(e)</sup>	150–500 <sup>(f)</sup>	300–350 <sup>(g)</sup>	270
<b>Lactation:</b>								
<b>additional intake</b> (mg/day)	40 <sup>(a)</sup> /80 <sup>(b)</sup>	0	50	30	0	0	0–150	50
<b>PRI</b> (mg/day)	390	280	270	390	360 <sup>(a)</sup> 310 <sup>(d)</sup> 320 <sup>(e)</sup>	150–500 <sup>(d)</sup>	300–400 <sup>(g)</sup>	320

823 NCM, Nordic Council of Ministers; NL, Netherlands Food and Nutrition Council

824 (a): < 19 years

825 (b): ≥ 19 years

826 (c): Women in the 3<sup>rd</sup> trimester

827 (d): 19–30 years

828 (e): 31–50 years

829 (f): Acceptable Range of Intakes

830 (g): Adequate Range of Intake

831 **5. Criteria (endpoints) on which to base Dietary Reference Values**

832 **5.1. Biomarkers as indicators of magnesium requirement**

833 As stated in Section 2.4, the Panel considers that there is no adequate biomarker of magnesium intake  
834 or status that can be used for assessing magnesium requirement and for setting DRVs for magnesium.

835 **5.2. Balance studies on magnesium**

836 Balance studies are based on the assumption that a healthy subject on an adequate diet maintains an  
837 equilibrium or a null balance between nutrient intakes and nutrient losses: at this null balance, the  
838 intake matches the requirement determined by the given physiological state of the individual. When  
839 intakes exceed losses (positive balance), there is nutrient accretion that may be attributable to growth  
840 or to weight gain, anabolism or repletion of stores; when losses exceed intakes (negative balance),  
841 nutrient stores are progressively depleted resulting, in the long term, in clinical symptoms of  
842 deficiency. When performed at different levels of intake, balance studies enable the quantification of  
843 basal or obligatory losses by regression to zero. In addition to numerous methodological concerns  
844 about accuracy and precision in the determination of intakes and losses (Baer et al., 1999), the validity  
845 of balance studies for addressing requirements has been questioned: they might possibly reflect only  
846 adaptive changes before a new steady state is reached (Young, 1986), or they might reflect only the  
847 conditions for maintenance of nutrient stores in the context of a given diet and, consequently, the  
848 relevance of the pool size for health still needs to be established for each nutrient (Mertz, 1987).

849 **5.2.1. Balance studies in adults**

850 Many studies have been performed to assess magnesium balance (Jones et al., 1967; Hunt and  
851 Schofield, 1969; Marxhall et al., 1976; Schwartz et al., 1978; Kelsay et al., 1979; Seelig, 1982;  
852 Kelsay and Prather, 1983; Mahalko et al., 1983; van Dokkum et al., 1983; Lakshmanan et al., 1984;  
853 Schwartz et al., 1984; Schwartz et al., 1986; Wisker et al., 1991; Spencer et al., 1994). Considering  
854 the balance studies in adults, which have an *a priori* sufficient adaptation period (see Appendix G),  
855 the Panel notes that many of these were conducted to assess interactions of some nutrients on  
856 magnesium absorption and balance, and that the diversity of background diets makes comparisons of  
857 the results of these balance studies difficult. The number of subjects included in the studies is  
858 generally small. Contrary to studies in children, most of the studies in adults did not express the  
859 results in relation to body weight. For most of the studies, the variability of intakes is limited (in the  
860 range 200–400 mg/day, with the exception of the study of Spencer et al. (1994)). For the only study  
861 reporting on individual values (Jones et al., 1967), the same approach as used by Hunt and Johnson  
862 (2006) (see next paragraph) resulted in a requirement of 219 mg/day or 4 mg/kg body weight per day.  
863 Recent studies in Japanese subjects reported zero balance for a magnesium intake of 4.1 mg/kg body  
864 weight per day (Nishimuta et al., 2006) and 4.18 mg/kg body weight per day (Nishimuta et al., 2012);  
865 the latter study was a compilation of 13 balance studies performed between 1986 and 2007 on a total  
866 of 131 female subjects that concluded that the balances determined in this group were very close to  
867 the usual dietary intake; however, in the calculations, the adjustment to zero of the median values of  
868 several included studies where balances were positive hampers the interpretation of this result;  
869 moreover, adaptation periods were very short (2–4 days). In the study by Nielsen and Milne (2004) in  
870 postmenopausal women, positive balances (1–26 mg/day) were observed for a magnesium intake  
871 between 310 and 334 mg/day.

872 Hunt and Johnson (2006) compiled the results of 27 balance studies conducted in a metabolic unit  
873 under well-controlled conditions. None of these studies had been considered by the other committees  
874 because the results of these studies for magnesium were not published, as magnesium was not the  
875 primary objective of these studies. The 27 studies were carried out between 1976 and 2000 on 243  
876 apparently healthy subjects (150 women and 93 men, mean age 51 and 28 years, respectively), after

877 excluding subjects who had insufficient (below the US EAR) or excessive (above the 99<sup>th</sup> percentile)  
 878 intakes of possibly interacting nutrients (calcium, copper, iron, phosphorus, or zinc). The last 6–14  
 879 days of each equilibrating dietary period of at least 28 days were considered for the calculation of  
 880 balances (difference between intakes and losses through faeces and urine), which resulted in 664  
 881 available data points. A total of 18 studies did not use magnesium supplements; three studies used  
 882 supplements in order to meet the US RDA (supplement providing 57, 114 or 171 mg/day); the other  
 883 studies provided a fixed daily magnesium amount (around 200 mg/day in six studies) and one study  
 884 provided 50 mg/day of supplemental magnesium; magnesium gluconate was the supplemental form in  
 885 all the studies using supplements except for one which used citrate dibasic magnesium salt. There was  
 886 no indication that magnesium gluconate was absorbed differently as compared to magnesium from  
 887 foods. Null balance was achieved at a magnesium intake of 165 mg/day [95 % prediction interval:  
 888 113, 237 mg/day;  $Y = 19.8 + 0.880 M$ , where  $Y$  refers to magnesium output and  $M$  refers to  
 889 magnesium intakes], corresponding to 2.36 mg/kg body weight per day (95 % prediction interval:  
 890 1.58, 3.38 mg/kg body weight per day;  $Y = 0.306 + 0.870 M$ ), or 0.075 mg/kcal per day (95 %  
 891 prediction interval: 0.05, 0.11 mg/kcal per day;  $Y = 0.011 + 0.857 M$ ). Magnesium balance does not  
 892 seem to be affected by age (subjects ranging in age from 20 to 80 years were included in the studies)  
 893 and sex, suggesting that magnesium absorption does not change with age. From the characteristics of  
 894 the statistical models, the authors concluded on a strong homeostatic control of magnesium  
 895 metabolism within the wide range of intakes observed in the studies (84–589 mg/day), especially with  
 896 intakes below the null balance of 165 mg/day, with no suggestion of modifications of fractional  
 897 magnesium absorption within this range.

898 Shils and Rude (1996) considered that magnesium balance studies were the most suitable basis for  
 899 setting reference values for magnesium, as far as careful consideration is given to the quality of the  
 900 methodology used in the studies. Overall, the results of studies summarised in Appendix G are  
 901 inconsistent, and they therefore cannot be used to define the requirement of magnesium. On the other  
 902 hand, considering the number of subjects of both sexes and the number of individual balance data, the  
 903 large age range, the wide range of magnesium intake reported in the studies compiled, the  
 904 comparability of experimental settings between the studies, especially the expression of results in  
 905 relation to individual body weights, and the statistical analysis of the pooled data, the Panel considers  
 906 that the balance studies compiled by Hunt and Johnson (2006) provides a stronger weight of evidence  
 907 for adults than any of the other individual balance studies listed in Appendix G.

### 908 **5.2.2. Balance studies and other indicators of requirement in children**

909 There are some balance studies in children aged 7–9 years (Schofield and Morrell, 1960) and children  
 910 aged 10–15 years (Schwartz et al., 1973; Greger et al., 1978; Greger et al., 1979; Andon et al., 1996;  
 911 Abrams et al., 1997; Sojka et al., 1997) (see Appendix H). The Panel notes that most of these studies  
 912 have limitations (small number of subjects, adaptation period short or absent), are heterogeneous with  
 913 respect to their primary objective (e.g. influence of another nutrient on magnesium balance) and  
 914 background diet. The Panel notes that these studies have been used for setting DRVs for children  
 915 (Section 4.2). The Panel also notes that requirements which may be derived from these balance  
 916 studies with limitations are lower than average observed magnesium intakes in children (Section 3.2).

917 Magnesium accretion in bone is considered to be around 2.7 mg/day in infants aged 4–12 months and  
 918 3.1 and 4.2 mg/day in girls and boys, respectively, throughout childhood, with a peak rate of  
 919 8.4 mg/day in adolescence (Prentice and Bates, 1994). The Panel considers that this accretion rate  
 920 constitutes only a small amount and does not need to be considered in setting DRVs for magnesium.

921 Very few studies have investigated magnesium intake or status in relation to health outcomes in  
 922 children (Huerta et al., 2005; Bo et al., 2007), and the Panel is unaware of studies in healthy children.  
 923 The Panel considers that the available data on magnesium intake and health consequences in children  
 924 cannot be used for setting DRVs for magnesium for children.

925 **5.3. Indicators of magnesium requirement in pregnancy**

926 Magnesium transfer to the fetus across the placenta occurs separately from that of calcium, through  
927 the paracellular route and is driven by an electrochemical gradient; the existence of an active transport  
928 mechanism has still to be confirmed (Nandakumaran et al., 2002). The fetus accumulates magnesium,  
929 with a total content of around 0.6–0.8 g magnesium in mature fetuses weighing 3–4 kg, the percentage  
930 of magnesium in fetal fat-free mass being constant for fetuses weighing more than 2 kg, at around  
931 0.26 mg/kg (Widdowson and Spray, 1951; Lentner, 1981). The placental magnesium content is low  
932 (around 36 mg, Challier et al. (1988)). The accretion of all these amounts would represent a daily net  
933 transfer of around 2–3 mg. According to Ziegler et al. (1976), accretion varies from 1.8 mg/day at  
934 week 24–25 to 7.5 mg/day at week 36–37 and thereafter decreases to 5 mg/day at week 39–40; this  
935 averages to a daily accretion of 4.7 mg/day from week 24 to week 40.

936 Magnesium sulphate is promoted as an efficient treatment of pre-eclampsia and eclampsia (WHO,  
937 2011), but the usefulness of magnesium supplementation during pregnancy for decreasing the risk of  
938 this adverse event is controversial, due to the lack of good quality data (Makrides et al., 2014): this  
939 review did not show an influence of magnesium supplementation on infant or maternal outcomes  
940 when they were studied as primary outcomes.

941 A balance study in free-living subjects performed in the three trimesters of pregnancy in 10 women  
942 showed that a mean magnesium intake of  $269 \pm 55$  mg/day led to a negative balance of  $40 \pm 50$  mg  
943 (Ashe et al., 1979); according to Husain and Sibley (1993), this negative balance could be due to an  
944 unusually low value for the fractional absorption of magnesium, since faecal excretion represented  
945 around 80 % of the intake; other limitations of the study are the small number of subjects, the use of  
946 self-selected diets, the absence of information on pre-pregnancy intake, whereas a strength is the  
947 determination of 4–6 seven-day balances for each woman (two per trimester); the authors  
948 acknowledged that the important within- and between-subject variability might have obscured  
949 physiological adaptations.

950 The Panel concludes that infant or maternal clinical outcomes during pregnancy cannot be used to  
951 assess magnesium requirements during this phase. On the other hand, the available evidence indicates  
952 that there is only a small additional requirement during pregnancy that may be met by adaptive  
953 metabolic changes.

954 **5.4. Indicators of magnesium requirement in lactation**

955 According to Dorea (2000), concentrations of magnesium reported for breast milk vary over a wide  
956 range (15–64 mg/L), with a median value of 31 mg/L and 75 % of reported mean concentrations being  
957 below 35 mg/L (see Section 2.3.5.5). Dengel et al. (1994) compared six lactating and six post partum  
958 non-lactating women ( $75 \pm 5$  and  $61 \pm 5$  days post partum, respectively) with seven never-pregnant  
959 women who received a constant diet providing 218 mg magnesium/day for 20 days. After an  
960 equilibration period of five days, urine and faeces were collected for the next 15 days. Comparing  
961 lactating to never-pregnant women, the authors observed that the export in milk of around 25 mg/day  
962 was compensated for by a reduction of 33 mg/day in urinary magnesium excretion. The Panel  
963 considers that there are adaptative mechanisms so that there may be no need to compensate for the  
964 amount of magnesium secreted in breast milk during lactation.

965 **5.5. Magnesium intake and health consequences**

966 A comprehensive literature search covering the period from 1990 to October 2011 was performed as  
967 preparatory work for this opinion (Brown et al., 2012), focusing on original studies reporting on  
968 quantitative relationships between intake and status, status and health or intake and health. Overall,  
969 the preparatory report concluded that high quality data for health outcomes on which to derive DRVs

970 for magnesium are limited. The literature search was continuously updated until endorsement of this  
971 draft opinion.

972 The analysis presented in this section is restricted to the conditions relevant for the general  
973 population, where a sufficient body of evidence exists and quantitative data on magnesium intake are  
974 available (studies only reporting on serum magnesium are not considered in this section). Many other  
975 clinical conditions have been studied in relation to magnesium intake/status that consider the  
976 therapeutic potential of magnesium (such as in migraine headaches, neuromuscular issues such as  
977 restless leg syndrome, or clinical depression) or its potential role on the basis of mechanistic  
978 considerations, such as for the immune system (Wu and Veillette, 2011).

### 979 **5.5.1. Cardiovascular disease-related outcomes**

#### 980 5.5.1.1. Blood pressure

981 Previous systematic reviews and meta-analyses on studies investigating the effect of magnesium  
982 supplementation on systolic (SBP) and diastolic blood pressure (DBP) have shown inconclusive  
983 results. Several of these reviews included hypertensive subjects, and information on dietary  
984 magnesium intake was not generally available (Burgess et al., 1999; Jee et al., 2002; Dickinson et al.,  
985 2006; Kass et al., 2012). From the compilation of a set of 30 observational studies (mainly cross-  
986 sectional), Mizushima et al. (1998) concluded that there may be an inverse relationship between  
987 magnesium intake and SBP and DBP, though quantitative analysis was not possible due to  
988 heterogeneity and various methodological limitations. In a recent cross-over study lasting eight weeks,  
989 with a four-week wash-out period inbetween, supplementation of magnesium (368 mg/day) to 14  
990 healthy normotensive young men did not affect their SBP or DBP (Cosaro et al., 2014).

#### 991 5.5.1.2. Cardiovascular events

992 The meta-analysis by Qu et al. (2013b) included 13 prospective cohort studies reporting on dietary  
993 magnesium (477 680 participants and over 14 900 cardiovascular events) and showed a significant  
994 inverse association between magnesium intake and risk of cardiovascular disease (CVD) events  
995 comprising stroke, coronary heart disease and CVD death (relative risk (RR) = 0.85, 95 % CI 0.78–  
996 0.92,  $I^2 = 39$  %, comparing the highest and the lowest category of magnesium intake). Dose–response  
997 analyses showed evidence of a non-linear association, with the greatest reduction occurring for a  
998 magnesium intake between 150 and 400 mg/day, though it is unclear whether supplement use was  
999 always considered.

1000 The meta-analysis by Del Gobbo et al. (2013) included nine prospective studies providing estimates  
1001 of dietary magnesium, mostly from validated food frequency questionnaires (FFQ), with a median  
1002 intake across studies of 289 mg/day, and incident CVD (including 7 889 CVD events, 4 319 events of  
1003 ischaemic heart disease, and 1 158 fatal ischaemic heart disease events). Only two of nine studies  
1004 described the use of magnesium supplements, and seven of the nine studies were also considered by  
1005 Qu et al. (2013b). Using 200 mg/day-increments in dietary magnesium, dietary intake was not  
1006 associated with total CVD. The authors found a significant non-linear association with fatal ischaemic  
1007 heart disease; in comparison with lower intakes, a 27 % lower risk of fatal ischaemic heart disease  
1008 was observed up to a magnesium intake of about 250 mg/day (RR = 0.73, 95 % CI 0.62–0.86).

1009 Combining six prospective cohort studies (including four already included in the meta-analyses of Qu  
1010 et al. (2013b) and Del Gobbo et al. (2013)), the meta-analysis by Xu et al. (2013) did not find a  
1011 significant association between magnesium intake and total CVD mortality; however, a subgroup  
1012 analysis suggested an inverse association in women, leading the authors to conclude that sex might be  
1013 one of the major sources of heterogeneity between studies. This meta-analysis included only studies

1014 providing adjusted risk estimates, but also included two studies focusing on magnesium intake from  
1015 water (and using this intake for comparisons).

1016 The Panel notes the discrepancies between these meta-analyses, suggesting that inclusion of non-  
1017 adjusted results might confound the association of magnesium intake and CVD events.

1018 In the Nurses' Health Study, the relationship between dietary magnesium (including from  
1019 supplements) and risk of coronary heart disease after a median follow-up of 28 years was investigated  
1020 (Chiuvè et al., 2013). After controlling for classical coronary heart disease risk factors, higher  
1021 magnesium intake was not associated with overall coronary heart disease risk; however, the authors  
1022 observed a lower risk of fatal coronary heart disease (RR 0.61, 95 % CI 0.45–0.84) comparing the  
1023 highest quintile (dietary intake > 342 mg/day) with the lowest quintile (< 246 mg/day). A cross-  
1024 sectional study in 2 695 men and women of the Framingham cohort showed an inverse association of  
1025 total (i.e. dietary and supplemental) magnesium intake with calcification of the coronary artery, each  
1026 50 mg/day-increment in magnesium intake resulting in 22 % less calcification ( $p < 0.001$ ), whereas  
1027 total magnesium intake was not associated with calcification of the abdominal aorta (Hruby et al.,  
1028 2014).

#### 1029 5.5.1.3. Stroke

1030 Nie et al. (2013) performed a meta-analysis on the relationship between magnesium intake and stroke,  
1031 including eight prospective cohort studies (8 367 strokes in 304 551 participants). The weighted  
1032 average magnesium intake was 306 mg/day (range 228–471 mg/day). There was an inverse  
1033 association between magnesium intake and incidence of total stroke (RR = 0.89, 95 % CI 0.82–0.97,  
1034  $I^2 = 0\%$ ); the dose–response analysis showed a small borderline significant inverse association  
1035 between magnesium intake per 100 mg/day-increment and total stroke risk (RR = 0.98, 95 % CI 0.95–  
1036 1.00,  $I^2 = 33\%$ ), whereas subgroup analysis showed a significantly lower risk of ischaemic stroke  
1037 when comparing highest intake to lowest intake (RR = 0.88, 95 % CI 0.80–0.98,  $p$  for  
1038 heterogeneity = 0.509). These results are similar to those of Larsson et al. (2012), whose meta-  
1039 analysis included seven prospective studies (6 477 strokes among 241 378 participants; only one out  
1040 of seven studies showed a significant reduction in stroke incidence) also included in the meta-analysis  
1041 by Nie et al. (2013). For every 100 mg/day-increment in magnesium intake the risk of total stroke  
1042 slightly decreased (RR = 0.92, 95 % CI 0.88–0.99,  $I^2 = 0\%$ ). An inverse association between  
1043 magnesium intake and stroke risk was also observed in the Dutch cohorts ( $n = 36\,094$ , 631 strokes) of  
1044 the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition study (Sluijs et al., 2014). Total  
1045 magnesium intake (i.e. from diet and supplements) for the lowest and the highest quartile was  
1046  $\leq 285$  mg/day and  $\geq 398$  mg/day, respectively. Per 100 mg/day-increment in total magnesium intake,  
1047 stroke risk decreased by 22 % (hazard ratio = 0.78, 95 % CI 0.65–0.93).

#### 1048 5.5.1.4. Arrhythmia

1049 Although magnesium has been suggested for the therapy of arrhythmia, few studies have investigated  
1050 the relationship of magnesium intake and heart rhythm changes in apparently healthy populations.  
1051 Nielsen et al. (2007) submitted 14 healthy postmenopausal women to a magnesium depletion diet  
1052 providing 100 mg/8.4 MJ (2 000 kcal); the depletion period was planned to have a duration of 78  
1053 days; five women developed heart rhythm alterations which required magnesium repletion earlier than  
1054 planned (after 42–64 days instead of after 78 days). This study suggests that a magnesium intake of  
1055 100 mg/8.4 MJ (2 000 kcal) may be inadequate. In white and African American men and women in  
1056 the prospective Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities study, no association was observed between  
1057 dietary magnesium intake and risk of atrial fibrillation (Misialek et al., 2013).

1058 5.5.1.5. Conclusions on cardiovascular disease-related outcomes

1059 The Panel notes that the association between magnesium intake and CVD-related outcomes may be  
1060 confounded by dietary fibre intake and other dietary factors. For example, in the meta-analysis of 19  
1061 studies by Qu et al. (2013b), only four of the included studies have adjusted for dietary fibre and only  
1062 three for potassium intake. Del Gobbo et al. (2013) reported that about half of the studies included in  
1063 their meta-analysis were adjusted for both sociodemographic and lifestyle variables including age,  
1064 sex, ethnicity, body mass index, waist circumference, smoking, alcohol consumption, and physical  
1065 activity. Therefore, for all these studies, it is difficult to unravel the effect of magnesium *per se* from  
1066 the effect of foods rich in magnesium or of the total diets associated with consumption of these foods.

1067 The Panel considers that data on magnesium intake and CVD-related outcomes cannot be used for  
1068 setting DRVs for magnesium.

1069 **5.5.2. Metabolic syndrome**

1070 Some studies have investigated the relationship between magnesium intake and risk of metabolic  
1071 syndrome. Combining eight cross-sectional and two prospective cohort studies (30 092 participants,  
1072 eight studies with healthy subjects and two studies including patients with diabetes mellitus type 2  
1073 and recipients of living-donor kidney transplant), Ju et al. (2014) showed that every 150 mg/day-  
1074 increment in magnesium intake was inversely associated with risk of metabolic syndrome (pooled RR  
1075 = 0.88, 95 % CI 0.84–0.93,  $I^2 = 36\%$ ). The meta-analysis of Dibaba et al. (2014) on six cross-  
1076 sectional studies (24 473 individuals and 6 311 cases of metabolic syndrome), of which all but one  
1077 were also included in the meta-analysis of Ju et al. (2014), also concluded on an inverse association of  
1078 magnesium intake and risk of metabolic syndrome.

1079 The Panel considers that data on magnesium intake and metabolic syndrome cannot be used for  
1080 setting DRVs for magnesium.

1081 **5.5.3. Diabetes mellitus type 2**

1082 In the meta-analysis of Larsson and Wolk (2007) of seven prospective cohort studies (10 912 incident  
1083 cases and 286 668 participants) the relative risk of diabetes mellitus type 2 for a 100 mg/day-increase  
1084 in magnesium intake was 0.85 (95 % CI 0.79–0.92). In the dose–response analysis, studies were  
1085 combined reporting associations between diabetes risk and magnesium intake (assessed continuously  
1086 or categorically).

1087 The meta-analysis by Dong et al. (2011) with 13 prospective cohort studies (24 516 incident cases for  
1088 536 318 participants, including the studies analysed by Larsson and Wolk (2007)) found a RR of 0.86  
1089 (95 % CI 0.82–0.89) for each 100 mg/day-increment in magnesium intake. In this meta-analysis, the  
1090 relative risk was not significantly modified when considering only the studies where adjustments were  
1091 made for dietary fibre intake. In addition, the observed inverse association remained in a subgroup  
1092 analysis among studies of individuals with an average BMI  $\geq 25 \text{ kg} \times \text{m}^{-2}$ , but no association was  
1093 observed among those with a BMI  $< 25 \text{ kg} \times \text{m}^{-2}$ . This finding suggests that the evidence for an  
1094 inverse association may not be consistent and may rather concern overweight/obese individuals than  
1095 normal weight individuals which are the target population for DRVs. However, in the very large  
1096 cohorts of the NHS and HPFS (included in the meta-analysis), no significant interaction was observed  
1097 between magnesium intake and BMI and the risk reduction remained significant in stratified analysis  
1098 by BMI ( $\leq 27$  and  $> 27 \text{ kg} \times \text{m}^{-2}$ ) (Lopez-Ridaura et al., 2004). The Panel notes that the association  
1099 between magnesium intake and diabetes mellitus type 2 may be confounded by dietary fibre intake  
1100 and other dietary factors and that several observational studies did not adjust for this.

1101 Details on magnesium intake and risk estimates for the individual prospective studies included in  
1102 these meta-analyses are summarised in Appendix I.

1103 In the most recent study (Weng et al., 2012), not included in the aforementioned meta-analysis, 1 604  
1104 Taiwanese adults were followed up for a period of 4.6 years and a significant trend for a decreased  
1105 risk of diabetes mellitus type 2 incidence was observed when the lowest quintile of magnesium intake  
1106 (median intake 212 mg/day) was compared to the highest (median intake 405 mg/day).

1107 Supplementation studies in subjects with diabetes mellitus type 1 or type 2 or overweight individuals  
1108 with insulin resistance show inconsistent results with respect to improvement of insulin sensitivity  
1109 and glycaemic control (Sales and Pedrosa Lde, 2006; Martini et al., 2010; Volpe, 2013).

1110 The Panel considers that there is evidence for an inverse association of magnesium intake and risk of  
1111 diabetes mellitus type 2. The Panel notes that there is insufficient evidence for a dose–response  
1112 relationship between magnesium intake and type 2 diabetes risk and considers that data on magnesium  
1113 intake and diabetes mellitus type 2 cannot be used for setting DRVs for magnesium.

#### 1114 **5.5.4. Cancer**

1115 In the report by WCRF/AICR (2007), magnesium was not considered as such, but some subsequent  
1116 studies point to a possible association between magnesium intake and colorectal cancer risk.

1117 The meta-analysis of Chen et al. (2012) included eight prospective studies with 338 979 participants  
1118 and 8 000 colorectal cancer cases. The summary RR for the highest versus lowest category of  
1119 magnesium intake for colorectal cancer was 0.89 (95 % CI 0.79–1.00,  $I^2 = 0$  %). In dose–response  
1120 analyses, every 50 mg/day-increment in magnesium intake was associated with a 5 % reduced risk of  
1121 colorectal cancer (RR = 0.95, 95 % CI 0.89–1.00,  $I^2 = 49$  %). Similar results were obtained in the  
1122 meta-analysis of Qu et al. (2013a) of seven prospective cohort studies (333 510 participants and 7 435  
1123 cases), all of which were also considered by Chen et al. (2012). Qu et al. (2013a) observed a non-  
1124 linear dose–response relationship between dietary magnesium and risk of colorectal cancer (RR for  
1125 every 100 mg/day-increment in magnesium intake = 0.82, 95 % CI 0.64–1.00,  $I^2 = 63$  %), with the  
1126 greatest reduction of risk for an intake between 200 and 270 mg/day, but little evidence of a further  
1127 reduction with higher intake.

1128 The Panel considers that the available information on the relationship between dietary magnesium and  
1129 colorectal cancer risk is insufficient to provide a basis for setting DRVs for magnesium.

#### 1130 **5.5.5. Bone health-related outcomes**

1131 Magnesium has an impact on bone health through its participation to the structure of hydroxyapatite  
1132 crystals in bone. Some studies of different design (cross-sectional and prospective observational  
1133 studies, intervention studies using magnesium supplementation) reported on various associations of  
1134 magnesium intake with bone mineral density (BMD) or bone mineral content (BMC) (Tucker et al.,  
1135 1999; Ryder et al., 2005; Carpenter et al., 2006; Farrell et al., 2009). Carpenter et al. (2006) enrolled  
1136 8–14 year-old girls with a dietary magnesium intake below 220 mg/day; supplementation with  
1137 300 mg/day for one year significantly improved serum magnesium concentration and BMC of the hip  
1138 by about 3 %, but not of the lumbar spine, compared to placebo. There was no difference in BMD  
1139 between the treatment and placebo groups. In the prospective cohort study with 73 684  
1140 postmenopausal women enrolled in the Women's Health Initiative Observational Study (Orchard et  
1141 al., 2014), baseline hip BMD was 3 % higher ( $p < 0.001$ ) and whole-body BMD was 2 % higher ( $p <$   
1142  $0.001$ ) in women who consumed  $> 422.5$  mg magnesium/day compared to  $< 206.5$  mg/day. However,  
1143 the incidence and relative risk of hip and total fractures did not differ across quintiles of magnesium  
1144 intake. In contrast, risk of lower-arm or wrist fractures increased significantly with higher magnesium

1145 intake. As the women with the highest magnesium intake were also more physically active and at  
1146 increased risk of falls, the authors concluded that the association of magnesium intake and fractures  
1147 may possibly be related to more physical activity and falls.

1148 In their literature search, Brown et al. (2012) retrieved two studies which reported on the influence of  
1149 magnesium on markers of bone formation and bone resorption, one of which was an uncontrolled  
1150 study (Fatemi et al. (1991)). Doyle et al. (1999) conducted a randomised cross-over study in 26 young  
1151 women, which compared a usual dietary magnesium intake (about 275 mg/day) for four weeks with a  
1152 usual dietary intake supplemented with about 250 mg magnesium/day for four weeks. There were no  
1153 significant differences in biomarkers of bone formation and resorption between the two study periods.

1154 The Panel notes that although the role of magnesium in bone structure and physiology is well  
1155 established, there are few quantitative data for using this relationship for setting DRVs for  
1156 magnesium.

## 1157 **6. Data on which to base Dietary Reference Values**

1158 The Panel considers that there is no adequate biomarker of magnesium intake or status that can be  
1159 used for assessing magnesium requirement and for setting DRVs for magnesium (see Section 5.1).

### 1160 **6.1. Adults**

1161 The Panel notes that a recent pooled analysis of well-controlled balance studies in adults suggests that  
1162 zero magnesium balance may occur at a magnesium intake of 165 mg/day [95 % prediction interval  
1163 based on a linear mixed-effect model: 113, 237 mg/day] (Section 5.2.1). The Panel, bearing in mind  
1164 that balance studies might also reflect adaptive changes before a new steady state is reached,  
1165 evaluated the evidence from balance studies in combination with the findings of large-scale and long-  
1166 term prospective observational studies. Several of these point to an inverse relationship between  
1167 magnesium intake and risk of diabetes mellitus type 2, at daily intakes ranging between 244 and  
1168 773 mg/day (medians of highest quintiles), compared to daily intakes ranging between 115 and  
1169 230 mg/day (medians of lowest quintiles). The Panel notes, however, that there is insufficient  
1170 evidence for a dose–response relationship between magnesium intake and risk of diabetes mellitus  
1171 type 2 in the general healthy population and that the evidence cannot be used to identify a certain  
1172 magnesium intake level above which risk of diabetes mellitus type 2 is not further reduced.

1173 Considering all evidence available, the Panel decided to set Adequate Intakes (AIs) based on observed  
1174 intakes in several EU countries. The range of average intakes for nine European countries is 317–  
1175 439 mg/day (midpoint 378 mg/day) for men and 254–357 mg/day (midpoint 306 mg/day) for women  
1176 aged 18 to < 65 years (see Appendix C and D). For older adults (65 to < 75 years), the ranges are  
1177 312–407 mg/day (midpoint 360 mg/day) for men and 241–343 mg/day (midpoint 292 mg/day) for  
1178 women. For adults above 75 years, the ranges are 264–388 mg/day (midpoint 326 mg/day) for men  
1179 and 232–347 mg/day (midpoint 290 mg/day) for women. The Panel notes that midpoints of ranges for  
1180 intake estimates in these age and sex groups are in good agreement with medians, for the respective  
1181 sex and age groups, of the average intakes estimated per survey.

1182 The Panel notes that there is at present insufficient evidence for considering different DRVs  
1183 according to age in adults and decided to merge the ranges for all men above 18 years (observed mean  
1184 magnesium intakes of 264–439 mg/day), for which the midpoint is 352 mg/day. Similarly, for women,  
1185 the merged range for all women above 18 years is 232–357 mg/day, with a midpoint at 295 mg/day.  
1186 The median of average intakes of women of all ages is 298 mg/day, and the median of average intakes  
1187 of men of all ages is 341 mg/day.

1188 Considering the rather large differences in magnesium intakes between men and women, the Panel  
1189 proposes to set AIs according to sex. For men, considering the distribution of the observed average  
1190 intakes, the Panel proposes an AI of 350 mg/day. For women, on the same basis and after rounding,  
1191 the Panel proposes an AI of 300 mg/day.

1192 The Panel considers that these AIs apply to all adults, including older adults.

## 1193 **6.2. Infants aged 7–11 months**

1194 The Panel notes that in breast-fed infants aged 0–6 months, magnesium intake is estimated to be  
1195 around 25 mg/day (Section 2.3.5.5). Using isometric scaling as the most conservative extrapolation  
1196 method, which is justified by bone magnesium accretion, to extrapolate to the magnesium intake of  
1197 infants aged 7–11 months results in an estimated magnesium intake of 35 mg/day in older infants.  
1198 This is calculated using the below formula and rounding to the nearest unit. Averages of the median  
1199 weight-for-age of male and female infants aged three months (6.1 kg) and nine months (8.6 kg)  
1200 according to the WHO Growth Standards (WHO Multicentre Growth Reference Study Group, 2006)  
1201 are used for the calculation.

1202 Magnesium intake of infants aged 7–11 months = Magnesium intake of infants aged 0–6 months ×  
1203 (weight of infants aged 9 months/weight infants aged 3 months).

1204 SCF (1993) proposed a guidance value of 80 mg/day on the basis of intakes considered appropriate  
1205 for the majority of infants aged 6 to < 12 months, amounting to 3.5–7 mg/kg body weight per day, in  
1206 line with the results of balance studies in older children.

1207 The Panel notes that mean observed intakes in four EU countries for which data are available are in  
1208 the range 72–120 mg/day (Appendix C and D). These estimates include the consumption of food  
1209 products for the young population and thus of foods fortified with magnesium according to current  
1210 EU regulations (see Section 3.1).

1211 Therefore, a potential range for DRVs for magnesium would be between 35 and 120 mg/day  
1212 (midpoint 78 mg/day). In the absence of other evidence and in line with the proposal by SCF (1993),  
1213 the Panel decided to set an AI for infants aged 7–11 months of 80 mg/day.

## 1214 **6.3. Children**

1215 In the absence of well-controlled balance studies in children and on other evidence that may be used  
1216 for deriving a requirement for magnesium in children, the Panel decided to set AIs based on observed  
1217 intakes in EU countries.

1218 In young children (1 to < 3 years), mean observed magnesium intake from five surveys in four EU  
1219 countries ranges from 162–188 mg/day (midpoint 175 mg/day) in boys and from 153–174 mg/day  
1220 (midpoint 164 mg/day) in girls (Appendix C and D). For boys and girls aged 1 to < 3 years,  
1221 considering the absence of a strong basis for a distinct value according to sex and the distribution of  
1222 the observed mean intakes, the Panel selects the midpoint of average intakes and sets an AI of  
1223 160 mg/day for boys and girls.

1224 In children aged 3 to < 10 years, mean observed magnesium intake from seven surveys in six EU  
1225 countries ranges from 202–281 mg/day (midpoint 242 mg/day) in boys and from 184–259 mg/day  
1226 (midpoint 222 mg/day) in girls (Appendix C and D). For boys and girls aged 3 to < 10 years, on the  
1227 same basis as for young children, considering the distribution of the observed mean intakes, the Panel  
1228 selects the midpoint of average intakes and sets an AI of 230 mg/day for boys and girls.

1229 In children aged 10 to < 18 years, mean observed magnesium intake from seven surveys in seven EU  
 1230 countries ranges from 257–344 mg/day (midpoint 301 mg/day) in boys and from 213–384 mg/day  
 1231 (midpoint 299 mg/day) in girls (Appendix C and D). However, the Panel notes that the data provided  
 1232 for Latvia include pregnant girls below 18 years of age and that intakes are rather high compared to  
 1233 other datasets; excluding Latvia provides a more narrow range of intakes of 213–292 mg/day  
 1234 (midpoint 253 mg/day). Considering the rather large differences in magnesium intakes between boys  
 1235 and girls aged 10 to <18 years, the Panel proposes to set AIs according to sex. For boys aged 10 to  
 1236 < 18 years, considering the distribution of the observed average intakes, the Panel selects the midpoint  
 1237 of average intakes and sets an AI of 300 mg/day. For girls aged 10 to < 18 years, considering the  
 1238 distribution of the observed average intakes, the Panel selects the midpoint of average intakes in non-  
 1239 pregnant girls and sets an AI of 250 mg/day.

1240 **6.4. Pregnancy**

1241 Considering that pregnancy induces only a small increase in magnesium requirement (see Section  
 1242 5.4), which is likely covered by adaptive physiological mechanisms, the Panel considers that the AI for  
 1243 non-pregnant women also applies to pregnant women.

1244 **6.5. Lactation**

1245 About 25 mg/day are secreted with exclusive breastfeeding during the first six months after birth (see  
 1246 Section 2.3.5.5).

1247 The Panel notes the possibility of adaptive processes in magnesium metabolism, both at the level of  
 1248 absorption and elimination (see Section 2), and considers that an additional dietary intake may not be  
 1249 needed during the lactation period. The study by Dengel et al. (1994) (see Section 2.3.5.5) supports  
 1250 this approach.

1251 The Panel concludes that the AI for non-pregnant women also applies to lactating women.

1252 **CONCLUSIONS**

1253 The Panel concludes that Average Requirements (ARs) and Population Reference Intakes (PRIs) for  
 1254 magnesium cannot be derived for adults, infants and children, and proposes Adequate Intakes (AIs)  
 1255 based on observed intakes. For children and adults, this approach considers the range of average  
 1256 magnesium intakes estimated from dietary surveys in nine EU countries. For infants aged 7–11  
 1257 months, the Panel proposes AIs after consideration of observed intakes, estimated intakes in fully  
 1258 breast-fed infants and upward extrapolation by isometric scaling. The AI set for pregnant and  
 1259 lactating women is the same as for non-pregnant, non-lactating women.

1260 **Table 5:** Summary of Adequate Intakes for magnesium

Age	Adequate Intake (mg/day)	
	Males	Females
7–11 months	80	80
1– < 3 years	160	160
3– < 10 years	230	230
10– < 18 years	300	250
≥ 18 years <sup>(a)</sup>	350	300

1261 (a): Including pregnancy and lactation

1262

1263 **RECOMMENDATIONS FOR RESEARCH**

1264 The Panel recommends that research is needed to characterise systematically:

- 1265 • the functional and homeostatic responses to a range of exposures to magnesium with a view to  
1266 identifying and validating markers of marginally adequate and excessive intakes of magnesium  
1267 and of chronic and acute magnesium status. Such work might include for example: investigating  
1268 the value of urinary excretion of magnesium, the magnesium tolerance test, and the content of  
1269 magnesium in blood cells and platelets;
- 1270 • the nature of possible pathogenic bases for the association of low magnesium status with impaired  
1271 substrate (carbohydrate and lipid) metabolism, and sequelae of the metabolic syndrome including  
1272 diabetes mellitus.

1273

1274 **REFERENCES**

- 1275 Abdulsahib HT, 2011. Determination of magnesium in whole blood and serum of ischemic heart  
1276 disease (IHD) patients by flame atomic absorption spectrometry. *American Journal of Analytical*  
1277 *Chemistry*, 2, 996-1002.
- 1278 Abrams SA, Grusak MA, Stuff J and O'Brien KO, 1997. Calcium and magnesium balance in 9-14-y-  
1279 old children. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 66, 1172-1177.
- 1280 Afssa (Agence française de sécurité sanitaire des aliments), 2001. Apports nutritionnels conseillés  
1281 pour la population française. Editions Tec&Doc, Paris, France, 605 pp.
- 1282 Allen JC, Keller RP, Archer P and Neville MC, 1991. Studies in human lactation: milk composition  
1283 and daily secretion rates of macronutrients in the first year of lactation. *American Journal of*  
1284 *Clinical Nutrition*, 54, 69-80.
- 1285 Amcoff E, Edberg A, Enghardt Barbieri H, Lindroos A, Nälsén C, Pearson M and Warensjö Lemming  
1286 E (Livsmedelsverket), 2012. Riksmaten – vuxna 2010–11. Livsmedels- och näringsintag bland  
1287 vuxna i Sverige. Resultat från matvaneundersökning utförd 2010–11. 180 pp.
- 1288 Andersson H, Navert B, Bingham SA, Englyst HN and Cummings JH, 1983. The effects of breads  
1289 containing similar amounts of phytate but different amounts of wheat bran on calcium, zinc and  
1290 iron balance in man. *British Journal of Nutrition*, 50, 503-510.
- 1291 Andon MB, Ilich JZ, Tzagournis MA and Matkovic V, 1996. Magnesium balance in adolescent  
1292 females consuming a low- or high-calcium diet. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 63, 950-  
1293 953.
- 1294 Arnaud MJ, 2008. Update on the assessment of magnesium status. *British Journal of Nutrition*, 99  
1295 Suppl 3, S24-36.
- 1296 Ashe JR, Schofield FA and Gram MR, 1979. The retention of calcium, iron, phosphorus, and  
1297 magnesium during pregnancy: the adequacy of prenatal diets with and without supplementation.  
1298 *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 32, 286-291.
- 1299 Aslam, Pejovic-Milic A, McNeill FE, Byun SH, Prestwich WV and Chettle DR, 2008. In vivo  
1300 assessment of magnesium status in human body using accelerator-based neutron activation  
1301 measurement of hands: a pilot study. *Medical Physics*, 35, 608-616.
- 1302 Baer JD, Fong AKH, Novotny JA and Oexmann MJ, 1999. Compartmental modeling, stable isotopes,  
1303 and balance studies. In: *Well-controlled diet studies in humans: A practical guide to design and*  
1304 *management*. Ed American Dietetic Association. 238-254.
- 1305 Baker LB, Stofan JR, Lukaski HC and Horswill CA, 2011. Exercise-induced trace mineral element  
1306 concentration in regional versus whole-body wash-down sweat. *International Journal of Sport*  
1307 *Nutrition and Exercise Metabolism*, 21, 233-239.
- 1308 Barbagallo M, Gupta RK, Dominguez LJ and Resnick LM, 2000. Cellular ionic alterations with age:  
1309 relation to hypertension and diabetes. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, 48, 1111-1116.
- 1310 Barbagallo M, Belvedere M and Dominguez LJ, 2009. Magnesium homeostasis and aging.  
1311 *Magnesium Research*, 22, 235-246.
- 1312 Bates B, Lennox A, Prentice A, Bates C and Swan G, 2012. National Diet and Nutrition Survey.  
1313 Headline results from Years 1, 2 and 3 (combined) of the Rolling Programme (2008/2009 –  
1314 2010/11). A survey carried out on behalf of the Department of Health and the Food Standards  
1315 Agency. 79 pp.
- 1316 Bauer J and Gerss J, 2011. Longitudinal analysis of macronutrients and minerals in human milk  
1317 produced by mothers of preterm infants. *Clinical Nutrition*, 30, 215-220.

- 1318 Beller GA, Maher JT, Hartley LH, Bass DE and Wacker WE, 1975. Changes in serum and sweat  
1319 magnesium levels during work in the heat. *Aviation Space and Environmental Medicine*, 46, 709-  
1320 712.
- 1321 Bjorklund KL, Vahter M, Palm B, Grander M, Lignell S and Berglund M, 2012. Metals and trace  
1322 element concentrations in breast milk of first time healthy mothers: a biological monitoring study.  
1323 *Environmental Health*, 11, 92.
- 1324 Bo S, Bertino E, Trapani A, Bagna R, De Michieli F, Gambino R, Ghione F, Fabris C and Pagano GF,  
1325 2007. Magnesium intake, glucose and insulin serum levels in pre-school very-low-birth weight pre-  
1326 term children. *Nutrition Metabolism and Cardiovascular Diseases*, 17, 741-747.
- 1327 Bocca B, Alimonti A, Giglio L, Di Pasquale M, Caroli S, Ambruzzi MA, Bocca AP and Coni E, 2000.  
1328 Nutritive significance of element speciation in breast milk. The case of calcium, copper, iron,  
1329 magnesium, manganese, and zinc. *Advances in Experimental Medicine and Biology*, 478, 385-386.
- 1330 Brown T, Mullee A, Collings R, Harvey L, Hooper L and Fairweather-Tait S, 2012. Literature search  
1331 and review related to specific preparatory work in the establishment of Dietary Reference Values.  
1332 Preparation of an evidence report identifying health outcomes upon which Dietary Reference  
1333 Values could potentially be based for magnesium, potassium and fluoride. EFSA supporting  
1334 publication 2012:EN-283, 238 pp.
- 1335 Burgess E, Lewanczuk R, Bolli P, Chockalingam A, Cutler H, Taylor G and Hamet P, 1999. Lifestyle  
1336 modifications to prevent and control hypertension. 6. Recommendations on potassium, magnesium  
1337 and calcium. Canadian Hypertension Society, Canadian Coalition for High Blood Pressure  
1338 Prevention and Control, Laboratory Centre for Disease Control at Health Canada, Heart and Stroke  
1339 Foundation of Canada. *Canadian Medical Association Journal*, 160, S35-45.
- 1340 Butte NF, Lopez-Alarcon MG and Garza C, 2002. Nutrient adequacy of exclusive breastfeeding for  
1341 the term infant during the first six months of life. *World Health Organization*, 47 pp.
- 1342 Carpenter TO, DeLucia MC, Zhang JH, Bejnerowicz G, Tartamella L, Dziura J, Petersen KF, Befroy  
1343 D and Cohen D, 2006. A randomized controlled study of effects of dietary magnesium oxide  
1344 supplementation on bone mineral content in healthy girls. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and  
1345 Metabolism*, 91, 4866-4872.
- 1346 Chacko SA, Sul J, Song Y, Li X, LeBlanc J, You Y, Butch A and Liu S, 2011. Magnesium  
1347 supplementation, metabolic and inflammatory markers, and global genomic and proteomic  
1348 profiling: a randomized, double-blind, controlled, crossover trial in overweight individuals.  
1349 *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 93, 463-473.
- 1350 Challier JC, Bara M and D'Athis P, 1988. The magnesium, calcium, sodium, potassium and chloride  
1351 contents of the term human placenta. *Magnesium Research*, 1, 141-145.
- 1352 Chen GC, Pang Z and Liu QF, 2012. Magnesium intake and risk of colorectal cancer: a meta-analysis  
1353 of prospective studies. *European Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 66, 1182-1186.
- 1354 Chinevere TD, Kenefick RW, Cheuvront SN, Lukaski HC and Sawka MN, 2008. Effect of heat  
1355 acclimation on sweat minerals. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, 40, 886-891.
- 1356 Chiuev SE, Sun Q, Curhan GC, Taylor EN, Spiegelman D, Willett WC, Manson JE, Rexrode KM and  
1357 Albert CM, 2013. Dietary and plasma magnesium and risk of coronary heart disease among  
1358 women. *Journal of the American Heart Association*, 2, e000114.
- 1359 Consolazio CF, Matoush LO, Nelson RA, Harding RS and Canham JE, 1963. Excretion of sodium,  
1360 potassium, and iron in human sweat and the relationship of each to balance and requirements. *The  
1361 Journal of Nutrition*, 79, 407-415.
- 1362 Cosaro E, Bonafini S, Montagnana M, Danese E, Trettene MS, Minuz P, Delva P and Fava C, 2014.  
1363 Effects of magnesium supplements on blood pressure, endothelial function and metabolic

- 1364 parameters in healthy young men with a family history of metabolic syndrome. *Nutrition,*  
1365 *Metabolism and Cardiovascular Diseases*, 24, 1213-1220.
- 1366 Costa F, Calloway DH and Margen S, 1969. Regional and total body sweat composition of men fed  
1367 controlled diets. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 22, 52-58.
- 1368 D-A-CH, 2015. Referenzwerte für die Nährstoffzufuhr. 2. Auflage, 1. Ausgabe. Deutsche Gesellschaft  
1369 für Ernährung, Österreichische Gesellschaft für Ernährung, Schweizerische Gesellschaft für  
1370 Ernährung, 215 pp.
- 1371 Dai LJ, Ritchie G, Kerstan D, Kang HS, Cole DE and Quamme GA, 2001. Magnesium transport in  
1372 the renal distal convoluted tubule. *Physiological Reviews*, 81, 51-84.
- 1373 Del Gobbo LC, Imamura F, Wu JH, de Oliveira Otto MC, Chiuve SE and Mozaffarian D, 2013.  
1374 Circulating and dietary magnesium and risk of cardiovascular disease: a systematic review and  
1375 meta-analysis of prospective studies. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 98, 160-173.
- 1376 Dengel JL, Mangels AR and Moser-Veillon PB, 1994. Magnesium homeostasis: Conservation  
1377 mechanism in lactating women consuming a controlled-magnesium diet. *American Journal of*  
1378 *Clinical Nutrition*, 59 (5), 990-994.
- 1379 Department of National Health and Welfare, 1983. Recommended Nutrient Intakes for Canadians.  
1380 Canadian Government Publications Center, Ottawa, Canada.
- 1381 Dewey KG, Finley DA and Lonnerdal B, 1984. Breast milk volume and composition during late  
1382 lactation (7-20 months). *Journal of Pediatric Gastroenterology and Nutrition*, 3, 713-720.
- 1383 DH (Department of Health), 1991. Dietary Reference Values for food energy and nutrients for the  
1384 United Kingdom. Report of the Panel on Dietary Reference Values of the Committee on Medical  
1385 Aspects of Food Policy. HMSO, London, UK, 212 pp.
- 1386 DHSS (Department of Health and Social Security), 1980. Artificial Feeds for the Young Infants.  
1387 Reports on health and social subjects;18, HMSO, London, UK, 104 pp.
- 1388 Dibaba DT, Xun P, Fly AD, Yokota K and He K, 2014. Dietary magnesium intake and risk of  
1389 metabolic syndrome: a meta-analysis. *Diabetic Medicine*, 31, 1301-1309.
- 1390 Dickinson HO, Nicolson DJ, Campbell F, Cook JV, Beyer FR, Ford GA and Mason J, 2006.  
1391 Magnesium supplementation for the management of essential hypertension in adults. *Cochrane*  
1392 *Database of Systematic Reviews*, CD004640.
- 1393 Dong JY, Xun P, He K and Qin LQ, 2011. Magnesium intake and risk of type 2 diabetes: meta-  
1394 analysis of prospective cohort studies. *Diabetes Care*, 34, 2116-2122.
- 1395 Dorea JG, 2000. Magnesium in human milk. *Journal of the American College of Nutrition*, 19, 210-  
1396 219.
- 1397 Doybak A, Ozeke T, Tarim O, Ozkan T, Gucer S and Ikiz N, 1999. Mineral and trace element  
1398 concentrations in breast milk and the serum of mother and child on the 1st and the 4th months of  
1399 lactation. *Medecine Biologie Environnement*, 27 (1), 51-54.
- 1400 Doyle L, Flynn A and Cashman K, 1999. The effect of magnesium supplementation on biochemical  
1401 markers of bone metabolism or blood pressure in healthy young adult females. *European Journal*  
1402 *of Clinical Nutrition*, 53 (4), 255-261.
- 1403 Durlach J, Pages N, Bac P, Bara M and Guiet-Bara A, 2002. Importance of the ratio between ionized  
1404 and total Mg in serum or plasma: new data on the regulation of Mg status and practical importance  
1405 of total Mg concentration in the investigation of Mg imbalance. *Magnesium Research*, 15, 203-  
1406 205.

- 1407 EFSA (European Food Safety Authority), 2011a. Use of the EFSA Comprehensive European Food  
1408 Consumption Database in exposure assessment. *EFSA Journal* 2011;9(3):2097, 34 pp.  
1409 doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2011.2097
- 1410 EFSA (European Food Safety Authority), 2011b. Report on the development of a food classification  
1411 and description system for exposure assessment and guidance on its implementation and use.  
1412 *EFSA Journal* 2011;9(12):2489, 84 pp. doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2011.2489
- 1413 EFSA NDA Panel (EFSA Panel on Dietetic Products, Nutrition and Allergies), 2009. Scientific  
1414 Opinion on the appropriate age for introduction of complementary feeding of infants. *EFSA*  
1415 *Journal* 2009;7(12):1423, 38 pp. doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2009.1423
- 1416 EFSA NDA Panel (EFSA Panel on Dietetic Products, Nutrition and Allergies), 2012. Scientific  
1417 Opinion on Dietary Reference Values for protein. *EFSA Journal* 2012;10(2):2557, 66 pp.  
1418 doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2012.2557
- 1419 EFSA NDA Panel (EFSA Panel on Dietetic Products, Nutrition and Allergies), 2013. Scientific  
1420 Opinion on Dietary Reference Values for energy. *EFSA Journal* 2013;11(1):3005, 112 pp.  
1421 doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2013.3005
- 1422 Elin RJ, 1987. Assessment of magnesium status. *Clinical Chemistry*, 33, 1965-1970.
- 1423 Elin RJ, 1991. Laboratory tests for the assessment of magnesium status in humans. *Magnesium and*  
1424 *Trace Elements*, 10, 172-181.
- 1425 Elin RJ, 2011. Re-evaluation of the concept of chronic, latent, magnesium deficiency. *Magnesium*  
1426 *Research*, 24, 225-227.
- 1427 Ely MR, Kenefick RW, Cheuvront SN, Chinevere T, Lacher CP, Lukaski HC and Montain SJ, 2013.  
1428 The effect of heat acclimation on sweat microminerals: artifact of surface contamination.  
1429 *International Journal of Sport Nutrition and Exercise Metabolism*, 23, 470-479.
- 1430 FAO/WHO/UNU (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations/World Health  
1431 Organization/United Nations University), 2004. Human energy requirements. Report of a Joint  
1432 FAO/WHO/UNU Expert Consultation. Rome, 17-24 October 2001. FAO Food and Nutrition  
1433 Technical Report Series, 103 pp.
- 1434 Farrell VA, Harris M, Lohman TG, Going SB, Thomson CA, Weber JL and Houtkooper LB, 2009.  
1435 Comparison between dietary assessment methods for determining associations between nutrient  
1436 intakes and bone mineral density in postmenopausal women. *Journal of the American Dietetic*  
1437 *Association*, 109, 899-904.
- 1438 Fatemi S, Ryzen E, Flores J, Endres DB and Rude RK, 1991. Effect of experimental human  
1439 magnesium depletion on parathyroid hormone secretion and 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D metabolism.  
1440 *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism*, 73 (5), 1067-1072.
- 1441 Franz KB, 2004. A functional biological marker is needed for diagnosing magnesium deficiency.  
1442 *Journal of the American College of Nutrition*, 23, 738S-741S.
- 1443 Friel JK, Andrews WL, Jackson SE, Longerich HP, Mercer C, McDonald A, Dawson B and Sutradhar  
1444 B, 1999. Elemental composition of human milk from mothers of premature and full-term infants  
1445 during the first 3 months of lactation. *Biological Trace Element Research*, 67, 225-247.
- 1446 Greger JL, Baligar P, Abernathy RP, Bennett OA and Peterson T, 1978. Calcium, magnesium,  
1447 phosphorus, copper, and manganese balance in adolescent females. *American Journal of Clinical*  
1448 *Nutrition*, 31, 117-121.
- 1449 Greger JL, Huffman J, Abernathy RP, Bennett OA and Resnick SE, 1979. Phosphorus and magnesium  
1450 balance of adolescent females fed two levels of zinc. *Journal of Food Science*, 44, 1765-1767.
- 1451 Greger JL and Baier MJ, 1983. Effect of dietary aluminum on mineral metabolism of adult males.  
1452 *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 38, 411-419.

- 1453 Gullestad L, Nes M, Ronneberg R, Midtvedt K, Falch D and Kjekshus J, 1994. Magnesium status in  
1454 healthy free-living elderly Norwegians. *Journal of the American College of Nutrition*, 13, 45-50.
- 1455 Günther T, 2011. Magnesium in bone and the magnesium load test. *Magnesium Research*, 24, 223-  
1456 224.
- 1457 Hallberg L, Hogdahl AM, Nilsson L and Rybo G, 1966. Menstrual blood loss--a population study.  
1458 Variation at different ages and attempts to define normality. *Acta Obstetricia et Gynecologica*  
1459 *Scandinavica*, 45, 320-351.
- 1460 Harvey LJ, Armah CN, Dainty JR, Foxall RJ, John Lewis D, Langford NJ and Fairweather-Tait SJ,  
1461 2005. Impact of menstrual blood loss and diet on iron deficiency among women in the UK. *British*  
1462 *Journal of Nutrition*, 94, 557-564.
- 1463 Health and Welfare Canada Scientific Review Committee, 1990. *Nutrition Recommendations : The*  
1464 *report of the Scientific Review Committee*. Canadian Government Publishing Centre, 208 pp.
- 1465 Heinig MJ, Nommsen LA, Peerson JM, Lonnerdal B and Dewey KG, 1993. Energy and protein  
1466 intakes of breast-fed and formula-fed infants during the first year of life and their association with  
1467 growth velocity: the DARLING Study. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 58, 152-161.
- 1468 Helldán A, Raulio S, Kosola M, Tapanainen H, Ovaskainen ML and Virtanen S, 2013. Finravinto  
1469 2012 -tutkimus - The National FINDIET 2012 Survey. THL. Raportti 16/2013, 217 pp.
- 1470 Henrotte JG, Pla M and Dausset J, 1990. HLA- and H-2-associated variations of intra- and  
1471 extracellular magnesium content. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United*  
1472 *States of America*, 87, 1894-1898.
- 1473 Hodge AM, English DR, O'Dea K and Giles GG, 2004. Glycemic index and dietary fiber and the risk  
1474 of type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care*, 27, 2701-2706.
- 1475 Holt C, 1993. Interrelationships of the concentrations of some ionic constituents of human milk and  
1476 comparison with cow and goat milks. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology. Comparative*  
1477 *Physiology*, 104, 35-41.
- 1478 Hopping BN, Erber E, Grandinetti A, Verheus M, Kolonel LN and Maskarinec G, 2010. Dietary fiber,  
1479 magnesium, and glycemic load alter risk of type 2 diabetes in a multiethnic cohort in Hawaii.  
1480 *Journal of Nutrition*, 140, 68-74.
- 1481 Hoppu U, Lehtisalo J, Tapanainen H and Pietinen P, 2010. Dietary habits and nutrient intake of  
1482 Finnish adolescents. *Public Health Nutrition*, 13, 965-972.
- 1483 Hruby A, Ngwa JS, Renstrom F, Wojczynski MK, Ganna A, Hallmans G, Houston DK, Jacques PF,  
1484 Kanoni S, Lehtimäki T, Lemaitre RN, Manichaikul A, North KE, Ntalla I, Sonestedt E, Tanaka T,  
1485 van Rooij FJ, Bandinelli S, Djousse L, Grigoriou E, Johansson I, Lohman KK, Pankow JS,  
1486 Raitakari OT, Riserus U, Yannakoulia M, Zillikens MC, Hassanali N, Liu Y, Mozaffarian D,  
1487 Papoutsakis C, Syvanen AC, Uitterlinden AG, Viikari J, Groves CJ, Hofman A, Lind L, McCarthy  
1488 MI, Mikkilä V, Mukamal K, Franco OH, Borecki IB, Cupples LA, Dedoussis GV, Ferrucci L, Hu  
1489 FB, Ingelsson E, Kahonen M, Kao WH, Kritchevsky SB, Orho-Melander M, Prokopenko I, Rotter  
1490 JI, Siscovick DS, Witteman JC, Franks PW, Meigs JB, McKeown NM and Nettleton JA, 2013.  
1491 Higher magnesium intake is associated with lower fasting glucose and insulin, with no evidence of  
1492 interaction with select genetic loci, in a meta-analysis of 15 CHARGE Consortium Studies.  
1493 *Journal of Nutrition*, 143, 345-353.
- 1494 Hruby A, O'Donnell CJ, Jacques PF, Meigs JB, Hoffmann U and McKeown NM, 2014. Magnesium  
1495 intake is inversely associated with coronary artery calcification: the Framingham Heart Study. *The*  
1496 *Journal of the American College of Cardiology: Cardiovascular imaging*, 7, 59-69.
- 1497 Huerta MG, Roemmich JN, Kington ML, Bovbjerg VE, Weltman AL, Holmes VF, Patrie JT, Rogol  
1498 AD and Nadler JL, 2005. Magnesium deficiency is associated with insulin resistance in obese  
1499 children. *Diabetes Care*, 28, 1175-1181.

- 1500 Hunt CD, Butte NF and Johnson LK, 2005. Boron concentrations in milk from mothers of exclusively  
1501 breast-fed healthy full-term infants are stable during the first four months of lactation. *Journal of*  
1502 *Nutrition*, 135, 2383-2386.
- 1503 Hunt CD and Johnson LK, 2006. Magnesium requirements: new estimations for men and women by  
1504 cross-sectional statistical analyses of metabolic magnesium balance data. *American Journal of*  
1505 *Clinical Nutrition*, 84, 843-852.
- 1506 Hunt SM and Schofield FA, 1969. Magnesium balance and protein intake level in adult human  
1507 female. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 22, 367-373.
- 1508 Hunter DJ, de Lange M, Snieder H, MacGregor AJ, Swaminathan R, Thakker RV and Spector T, D.,  
1509 2002. Genetic contribution to renal function and electrolyte balance: a twin study. *Clinical*  
1510 *Science*, 103, 259-265.
- 1511 Husain SM and Sibley CP, 1993. Magnesium and pregnancy. *Mineral and Electrolyte Metabolism*,  
1512 19, 296-307.
- 1513 IOM (Institute of Medicine), 1990. Nutrition during pregnancy. Report of the Subcommittee on  
1514 Nutritional Status and Weight Gain During Pregnancy, Subcommittee on Dietary Intake and  
1515 Nutrient Supplements During Pregnancy, Committee on Nutritional Status During Pregnancy and  
1516 Lactation, Food and Nutrition Board. National Academy Press, Washington DC, USA.
- 1517 IOM (Institute of Medicine), 1997. Dietary Reference Intakes for calcium, phosphorus, magnesium,  
1518 vitamin D, and fluoride. National Academy Press, Washington DC, USA, 454 pp.
- 1519 IUNA (Irish Universities Nutrition Alliance), 2011. National Adult Nutrition Survey. 40 pp.
- 1520 Jee SH, Miller ER, 3rd, Guallar E, Singh VK, Appel LJ and Klag MJ, 2002. The effect of magnesium  
1521 supplementation on blood pressure: a meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials. *American*  
1522 *Journal of Hypertension*, 15, 691-696.
- 1523 Jones JE, Manalo R and Flink EB, 1967. Magnesium requirements in adults. *American Journal of*  
1524 *Clinical Nutrition*, 20, 632-635.
- 1525 Ju SY, Choi WS, Ock SM, Kim CM and Kim DH, 2014. Dietary magnesium intake and metabolic  
1526 syndrome in the adult population: dose-response meta-analysis and meta-regression. *Nutrients*, 6,  
1527 6005-6019.
- 1528 Kao WH, Folsom AR, Nieto FJ, Mo JP, Watson RL and Brancati FL, 1999. Serum and dietary  
1529 magnesium and the risk for type 2 diabetes mellitus: the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities  
1530 Study. *Archives of Internal Medicine*, 159, 2151-2159.
- 1531 Kass L, Weekes J and Carpenter L, 2012. Effect of magnesium supplementation on blood pressure: a  
1532 meta-analysis. *European Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 66, 411-418.
- 1533 Kelsay JL, Behall KM and Prather ES, 1979. Effect of fiber from fruits and vegetables on metabolic  
1534 responses of human subjects, II. Calcium, magnesium, iron, and silicon balances. *American*  
1535 *Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 32, 1876-1880.
- 1536 Kelsay JL and Prather ES, 1983. Mineral balances of human subjects consuming spinach in a low-  
1537 fiber diet and in a diet containing fruits and vegetables. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 38,  
1538 12-19.
- 1539 Kersting M and Clausen K, 2003. Ernährungsphysiologische Auswertung einer repräsentativen  
1540 Verzehrsstudie bei Säuglingen und Kleinkindern VELS mit dem Instrumentarium der DONALD  
1541 Studie. *Forschungsinstitut für Kinderernährung, Dortmund, Germany*, 103 pp.
- 1542 Kim DJ, Xun P, Liu K, Loria C, Yokota K, Jacobs DR, Jr. and He K, 2010. Magnesium intake in  
1543 relation to systemic inflammation, insulin resistance, and the incidence of diabetes. *Diabetes Care*,  
1544 33, 2604-2610.

- 1545 Kirii K, Iso H, Date C, Fukui M, Tamakoshi A and Group JS, 2010. Magnesium intake and risk of  
 1546 self-reported type 2 diabetes among Japanese. *Journal of the American College of Nutrition*, 29,  
 1547 99-106.
- 1548 Klevay LM and Milne DB, 2002. Low dietary magnesium increases supraventricular ectopy.  
 1549 *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 75 (3), 550-554.
- 1550 Kubota T, Shindo Y, Tokuno K, Komatsu H, Ogawa H, Kudo S, Kitamura Y, Suzuki K and Oka K,  
 1551 2005. Mitochondria are intracellular magnesium stores: investigation by simultaneous fluorescent  
 1552 imaging in PC12 cells. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta*, 1744, 19-28.
- 1553 Kyttala P, Erkkola M, Kronberg-Kippila C, Tapanainen H, Veijola R, Simell O, Knip M and Virtanen  
 1554 SM, 2010. Food consumption and nutrient intake in Finnish 1-6-year-old children. *Public Health  
 1555 Nutrition*, 13, 947-956.
- 1556 Kyttälä P, Ovaskainen M, Kronberg-Kippilä C, Erkkola M, Tapanainen H, Tuokkola J, Veijola R,  
 1557 Simell O, Knip M and Virtanen S, 2008. The Diet of Finnish Preschoolers (in Finnish, abstract in  
 1558 English). Publications of the National Public Health Institute B32/2008, 154 pp.
- 1559 Laires MJ, Monteiro CP and Bicho M, 2004. Role of cellular magnesium in health and human  
 1560 disease. *Frontiers in Bioscience*, 9, 262-276.
- 1561 Lakshmanan FL, Rao RB, Kim WW and Kelsay JL, 1984. Magnesium intakes, balances, and blood  
 1562 levels of adults consuming self-selected diets. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 40, 1380-  
 1563 1389.
- 1564 Larsson SC and Wolk A, 2007. Magnesium intake and risk of type 2 diabetes: a meta-analysis.  
 1565 *Journal of Internal Medicine*, 262, 208-214.
- 1566 Larsson SC, N. O and Wolk A, 2012. Dietary magnesium intake and risk of stroke: a meta-analysis of  
 1567 prospective studies. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 95, 362-366.
- 1568 LASER Analytica, 2014. Comprehensive literature search and review of breast milk composition as  
 1569 preparatory work for the setting of dietary reference values for vitamins and minerals. EFSA  
 1570 supporting publication 2014:EN-629, 154 pp.
- 1571 Lennox A, Sommerville J, Ong K, Henderson H and Allen R (UK Department of Health and Food  
 1572 Standards Agency), 2013. Diet and nutrition survey of infants and young children, 2011. 108 pp.
- 1573 Lentner C, 1981. Geigy scientific tables: Units of measurement, body fluids, composition of the body,  
 1574 nutrition. Ciba-Geigy, Basel, Switzerland.
- 1575 Lonnerdal B, 1995. Magnesium nutrition of infants. *Magnesium Research*, 8, 99-105.
- 1576 Lopez-Ridaura R, Willett WC, Rimm EB, Liu S, Stampfer MJ, Manson JE and Hu FB, 2004.  
 1577 Magnesium intake and risk of type 2 diabetes in men and women. *Diabetes Care*, 27, 134-140.
- 1578 Lowenstein FW and Stanton MF, 1986. Serum magnesium levels in the United States, 1971-1974.  
 1579 *Journal of the American College of Nutrition*, 5, 399-414.
- 1580 Mahalko JR, Sandstead HH, Johnson LK and Milne DB, 1983. Effect of a moderate increase in  
 1581 dietary protein on the retention and excretion of Ca, Cu, Fe, Mg, P, and Zn by adult males.  
 1582 *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 37, 8-14.
- 1583 Makrides M, Crosby DD, Bain E and Crowther CA, 2014. Magnesium supplementation in pregnancy.  
 1584 *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, 4, CD000937.
- 1585 Martini LA, Catania AS and Ferreira SR, 2010. Role of vitamins and minerals in prevention and  
 1586 management of type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Nutrition Reviews*, 68, 341-354.
- 1587 Marxhall DH, Nordin BE and Speed R, 1976. Calcium, phosphorus and magnesium requirement.  
 1588 *Proceedings of the Nutrition Society*, 35, 163-173.

- 1589 McDonald JT and Margen S, 1979. Wine versus ethanol in human nutrition. III. Calcium,  
1590 phosphorous, and magnesium balance. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 32, 823-833.
- 1591 Mensink GB, Heseker H, Richter A, Stahl A and Vohmann C (Robert Koch-Institut & Universität  
1592 Paderborn), 2007. Ernährungsstudie als KIGGS-Modul (EsKiMo). 143 pp.
- 1593 Mertz W, 1987. Use and misuse of balance studies. *Journal of Nutrition*, 117, 1811-1813.
- 1594 Meyer KA, Kushi LH, Jacobs DR, Jr., Slavin J, Sellers TA and Folsom AR, 2000. Carbohydrates,  
1595 dietary fiber, and incident type 2 diabetes in older women. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*,  
1596 71, 921-930.
- 1597 Meyer TE, Verwoert GC, Hwang SJ, Glazer NL, Smith AV, van Rooij FJ, Ehret GB, Boerwinkle E,  
1598 Felix JF, Leak TS, Harris TB, Yang Q, Dehghan A, Aspelund T, Katz R, Homuth G, Kocher T,  
1599 Rettig R, Ried JS, Gieger C, Prucha H, Pfeufer A, Meitinger T, Coresh J, Hofman A, Sarnak MJ,  
1600 Chen YD, Uitterlinden AG, Chakravarti A, Psaty BM, van Duijn CM, Kao WH, Witteman JC,  
1601 Gudnason V, Siscovick DS, Fox CS, Kottgen A, Genetic Factors for Osteoporosis C, Meta  
1602 Analysis of G and Insulin Related Traits C, 2010. Genome-wide association studies of serum  
1603 magnesium, potassium, and sodium concentrations identify six Loci influencing serum magnesium  
1604 levels. *PLoS Genetics*, 6.
- 1605 Millart H, Durlach V and Durlach J, 1995. Red blood cell magnesium concentrations: analytical  
1606 problems and significance. *Magnesium Research*, 8, 65-76.
- 1607 Miller KB, Caton JS, Schafer DM, Smith DJ and Finley JW, 2000. High dietary manganese lowers  
1608 heart magnesium in pigs fed a low-magnesium diet. *Journal of Nutrition*, 130, 2032-2035.
- 1609 Milne DB and Nielsen FH, 2000. The interaction between dietary fructose and magnesium adversely  
1610 affects macromineral homeostasis in men. *Journal of the American College of Nutrition*, 19 (1),  
1611 31-37.
- 1612 Misialek JR, Lopez FL, Lutsey PL, Huxley RR, Peacock JM, Chen LY, Soliman EZ, Agarwal SK and  
1613 Alonso A, 2013. Serum and dietary magnesium and incidence of atrial fibrillation in whites and in  
1614 African Americans--Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) study. *Circulation Journal*, 77,  
1615 323-329.
- 1616 Mizushima S, Cappuccio FP, Nichols R and Elliott P, 1998. Dietary magnesium intake and blood  
1617 pressure: a qualitative overview of the observational studies. *Journal of Human Hypertension*, 12,  
1618 447-453.
- 1619 Montain SJ, Chevront SN and Lukaski HC, 2007. Sweat mineral-element responses during 7 h of  
1620 exercise-heat stress. *International Journal of Sport Nutrition and Exercise Metabolism*, 17, 574-  
1621 582.
- 1622 Musso CG, 2009. Magnesium metabolism in health and disease. *International Urology and*  
1623 *Nephrology*, 41, 357-362.
- 1624 Nandakumaran M, Dashti HM and Al-Zaid NS, 2002. Maternal-fetal transport kinetics of copper,  
1625 selenium, magnesium and iron in perfused human placental lobule: in vitro study. *Molecular and*  
1626 *Cellular Biochemistry*, 231, 9-14.
- 1627 Nanri A, Mizoue T, Noda M, Takahashi Y, Kirii K, Inoue M, Tsugane S and Japan Public Health  
1628 Center-based Prospective Study G, 2010. Magnesium intake and type II diabetes in Japanese men  
1629 and women: the Japan Public Health Center-based Prospective Study. *European Journal of Clinical*  
1630 *Nutrition*, 64, 1244-1247.
- 1631 Netherlands Food and Nutrition Council, 1992. Recommended Dietary Allowances 1989 in the  
1632 Netherlands. 115 pp.

- 1633 Neville MC, Keller RP, Seacat J, Casey CE, Allen JC and Archer P, 1984. Studies on human  
1634 lactation. I. Within-feed and between-breast variation in selected components of human milk.  
1635 American Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 40, 635-646.
- 1636 Nichols BL, Alvarado J, Hazlewood CF and Viteri F, 1978. Magnesium supplementation in protein-  
1637 calorie malnutrition. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 31, 176-188.
- 1638 Nie ZL, Wang ZM, Zhou B, Tang ZP and Wang SK, 2013. Magnesium intake and incidence of  
1639 stroke: meta-analysis of cohort studies. Nutrition, Metabolism and Cardiovascular Diseases, 23,  
1640 169-176.
- 1641 Nielsen FH, 2004. The alteration of magnesium, calcium and phosphorus metabolism by dietary  
1642 magnesium deprivation in postmenopausal women is not affected by dietary boron deprivation.  
1643 Magnesium Research, 17 (3), 197-210.
- 1644 Nielsen FH and Milne DB, 2004. A moderately high intake compared to a low intake of zinc  
1645 depresses magnesium balance and alters indices of bone turnover in postmenopausal women.  
1646 European Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 58 (5), 703-710.
- 1647 Nielsen FH and Lukaski HC, 2006. Update on the relationship between magnesium and exercise.  
1648 Magnesium Research, 19, 180-189.
- 1649 Nielsen FH, Milne DB, Gallagher S, Johnson L and Hoverson B, 2007. Moderate magnesium  
1650 deprivation results in calcium retention and altered potassium and phosphorus excretion by  
1651 postmenopausal women. Magnesium Research, 20 (1), 19-31.
- 1652 Nishimuta M, Kodama N, Morikuni E, Yoshioka YH, Matsuzaki N, Takeyama H, Yamada H and  
1653 Kitajima H, 2006. Equilibrium intakes of calcium and magnesium within an adequate and limited  
1654 range of sodium intake in human. Journal of Nutritional Science and Vitaminology, 52, 402-406.
- 1655 Nishimuta M, Kodama N, Shimada M, Yoshitake Y, Matsuzaki N and Morikuni E, 2012. Estimated  
1656 equilibrated dietary intakes for nine minerals (Na, K, Ca, Mg, P, Fe, Zn, Cu, and Mn) adjusted by  
1657 mineral balance medians in young Japanese females. Journal of Nutritional Science and  
1658 Vitaminology, 58, 118-128.
- 1659 Nordic Council of Ministers (Nordic Council of Ministers), 2014. Nordic Nutrition Recommendations  
1660 2012. Integrating nutrition and physical activity. 5th edition., 627 pp.
- 1661 Orchard TS, Larson JC, Alghothani N, Bout-Tabaku S, Cauley JA, Chen Z, LaCroix AZ, Wactawski-  
1662 Wende J and Jackson RD, 2014. Magnesium intake, bone mineral density, and fractures: results  
1663 from the Women's Health Initiative Observational Study. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition,  
1664 99, 926-933.
- 1665 Palacios C, Wigertz K and Weaver CM, 2003. Comparison of 24 hour whole body versus patch tests  
1666 for estimating body surface electrolyte losses. International Journal of Sport Nutrition and  
1667 Exercise Metabolism, 13, 479-488.
- 1668 Park HS, Hong C, Kim BJ and So I, 2014. The pathophysiologic roles of TRPM7 channel. Korean  
1669 Journal of Physiology and Pharmacology, 18, 15-23.
- 1670 Parr R, Demaeyer EM, Iyengar VG, Byrne AR, Kirkbright GF, Schoch G, Niinisto L, Pineda O, Vis  
1671 HL, Hofvander Y and Omolulu A, 1991. Minor and trace elements in human milk from  
1672 Guatemala, Hungary, Nigeria, Philippines, Sweden and Zaire- Results from a WHO/IAEA Joint  
1673 Project. Biological Trace Element Research, 29, 51-75.
- 1674 Paul AA, Black A, Evans J, Cole T and Whitehead R, 1988. Breastmilk intake and growth from two  
1675 to ten months. Journal of Human Nutrition and Dietetics, 1, 437-450.
- 1676 Qu X, Jin F, Hao Y, Zhu Z, Li H, Tang T and Dai K, 2013a. Nonlinear association between  
1677 magnesium intake and the risk of colorectal cancer. European Journal of Gastroenterology and  
1678 Hepatology, 25, 309-318.

- 1679 Qu X, Jin F, Hao Y, Li H, Tang T, Wang H, Yan W and Dai K, 2013b. Magnesium and the risk of  
1680 cardiovascular events: a meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. PLoS ONE, 8, e57720.
- 1681 Rakicioglu N, Samur G, Topcu A and Topcu AA, 2006. The effect of Ramadan on maternal nutrition  
1682 and composition of breast milk. Pediatrics International, 48 (3), 278-283.
- 1683 Roe MA, Bell S, Oseredczuk M, Christensen T, Westenbrink S, Pakkala H, Presser K and Finglas  
1684 PM, 2013. Updated food composition database for nutrient intake. EFSA supporting publication  
1685 2013:EN-355, 21 pp.
- 1686 Romani A, 2011. Cellular magnesium homeostasis. Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics, 512, 1-  
1687 23.
- 1688 Rude RK and Gruber HE, 2004. Magnesium deficiency and osteoporosis: animal and human  
1689 observations. Journal of Nutritional Biochemistry, 15, 710-716.
- 1690 Rude RK, 2014. Magnesium. In: Modern Nutrition in Health and Disease. Eds Ross AC, Caballero B,  
1691 Cousins RJ, Tucker KL and Ziegler TR. Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, Philadelphia, USA, 159-  
1692 175.
- 1693 Ryder KM, Shorr RI, Bush AJ, Kritchevsky SB, Harris T, Stone K, Cauley J and Tylavsky FA, 2005.  
1694 Magnesium intake from food and supplements is associated with bone mineral density in healthy  
1695 older white subjects. Journal of the American Geriatrics Society, 53, 1875-1880.
- 1696 Sabatier M, Pont F, Arnaud MJ and Turnlund JR, 2003a. A compartmental model of magnesium  
1697 metabolism in healthy men based on two stable isotope tracers. American Journal of Physiology,  
1698 285, R656-R663.
- 1699 Sabatier M, Arnaud MJ and Turnlund JR, 2003b. Magnesium absorption from mineral water.  
1700 European Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 57, 801-802.
- 1701 Sales CH and Pedrosa Lde F, 2006. Magnesium and diabetes mellitus: their relation. Clinical  
1702 Nutrition, 25, 554-562.
- 1703 Sanders GT, Huijgen HJ and Sanders R, 1999. Magnesium in disease: a review with special emphasis  
1704 on the serum ionized magnesium. Clinical Chemistry and Laboratory Medicine, 37, 1011-1033.
- 1705 Saris NE, Mervaala E, Karppanen H, Khawaja JA and Lewenstam A, 2000. Magnesium. An update  
1706 on physiological, clinical and analytical aspects. Clinica Chimica Acta, 294, 1-26.
- 1707 SCF (Scientific Committee for Food), 1993. Nutrient and energy intakes for the European  
1708 Community. Reports of the Scientific Committee for Food, 31st Series. Food - Science and  
1709 Technique, European Commission, Luxembourg, 248 pp.
- 1710 SCF (Scientific Committee on Food), 2001. Opinion of the Scientific Committee on Food on the  
1711 Tolerable Upper Intake Level of magnesium. 9 pp.
- 1712 Schlingmann KP, Konrad M and Seyberth HW, 2004. Genetics of hereditary disorders of magnesium  
1713 homeostasis. Pediatric Nephrology, 19, 13-25.
- 1714 Schofield FA and Morrell E, 1960. Calcium, phosphorus and magnesium. Federation Proceedings, 19,  
1715 1014-1016.
- 1716 Schulze MB, Schulz M, Heidemann C, Schienkiewitz A, Hoffmann K and Boeing H, 2007. Fiber and  
1717 magnesium intake and incidence of type 2 diabetes: a prospective study and meta-analysis.  
1718 Archives of Internal Medicine, 167, 956-965.
- 1719 Schwartz R, Walker G, Linz MD and MacKellar I, 1973. Metabolic responses of adolescent boys to  
1720 two levels of dietary magnesium and protein. I. Magnesium and nitrogen retention. American  
1721 Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 26, 510-518.
- 1722 Schwartz R, Spencer H and Wentworth RA, 1978. Measurement of magnesium absorption in man  
1723 using stable <sup>26</sup>Mg as a tracer. Clinica Chimica Acta, 87, 265-273.

- 1724 Schwartz R, Spencer H and Welsh JJ, 1984. Magnesium absorption in human subjects from leafy  
1725 vegetables, intrinsically labeled with stable <sup>26</sup>Mg. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 39,  
1726 571-576.
- 1727 Schwartz R, Apgar BJ and Wien EM, 1986. Apparent absorption and retention of Ca, Cu, Mg, Mn,  
1728 and Zn from a diet containing bran. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 43, 444-455.
- 1729 Seelig MS, 1982. Magnesium requirements in human nutrition. *Journal of the Medical Society of*  
1730 *New Jersey*, 79, 849-850.
- 1731 Sette S, Le Donne C, Piccinelli R, Arcella D, Turrini A and Leclercq C, 2011. The third Italian  
1732 National Food Consumption Survey, INRAN-SCAI 2005-06 - Part 1: Nutrient intakes in Italy.  
1733 *Nutrition, Metabolism and Cardiovascular Diseases*, 21, 922-932.
- 1734 Shils ME and Rude RK, 1996. Deliberations and evaluations of the approaches, endpoints and  
1735 paradigms for magnesium dietary recommendations. *Journal of Nutrition*, 126, 2398S-2403S.
- 1736 Shirreffs S and Maughan R, 2005. Water-electrolyte balance. In: *Encyclopedia of Human Nutrition*.  
1737 Ed Caballero B AL Prentice A. Elsevier, Oxford, UK, 100-105.
- 1738 Shirreffs SM and Maughan RJ, 1997. Whole body sweat collection in humans: an improved method  
1739 with preliminary data on electrolyte content. *Journal of Applied Physiology* (1985), 82, 336-341.
- 1740 Sievers E, Schleyerbach U and Schaub J, 2000. Magnesium balance studies in premature and term  
1741 infants. *European Journal of Nutrition*, 39 (1), 1-6.
- 1742 Sinchai W, Sethavanich S, Asavapiriyant S, Sittipiyasakul V, Sirikanchanakul R, Udomkiatsakul P,  
1743 Chantaeyoon P, Roybang K, Trakankamol J, Suti S and et al., 1995. Effects of a progestogen-only  
1744 pill (Exluton) and an intrauterine device (Multiload Cu250) on breastfeeding. *Advances in*  
1745 *Contraception*, 11, 143-155.
- 1746 Slavin JL and Marlett JA, 1980. Influence of refined cellulose on human bowel function and calcium  
1747 and magnesium balance. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 33, 1932-1939.
- 1748 Sluijjs I, Czernichow S, Beulens J, Boer J, van der Schouw Y, Verschuren WM and Grobbee DE,  
1749 2014. Intakes of potassium, magnesium, and calcium and risk of stroke. *Stroke*, 45, 1148-1150.
- 1750 Sojka J, Wastney M, Abrams S, Lewis SF, Martin B, Weaver C and Peacock M, 1997. Magnesium  
1751 kinetics in adolescent girls determined using stable isotopes: effects of high and low calcium  
1752 intake. *American Journal of Physiology*, 273, R710-715.
- 1753 Song Y, Manson JE, Buring JE and Liu S, 2004. Dietary magnesium intake in relation to plasma  
1754 insulin levels and risk of type 2 diabetes in women. *Diabetes Care*, 27, 59-65.
- 1755 Song Y, Hsu YH, Niu T, Manson JE, Buring JE and Liu S, 2009. Common genetic variants of the ion  
1756 channel transient receptor potential membrane melastatin 6 and 7 (TRPM6 and TRPM7),  
1757 magnesium intake, and risk of type 2 diabetes in women. *BMC Medical Genetics*, 10, 4.
- 1758 Specker BL, Beck A, Kalkwarf H and Ho M, 1997. Randomized trial of varying mineral intake on  
1759 total body bone mineral accretion during the first year of life. *Pediatrics*, 99:e12.
- 1760 Spencer H, Fuller H, Norris C and Williams D, 1994. Effect of magnesium on the intestinal  
1761 absorption of calcium in man. *Journal of the American College of Nutrition*, 13, 485-492.
- 1762 Subudhi AW, Askew EW and Luetkemeier MJ, 2005. Dehydration. In: *Encyclopedia of Human*  
1763 *Nutrition*. Ed Caballero B AL, Prentice A. Elsevier, Oxford, UK, 518-525.
- 1764 Swaminathan R, 2003. Magnesium metabolism and its disorders. *Clinical Biochemical Review*, 24,  
1765 47-66.
- 1766 Tanzer F and Sunel S, 1991. Calcium, magnesium and phosphorus concentrations in human milk and  
1767 in sera of nursing mothers and their infants during 26 weeks of lactation. *Indian Pediatrics*, 28,  
1768 391-400.

- 1769 Tucker KL, Hannan MT, Chen H, Cupples LA, Wilson PW and Kiel DP, 1999. Potassium,  
1770 magnesium, and fruit and vegetable intakes are associated with greater bone mineral density in  
1771 elderly men and women. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 69, 727-736.
- 1772 van Dam RM, Hu FB, Rosenberg L, Krishnan S and Palmer JR, 2006. Dietary calcium and  
1773 magnesium, major food sources, and risk of type 2 diabetes in U.S. black women. *Diabetes Care*,  
1774 29, 2238-2243.
- 1775 van Dokkum W, Cloughley FA, Hulshof KF and Oosterveen LA, 1983. Effect of variations in fat and  
1776 linoleic acid intake on the calcium, magnesium and iron balance of young men. *Annals of*  
1777 *Nutrition and Metabolism*, 27, 361-369.
- 1778 van Rossum CTM, Fransen HP, Verkaik-Kloosterman J, Buurma-Rethans EJM and Ocké MC, 2011.  
1779 Dutch National Food Consumption Survey 2007-2010: Diet of children and adults aged 7 to 69  
1780 years. RIVM Report number: 350050006/2011, National Institute for Public Health and the  
1781 Environment, 143 pp.
- 1782 Villegas R, Gao YT, Dai Q, Yang G, Cai H, Li H, Zheng W and Shu XO, 2009. Dietary calcium and  
1783 magnesium intakes and the risk of type 2 diabetes: the Shanghai Women's Health Study. *American*  
1784 *Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 89, 1059-1067.
- 1785 Vitolo MR, Valente Soares LM, Carvalho EB and Cardoso CB, 2004. Calcium and magnesium  
1786 concentrations in mature human milk: Influence of calcium intake, age and socioeconomic level.  
1787 *Archivos Latinoamericanos de Nutricion*, 54 (1), 118-122.
- 1788 Volpe SL, 2013. Magnesium in disease prevention and overall health. *Advances in Nutrition*, 4, 378S-  
1789 383S.
- 1790 Wacker WE and Parisi AF, 1968. Magnesium metabolism. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 278,  
1791 772-776 concl.
- 1792 WCRF/AICR (World Cancer Research Fund/American Institute for Cancer Research), 2007. *Food,*  
1793 *Nutrition, Physical Activity and the Prevention of Cancer: a Global Perspective*. 537 pp.
- 1794 Weber S, Schneider L, Peters M, Misselwitz J, Rönnefarth G, Böswald M, Bonzel KE, Seeman T,  
1795 Suláková T, Kuwertz-Bröking E, Gregoric A, Palcoux JB, Tasic V, Manz F, Schärer K, Seyberth  
1796 HW and Konrad M, 2001. Novel paracellin-1 mutations in 25 families with familial  
1797 hypomagnesemia with hypercalciuria and nephrocalcinosis. *Journal of the American Society of*  
1798 *Nephrology*, 12, 1872-1881.
- 1799 Weng LC, Lee NJ, Yeh WT, Ho LT and Pan WH, 2012. Lower intake of magnesium and dietary fiber  
1800 increases the incidence of type 2 diabetes in Taiwanese. *Journal of the Formosan Medical*  
1801 *Association*, 111, 651-659.
- 1802 WHO, 2011. WHO recommendations for prevention and treatment of pre-eclampsia and eclampsia:  
1803 evidence base. World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland, 82 pp.
- 1804 WHO Multicentre Growth Reference Study Group (World Health Organization), 2006. WHO Child  
1805 Growth Standards: Length/height-for-age, weight-for-age, weight-for-length, weight-for-height and  
1806 body mass index-for-age: Methods and development. 336 pp.
- 1807 WHO/FAO (World Health Organization/Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations),  
1808 2004. Vitamin and mineral requirements in human nutrition: report of a joint FAO/WHO expert  
1809 consultation, Bangkok, Thailand, 21-30 September 1998. 341 pp.
- 1810 Widdowson EM and Spray CM, 1951. Chemical development in utero. *Archives of Disease in*  
1811 *Childhood*, 26, 205-214.
- 1812 Widdowson EM and Dickerson JWT, 1964. The chemical composition of the body. In: *Mineral*  
1813 *Metabolism: An Advanced Treatise, Vol. II. The Elements, Part A*. Eds Comar CL and Bronner F.  
1814 Academic Press, New York, USA.

- 1815 Widdowson EM, 1980. Chemical composition and nutritional needs of the fetus at different stages of  
1816 gestation. In: Maternal Nutrition during Pregnancy and Lactation. Eds Aerbi H and Whitehead R.  
1817 Hans Huber, Bern, Switzerland, 39-48.
- 1818 Wisker E, Nagel R, Tanudjaja TK and Feldheim W, 1991. Calcium, magnesium, zinc, and iron  
1819 balances in young women: effects of a low-phytate barley-fiber concentrate. American Journal of  
1820 Clinical Nutrition, 54, 553-559.
- 1821 Witzcak A and Jarnuszewska A, 2011. [The content of selected mineral nutrients in infant and follow-  
1822 on formulae available at retail stores in Szczecin]. Roczniki Panstwowego Zakladu Higieny, 62,  
1823 257-262.
- 1824 Witkowski M, Hubert J and Mazur A, 2011. Methods of assessment of magnesium status in humans:  
1825 a systematic review. Magnesium Research, 24, 163-180.
- 1826 Wolf FI and Trapani V, 2008. Cell (patho)physiology of magnesium. Clinical Science (London), 114,  
1827 27-35.
- 1828 Woudenberg-Vrenken TE, Bindels RJ and Hoenderop JG, 2009. The role of transient receptor  
1829 potential channels in kidney disease. Nature Reviews Nephrology, 5, 441-449.
- 1830 Wu N and Veillette A, 2011. Immunology: Magnesium in a signalling role. Nature, 475, 462-463.
- 1831 Xu T, Sun Y, Xu T and Zhang Y, 2013. Magnesium intake and cardiovascular disease mortality: a  
1832 meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. International Journal of Cardiology, 167, 3044-3047.
- 1833 Yamawaki N, Yamada M, Kan-no T, Kojima T, Kaneko T and Yonekubo A, 2005. Macronutrient,  
1834 mineral and trace element composition of breast milk from Japanese women. Journal of Trace  
1835 Elements in Medicine and Biology, 19, 171-181.
- 1836 Young VR, 1986. Nutritional balance studies: indicators of human requirements or of adaptive  
1837 mechanisms? Journal of Nutrition, 116, 700-703.
- 1838 Ziegler EE, O'Donnell AM, Nelson SE and Fomon SJ, 1976. Body composition of the reference fetus.  
1839 Growth, 40, 329-341.
- 1840
- 1841

1842 APPENDICES

1843 Appendix A. Magnesium concentration in human milk of mothers of term infants

Reference	Number of women (number of samples)	Country	Stage of lactation	Magnesium concentration (mg/L)		
				Mean $\pm$ SD	Median	Range
Bauer and Gerss (2011)	10	Germany	1–8 weeks	31.6 $\pm$ 4.86		
Bjorklund et al. (2012)	60	Sweden	14–21 days	28 $\pm$ 4.8	28	21–43
Bocca et al. (2000)	60 (60)	Italy	Not reported	23.0 $\pm$ 0.51		
Doybak et al. (1999)	35	Turkey	1–4 months (28–123 days)	1 <sup>st</sup> month: 28 $\pm$ 7 4 <sup>th</sup> month: 31 $\pm$ 8		
Friel et al. (1999)	19 (136) (17) (16) (16) (15) (15) (15) (15) (15) (12)	Canada	2 or 3 days–3 months	week 1: 30.41 $\pm$ 4.74 week 2: 26.69 $\pm$ 3.98 week 3: 26.25 $\pm$ 4.40 week 4: 26.73 $\pm$ 4.71 week 5: 28.33 $\pm$ 5.59 week 6: 29.20 $\pm$ 5.02 week 7: 31.47 $\pm$ 5.76 week 8: 33.20 $\pm$ 5.24 week 12: 34.58 $\pm$ 6.02		26–35
Hunt et al. (2005)	45	USA	> 10 days–4 months	Month 1: 28.6 $\pm$ 2.2 Month 4: 33.0 $\pm$ 2.2		
Rakicioglu et al. (2006)	21	Turkey	2–5 months	During Ramadan (second week): 29 $\pm$ 5 2 weeks after the end of Ramadan: 33 $\pm$ 5		
Sievers et al. (2000)	14 infants	Germany	Infant age median 3.6 weeks (range 2.6–4.7)		28.6	21.2–44

Reference	Number of women (number of samples)	Country	Stage of lactation	Magnesium concentration (mg/L)		
				Mean $\pm$ SD	Median	Range
Vitolo et al. (2004)	90	Brazil	30–90 days	Low socio-economic adolescents (n = 31): 25.8 $\pm$ 4.4 Low socio-economic women (n = 30): 28.2 $\pm$ 5.6 High socio-economic women (n = 29): 27.0 $\pm$ 5.6		
Witczak and Jarnuszewska (2011)	(9)	Poland	5–6 months	40		
Yamawaki et al. (2005)	(1170) Summer: (577) Winter: (593)	Japan	1–365 days	<u>Mean total</u> : 27 $\pm$ 9  <u>By season</u> : Summer : 26 $\pm$ 9 Winter: 27 $\pm$ 9  <u>By stage of lactation</u> : Day 1–5: 32 $\pm$ 5 Day 6–10: 30 $\pm$ 9 Day 11–20: 29 $\pm$ 6 Day 21–89: 25 $\pm$ 7 Day 90–180: 27 $\pm$ 11 Day 181–365: 33 $\pm$ 7		

1844 This table considers studies not yet considered in the review by Dorea (2000).

1845

1846 **Appendix B. Dietary surveys in the EFSA Comprehensive European Food Consumption database included in the nutrient intake calculation and**  
 1847 **number of subjects in the different age classes**

Country	Dietary survey	Year	Method	Days	Age (years)	Number of subjects							
						< 1 y	1 to < 3 y	3 to < 10 y	10 to < 18 y	18 to < 65 y	65 to < 75 y	≥ 75 y	
Finland/1	DIPP	2000–2010	Dietary record	3	< 1–6	499	500	750					
Finland/2	NWSSP	2007–2008	48-hour dietary recall <sup>(a)</sup>	2x2 <sup>(a)</sup>	13–15				306				
Finland/3	FINDIET2012	2012	48-hour dietary recall <sup>(a)</sup>	2 <sup>(a)</sup>	25–74					1 295	413		
France	INCA2	2006–2007	Dietary record	7	3–79			482	973	2 276	264	84	
Germany/1	EsKiMo	2006	Dietary record	3	6–11			835	393				
Germany/2	VELS	2001–2002	Dietary record	6	< 1–4	159	347	299					
Ireland	NANS	2008–2010	Dietary record	4	18–90					1 274	149	77	
Italy	INRAN-SCAI 2005-06	2005–2006	Dietary record	3	< 1–98	16 <sup>(b)</sup>	36 <sup>(b)</sup>	193	247	2 313	290	228	
Latvia	FC_PREGNANTWOMEN 2011	2011	24-hour dietary recall	2	15–45				12 <sup>(b)</sup>	991 <sup>(c)</sup>			
Netherlands	DNFCS	2007–2010	24-hour dietary recall	2	7–69			447	1 142	2 057	173		
Sweden	RISKMATEN	2010–2011	Dietary records (Web) <sup>(d)</sup>	4	18–80					1 430	295	72	
UK/1	DNSIYC-2011	2011	Dietary record	4	0.3–1.5	1 369	1 314						
UK/2	NDNS - Rolling Programme (1–3 years)	2008–2011	Dietary record	4	1–94		185	651	666	1 266	166	139	

1848 y, years; DIPP, type 1 Diabetes Prediction and Prevention survey; DNSIYC, Diet and nutrition survey of infants and young children; DNFCS, Dutch National Food Consumption Survey;  
 1849 EsKiMo, Ernährungsstudie als KIGGS-Modul; FINDIET, the national dietary survey of Finland; INCA, étude Individuelle Nationale de Consommations Alimentaires; INRAN-SCAI,  
 1850 Istituto Nazionale di Ricerca per gli Alimenti e la Nutrizione – Studio sui Consumi Alimentari in Italia; FC\_PREGNANTWOMEN, food consumption of pregnant women in Latvia; NANS,  
 1851 National Adult Nutrition Survey; NDNS, National Diet and Nutrition Survey; NWSSP, Nutrition and Wellbeing of Secondary School Pupils; VELS, Verzehrsstudie zur Ermittlung der  
 1852 Lebensmittelaufnahme von Säuglingen und Kleinkindern für die Abschätzung eines akuten Toxizitätsrisikos durch Rückstände von Pflanzenschutzmitteln.

1853 (a): A 48-hour dietary recall comprises two consecutive days.  
 1854 (b): 5<sup>th</sup> or 95<sup>th</sup> percentile of intake calculated from less than 60 subjects require cautious interpretation as the results may not be statistically robust (EFSA, 2011a) and, therefore, for these dietary  
 1855 surveys/age classes, the 5<sup>th</sup>, 95<sup>th</sup> percentile estimates will not be presented in the intake results.  
 1856 (c): One subject was excluded from the dataset due to only one 24-hour dietary recall day being available, i.e. final n = 990.  
 1857 (d): The Swedish dietary records were introduced through the internet.

1858

1859 **Appendix C. Magnesium intake in males in different surveys according to age classes and country**

Age	Country	Survey	Intake expressed in mg/day					Intake expressed in mg/MJ				
			n <sup>(a)</sup>	Average	Median	P5	P95	n <sup>(a)</sup>	Average	Median	P5	P95
< 1 year	Finland	DIPP_2001_2009	247	76.2 <sup>(b)</sup>	80.0 <sup>(b)</sup>	<sup>(b)</sup>	<sup>(b)</sup>	245	42.2 <sup>(b)</sup>	37.8 <sup>(b)</sup>	<sup>(b)</sup>	<sup>(b)</sup>
	Germany	VELS	84	110.7	102.8	60.0	172.7	84	34.2	33.8	21.3	48.3
	Italy	INRAN_SCAI_2005_06	9	75.8	56.0	<sup>(c)</sup>	<sup>(c)</sup>	9	24.8	24.1	<sup>(c)</sup>	<sup>(c)</sup>
	United Kingdom	DNSIYC_2011	699	120.1	117.0	62.7	186.3	699	35.1	34.7	22.2	47.8
1 to < 3 years	Finland	DIPP_2001_2009	245	161.5	160.3	90.6	236.4	245	44.5	44.1	29.0	58.5
	Germany	VELS	174	169.4	166.5	106.7	249.8	174	36.4	35.6	25.7	47.9
	Italy	INRAN_SCAI_2005_06	20	173.1	170.2	<sup>(c)</sup>	<sup>(c)</sup>	20	35.1	35.9	<sup>(c)</sup>	<sup>(c)</sup>
	United Kingdom	DNSIYC_2011	663	167.6	164.2	96.8	247.4	663	40.0	39.3	28.2	53.1
	United Kingdom	NDNS-RollingProgrammeYears1-3	107	187.9	182.3	129.1	268.0	107	38.6	37.4	29.0	53.8
3 to < 10 years	Finland	DIPP_2001_2009	381	244.5	240.8	169.4	332.2	381	41.8	41.6	31.6	52.7
	France	INCA2	239	214.7	205.2	117.7	329.4	239	34.3	32.9	24.7	47.7
	Germany	EsKiMo	426	280.5	271.6	181.3	397.5	426	36.7	36.2	26.7	48.7
	Germany	VELS	146	201.5	190.4	124.7	307.2	146	35.8	35.4	26.3	48.1
	Italy	INRAN_SCAI_2005_06	94	249.4	238.2	160.9	365.7	94	34.4	31.6	24.3	48.5
	Netherlands	VCPBasis_AVL2007_2009	231	247.7	240.7	151.3	370.8	231	28.9	28.9	18.8	41.1
	United Kingdom	NDNS-RollingProgrammeYears1-3	326	224.9	217.8	138.6	325.6	326	35.8	34.8	25.8	48.6
	United Kingdom	NDNS-RollingProgrammeYears1-3	326	224.9	217.8	138.6	325.6	326	35.8	34.8	25.8	48.6
10 to < 18 years	Finland	NWSSP07_08	136	343.8	327.3	201.4	500.8	136	42.8	42.9	28.2	58.5
	France	INCA2	449	256.7	248.4	151.5	399.0	449	32.6	31.5	24.4	43.7
	Germany	EsKiMo	197	292.4	281.8	179.5	439.2	197	36.1	35.5	26.1	48.7
	Italy	INRAN_SCAI_2005_06	108	309.4	298.3	188.8	461.0	108	32.1	30.3	23.0	43.7
	Netherlands	VCPBasis_AVL2007_2009	566	298.4	283.6	167.9	473.3	566	28.0	27.4	17.5	40.2
	United Kingdom	NDNS-RollingProgrammeYears1-3	340	258.5	253.0	152.7	404.6	340	31.7	30.7	22.5	44.9
	United Kingdom	NDNS-RollingProgrammeYears1-3	340	258.5	253.0	152.7	404.6	340	31.7	30.7	22.5	44.9
18 to < 65 years	Finland	FINDIET2012	585	401.7	391.4	226.5	602.0	585	43.9	43.2	30.9	59.7
	France	INCA2	936	316.6	305.4	175.9	486.5	936	36.4	35.1	25.3	51.1
	Ireland	NANS_2012	634	367.3	355.8	192.6	576.5	634	36.7	36.0	24.5	52.3
	Italy	INRAN_SCAI_2005_06	1068	356.8	347.0	213.9	529.2	1068	39.9	38.0	27.3	58.6
	Netherlands	VCPBasis_AVL2007_2009	1023	387.8	371.5	217.6	597.3	1023	34.9	33.5	22.0	50.9
	Sweden	Riksmaten 2010	623	439.1	424.9	211.0	703.6	623	44.9	43.1	30.2	65.0
	United Kingdom	NDNS-RollingProgrammeYears1-3	560	320.9	312.0	169.9	510.6	560	36.6	35.6	24.0	51.8
	United Kingdom	NDNS-RollingProgrammeYears1-3	560	320.9	312.0	169.9	510.6	560	36.6	35.6	24.0	51.8

Age	Country	Survey	Intake expressed in mg/day				Intake expressed in mg/MJ					
			n <sup>(a)</sup>	Average	Median	P5	P95	n <sup>(a)</sup>	Average	Median	P5	P95
65 to < 75 years	Finland	FINDIET2012	210	360.1	350.6	202.3	544.0	210	44.6	44.2	30.1	61.5
	France	INCA2	111	312.0	309.2	175.2	465.0	111	36.2	35.4	26.7	47.5
	Ireland	NANS_2012	72	331.3	328.3	144.6	497.1	72	38.3	37.1	22.6	55.7
	Italy	INRAN_SCAI_2005_06	133	356.5	351.3	189.5	514.0	133	41.1	39.3	30.3	58.8
	Netherlands	VCPBasis_AVL2007_2009	91	341.7	336.0	214.3	488.0	91	37.8	37.0	25.8	53.1
	Sweden	Riksmaten 2010	127	406.9	378.5	230.8	639.8	127	47.5	44.7	36.5	67.0
	United Kingdom	NDNS-RollingProgrammeYears1-3	75	329.9	328.4	152.3	514.1	75	39.4	38.3	26.1	56.2
≥ 75 years	France	INCA2	40	284.8	278.8	(c)	(c)	40	37.0	35.4	(c)	(c)
	Ireland	NANS_2012	34	294.9	275.3	(c)	(c)	34	38.3	39.9	(c)	(c)
	Italy	INRAN_SCAI_2005_06	69	340.1	326.0	208.2	497.5	69	39.1	37.0	29.3	55.0
	Sweden	Riksmaten 2010	42	387.7	375.5	(c)	(c)	42	46.1	45.8	(c)	(c)
	United Kingdom	NDNS-RollingProgrammeYears1-3	56	264.3	241.1	(c)	(c)	56	36.7	36.9	(c)	(c)

1860 y, years; DIPP, type 1 Diabetes Prediction and Prevention survey; DNSIYC, Diet and nutrition survey of infants and young children; DNFCs, Dutch National Food Consumption Survey;  
 1861 EsKiMo, Ernährungsstudie als KIGGS-Modul; FINDIET, the national dietary survey of Finland; INCA, étude Individuelle Nationale de Consommations Alimentaires; INRAN-SCAI, Istituto  
 1862 Nazionale di Ricerca per gli Alimenti e la Nutrizione – Studio sui Consumi Alimentari in Italia; FC\_PREGNANTWOMEN, food consumption of pregnant women in Latvia; NANS, National  
 1863 Adult Nutrition Survey; NDNS, National Diet and Nutrition Survey; NWSSP, Nutrition and Wellbeing of Secondary School Pupils; VELS, Verzehrsstudie zur Ermittlung der  
 1864 Lebensmittelaufnahme von Säuglingen und Kleinkindern für die Abschätzung eines akuten Toxizitätsrisikos durch Rückstände von Pflanzenschutzmitteln.

1865 (a): Number of individuals in the population group.

1866 (b): Excluding the contribution from breast milk.

1867 (c): 5<sup>th</sup> or 95<sup>th</sup> percentile intakes calculated from less than 60 subjects require cautious interpretation as the results may not be statistically robust (EFSA, 2011a) and, therefore, for these dietary  
 1868 surveys/age classes, the 5<sup>th</sup> and 95<sup>th</sup> percentile estimates will not be presented in the intake results.

1869

1870 **Appendix D. Magnesium intake in females in different surveys according to age classes and country**

Age	Country	Survey	Intake expressed in mg/day					Intake expressed in mg/MJ				
			n <sup>(a)</sup>	Average	Median	P5	P95	n <sup>(a)</sup>	Average	Median	P5	P95
< 1 year	Finland	DIPP_2001_2009	252	71.7 <sup>(b)</sup>	72.8 <sup>(b)</sup>	<sup>(b)</sup>	<sup>(b)</sup>	251	45.1 <sup>(b)</sup>	42.5 <sup>(b)</sup>	<sup>(b)</sup>	<sup>(b)</sup>
	Germany	VELS	75	95.0	94.1	55.3	141.4	75	32.7	32.7	21.0	42.8
	Italy	INRAN_SCAI_2005_06	7	89.1	105.0	<sup>(c)</sup>	<sup>(c)</sup>	7	28.9	35.0	<sup>(c)</sup>	<sup>(c)</sup>
	United Kingdom	DNSIYC_2011	670	107.5	103.2	52.5	175.7	670	34.8	34.5	20.9	49.6
1 to < 3 years	Finland	DIPP_2001_2009	255	153.0	151.2	85.0	227.7	255	44.9	44.5	30.0	60.5
	Germany	VELS	174	154.5	149.4	93.1	234.0	174	36.2	34.9	26.2	50.9
	Italy	INRAN_SCAI_2005_06	16	166.0	167.5	<sup>(c)</sup>	<sup>(c)</sup>	16	35.3	34.3	<sup>(c)</sup>	<sup>(c)</sup>
	United Kingdom	DNSIYC_2011	651	156.3	151.6	87.0	241.5	651	39.6	39.1	26.7	54.0
	United Kingdom	NDNS-RollingProgrammeYears1-3	78	173.9	166.6	99.1	278.3	78	38.0	37.6	26.1	51.4
3 to < 10 years	Finland	DIPP_2001_2009	369	225.7	221.8	150.0	310.6	369	42.9	42.2	33.0	55.0
	France	INCA2	243	199.0	196.6	128.4	285.6	243	35.9	34.4	25.9	51.1
	Germany	EsKiMo	409	259.2	250.9	158.3	385.5	409	38.3	37.6	27.1	50.4
	Germany	VELS	147	184.2	178.1	114.4	268.9	147	35.7	34.7	25.3	48.8
	Italy	INRAN_SCAI_2005_06	99	238.0	233.8	157.5	352.7	99	33.1	31.6	25.3	50.5
	Netherlands	VCPBasis_AVL2007_2009	216	224.9	217.2	138.0	341.0	216	27.8	27.5	17.8	40.2
	United Kingdom	NDNS-RollingProgrammeYears1-3	325	217.6	211.1	128.0	328.0	325	36.3	35.1	26.2	50.6
10 to < 18 years	Finland	NWSSP07_08	170	291.8	284.7	166.2	443.4	170	44.4	44.2	30.8	59.6
	France	INCA2	524	213.1	207.2	122.1	321.3	524	33.8	32.6	24.4	47.6
	Germany	EsKiMo	196	278.1	274.9	171.9	395.2	196	37.3	36.9	27.2	48.3
	Italy	INRAN_SCAI_2005_06	139	260.9	250.6	161.1	397.2	139	32.9	31.8	23.0	51.3
	Latvia	FC_PREGNANTWOMEN_2011 <sup>(d)</sup>	12	384.2	393.8	<sup>(c)</sup>	<sup>(c)</sup>	12	39.0	40.3	<sup>(c)</sup>	<sup>(c)</sup>
	Netherlands	VCPBasis_AVL2007_2009	576	252.7	249.7	153.8	370.5	576	28.9	28.5	18.0	41.3
	United Kingdom	NDNS-RollingProgrammeYears1-3	326	214.7	207.2	119.1	327.9	326	31.9	30.4	21.6	46.6
18 to < 65 years	Finland	FINDIET2012	710	333.8	321.6	191.7	503.1	710	47.2	45.4	31.0	66.5
	France	INCA2	1340	253.8	244.7	141.4	403.0	1340	39.6	37.5	27.4	58.5
	Ireland	NANS_2012	640	276.0	264.0	149.6	427.6	640	37.6	36.8	24.4	53.7
	Italy	INRAN_SCAI_2005_06	1245	311.1	302.6	184.8	452.4	1245	43.5	40.9	28.2	67.6
	Latvia	FC_PREGNANTWOMEN_2011 <sup>(d)</sup>	990	352.6	337.9	226.2	531.9	990	41.6	40.1	29.6	59.7
	Netherlands	VCPBasis_AVL2007_2009	1034	302.4	289.7	174.0	468.6	1034	37.0	35.6	22.6	56.8
	Sweden	Riksmaten 2010	807	356.9	335.2	192.5	603.5	807	51.1	44.8	29.6	71.5
	United Kingdom	NDNS-RollingProgrammeYears1-3	706	257.7	252.2	133.1	405.7	706	39.1	37.4	24.7	57.6

Age	Country	Survey	Intake expressed in mg/day					Intake expressed in mg/MJ				
			n <sup>(a)</sup>	Average	Median	P5	P95	n <sup>(a)</sup>	Average	Median	P5	P95
65 to < 75 years	Finland	FINDIET2012	203	303.9	299.2	181.7	457.2	203	49.8	49.0	33.7	68.5
	France	INCA2	153	241.3	239.3	142.2	348.4	153	38.9	37.9	28.5	52.3
	Ireland	NANS_2012	77	296.8	287.4	162.1	444.5	77	43.8	43.1	27.3	60.1
	Italy	INRAN_SCAI_2005_06	157	298.2	292.7	181.1	433.9	157	44.1	41.6	30.4	65.2
	Netherlands	VCPBasis_AVL2007_2009	82	307.6	291.0	186.9	441.4	82	42.5	40.3	27.6	65.9
	Sweden	Riksmaten 2010	168	342.5	329.5	206.4	527.9	168	49.3	48.3	36.5	62.4
	United Kingdom	NDNS-RollingProgrammeYears1-3	91	263.4	253.7	141.2	401.2	91	43.9	41.7	28.2	73.8
≥ 75 years	France	INCA2	44	231.7	232.0	(c)	(c)	44	39.0	37.4	(c)	(c)
	Ireland	NANS_2012	43	279.9	288.1	(c)	(c)	43	44.6	41.7	(c)	(c)
	Italy	INRAN_SCAI_2005_06	159	282.1	274.2	183.8	422.9	159	42.9	40.1	29.6	68.5
	Sweden	Riksmaten 2010	30	346.5	333.5	(c)	(c)	30	51.2	47.4	(c)	(c)
	United Kingdom	NDNS-RollingProgrammeYears1-3	83	267.2	253.8	162.6	416.9	83	44.0	42.0	27.7	65.1

1871 y, years; DIPP, type 1 Diabetes Prediction and Prevention survey; DNSIYC, Diet and nutrition survey of infants and young children; DNFCS, Dutch National Food Consumption Survey;  
 1872 EsKiMo, Ernährungsstudie als KIGGS-Modul; FINDIET, the national dietary survey of Finland; INCA, étude Individuelle Nationale de Consommations Alimentaires; INRAN-SCAI, Istituto  
 1873 Nazionale di Ricerca per gli Alimenti e la Nutrizione – Studio sui Consumi Alimentari in Italia; FC\_PREGNANTWOMEN, food consumption of pregnant women in Latvia; NANS, National  
 1874 Adult Nutrition Survey; NDNS, National Diet and Nutrition Survey; NWSSP, Nutrition and Wellbeing of Secondary School Pupils; VELS, Verzehrsstudie zur Ermittlung der  
 1875 Lebensmittelaufnahme von Säuglingen und Kleinkindern für die Abschätzung eines akuten Toxizitätsrisikos durch Rückstände von Pflanzenschutzmitteln.

1876 (a): Number of individuals in the population group.

1877 (b): Excluding the contribution from breast milk.

1878 (c): 5<sup>th</sup> or 95<sup>th</sup> percentile intakes calculated from less than 60 subjects require cautious interpretation as the results may not be statistically robust (EFSA, 2011a) and, therefore, for these dietary  
 1879 surveys/age classes, the 5<sup>th</sup> and 95<sup>th</sup> percentile estimates will not be presented in the intake results.

1880 (d): Pregnant women only.

1881

1882 **Appendix E. Minimum and maximum % contribution of different FoodEx2 level1 food groups to magnesium intake in males**

Food groups	< 1 y	1 to < 3 y	3 to < 10 y	10 to < 18 y	18 to < 65 y	65 to < 75 y	≥ 75 y
Additives, flavours, baking and processing aids	< 0.1	< 0.1–0.1	0–0.2	0–0.3	0–0.1	0 <sup>(a)</sup>	0
Alcoholic beverages	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1–1.7	3.7–10.5	3–8.5	2.8–6.8
Animal and vegetable fats and oils	< 0.1–0.3	< 0.1–0.4	0.1–0.6	0.1–0.6	0.1–0.5	0.1–0.5	0.1–0.5
Coffee, cocoa, tea and infusions	< 0.1–0.4	< 0.1–3.9	1.3–10.3	2–8.2	5.6–23.4	8–22.6	7.9–19.4
Composite dishes	0.1–3.5	0.3–5.9	0.1–5.9	0.3–8.6	0.5–8.3	0.6–7.6	0.4–7.6
Eggs and egg products	< 0.1–0.2	0.2–0.6	0.2–0.9	0.2–0.9	0.2–0.9	0.2–0.9	0.3–0.8
Fish, seafood, amphibians, reptiles and invertebrates	< 0.1–0.4	0.2–3.1	0.3–3.1	0.3–3	0.7–2.7	0.9–3.7	2.2–4.1
Food products for young population	20.6–46.1	2.6–11	0.2–0.7	< 0.1–0.1	< 0.1	-	-
Fruit and fruit products	3.4–14.3	8.9–10.2	3.8–6.8	2.7–4.9	2.6–5.2	3.9–7.6	4.8–7
Fruit and vegetable juices and nectars	0.3–1.7	0.8–3.9	2.1–5.7	1.9–5.3	0.7–3.3	0.3–2.6	0.5–2.1
Grains and grain-based products	4.6–19	24–29.9	22.8–39.5	26.5–40.8	21.8–33.6	21.2–36.9	21.5–39.9
Human milk	< 0.1–16.1	< 0.1–0.6	-	-	-	-	-
Legumes, nuts, oilseeds and spices	0.7–2.4	1.4–3.8	1.6–5.6	2–5.1	2.3–5.7	2.4–6.5	1.6–3.8
Meat and meat products	0.4–3.8	3.1–6.5	4.8–9.1	5.6–11.2	5.9–11	5.7–10.1	5–9.3
Milk and dairy products	8.5–15.5	21.5–27.6	14.7–30.1	11.2–25.3	7.4–15.1	6.7–14.4	9.3–12
Products for non-standard diets, food imitates and food supplements or fortifying agents	0.1–0.6	0–0.6	< 0.1–1	0.1–0.8	< 0.1–2.2	< 0.1–0.5	< 0.1–1.5
Seasoning, sauces and condiments	0.1–0.4	0.6–2.4	0.2–2.1	0.2–2.3	0.2–2.2	0.2–2.3	0.2–2.6
Starchy roots or tubers and products thereof, sugar plants	0.6–11.9	2.9–10.4	4.4–9.1	5.3–11	3.6–9.7	3.7–11.1	4.8–10.7
Sugar, confectionery and water-based sweet desserts	< 0.1–0.3	0.2–4.1	2.1–5.7	2.3–6	0.8–2.4	0.3–1.8	0.3–2.1
Vegetables and vegetable products	1.1–8.7	2.5–5.9	3–8.4	2.9–10.7	2.2–11.2	2.4–12.6	2.9–10.7
Water and water-based beverages	1.3–17.5	2.5–6.9	1.9–7	2.6–7.9	1.8–6.2	1.2–5.6	1.2–5.9

1883 y, years

1884 (a): ‘-’ means that there was no consumption event of the food group for the age and sex group considered, while ‘0’ means that there were some consumption events, but that the food group  
 1885 does not contribute to the intake of the nutrient considered, for the age and sex group considered.  
 1886

1887

1888 **Appendix F. Minimum and maximum % contribution of different FoodEx2 level1 food groups to magnesium intake in females**

Food groups	< 1 y	1 to < 3 y	3 to < 10 y	10 to < 18 y	18 to < 65 y	65 to < 75 y	≥ 75 y
Additives, flavours, baking and processing aids	< 0.1	0–0.1	0–0.2	0–0.2	0–0.1	0 <sup>(a)</sup>	0
Alcoholic beverages	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1–0.3	< 0.1–3.9	0.6–3.3	1.2–1.9
Animal and vegetable fats and oils	< 0.1–0.3	0.1–0.4	0.1–0.6	0.1–0.6	0.1–0.5	0.1–0.5	0.1–0.5
Coffee, cocoa, tea and infusions	< 0.1–0.4	0.1–5	0.4–11.2	2.7–8.2	5.3–23.4	11.5–22.1	9.9–22
Composite dishes	< 0.1–1.9	0.3–5.7	0.1–5.9	0.4–9	0.5–8.6	0.3–9.1	0.5–7.8
Eggs and egg products	< 0.1–0.2	0.2–0.6	0.3–1.3	0.1–1	0.3–0.8	0.3–0.8	0.3–0.9
Fish, seafood, amphibians, reptiles and invertebrates	0–0.4	0.1–3.5	0.2–2.5	0.3–4	0.7–2.8	0.8–3.7	1.7–3.4
Food products for young population	20.1–51.9	2.3–11.1	< 0.1–0.4	< 0.1–0.1	< 0.1	-	< 0.1
Fruit and fruit products	7.5–12.7	6.4–9.5	4.1–7.4	3.5–10.4	4.1–7.6	5.8–9.5	5.8–9.3
Fruit and vegetable juices and nectars	0.1–1.3	0.8–3.8	2.1–5.1	1.8–5.3	0.8–2.8	0.8–2.3	0.8–2.1
Grains and grain-based products	12.9–18.9	23.4–34	21.7–38.6	25.6–38.9	20.2–35.4	18.9–35.5	17–38.5
Human milk	< 0.1–6.8	< 0.1–0.5	-	-	-	-	-
Legumes, nuts, oilseeds and spices	0.6–3	1.3–4.1	1.9–4.7	2.2–4.5	2.5–6.5	2.5–5.1	2.2–4.9
Meat and meat products	0.7–3.2	3.1–5.3	4.3–9.5	5.3–10.1	5.3–9	4.4–8.8	4–8.4
Milk and dairy products	4.6–21.8	20.4–31.2	14.7–30.3	10.1–22	8.5–15.7	8.4–14.5	10.2–13.1
Products for non-standard diets, food imitates and food supplements or fortifying agents	< 0.1–0.4	< 0.1–0.6	0–1.3	< 0.1–1	0.1–3.8	0.2–0.9	< 0.1–1.8
Seasoning, sauces and condiments	0.2–0.5	0.2–0.8	0.4–2.1	0.1–2.4	0.2–2.4	0.2–3.1	0.3–2.9
Starchy roots or tubers and products thereof, sugar plants	2.4–11.5	4.8–9.1	4.9–9.8	5–11	3.5–9.9	4.2–8.3	4–7.6
Sugar, confectionery and water-based sweet desserts	< 0.1–1.2	0.2–3.7	2.1–6.2	2.6–6.1	0.8–7.6	0.4–2	0.4–2.3
Vegetables and vegetable products	3.3–9.4	2.2–6.6	3.3–8.5	3.4–11	3.4–12.1	3.3–13.1	3.9–12.7
Water and water-based beverages	1.5–10.8	2.5–7.7	1.9–7	1.6–7.9	1.3–7.5	1.7–7	1.7–7.5

1889 y, years

1890 (a): ‘-’ means that there was no consumption event of the food group for the age and sex group considered, while ‘0’ means that there were some consumption events, but that the food group

1891 does not contribute to the intake of the nutrient considered, for the age and sex group considered.

1892

1893 Appendix G. Balance studies in adults with adaptation periods of  $\geq 12$  days

Reference	Number of subjects	Characteristics	Experimental period	Data collection for balance	Magnesium intake (mg/day unless otherwise indicated) mean $\pm$ standard error	Balance (mg/day), mean $\pm$ standard error	Comments
Andersson et al. (1983)	6 subjects, 1 woman 5 men	25–55 years	3 consecutive 24 day-periods, 12 days of adaptation	Last 12 days of each period, urine and faecal losses considered	A: $224 \pm 29/236 \pm 29$ <sup>(a, b)</sup> B: $308 \pm 19$ <sup>(a)</sup> C: $404 \pm 19$ <sup>(a)</sup> duplicate diet analysis	$-9.7 \pm 26.7/-19.4 \pm 19.4$ <sup>(a, b)</sup> $-34.0 \pm 19.4/-26.7 \pm 26.7$ <sup>(a)</sup> $-19.4 \pm 24.3/-14.6 \pm 12.2$ <sup>(a)</sup>	Subjects housed in metabolic ward; periods differed by the type of bread providing 3.3 (A), 10.9 (B), or 18.7 g (C) of non-starch polysaccharides/day and about 2.1–2.3 mmol phytate/day, mean total fibre intake 16.1 (A), 23.7 (B), or 31.5 (C) g/day
Hunt and Johnson (2006)	150 women, 93 men	19–77 years 19–65 years, mostly white	At least 12 days of adaptation (median 31 days), at least 18 days including balance	6–12 days, urine and faecal losses considered	Dietary intake $\approx$ 80–600, additional supplemental intake of 50–171 in 4 studies, another 6 studies had 50 % of data points with supplemental intake, duplicate diet analysis	165 mg/day (null balance)	Pooled analysis of 27 studies (664 data points) carried out from 1976–2001 in a metabolic ward under strict supervision of subjects; studies with supplements used Mg gluconate in addition to the diet, except for one study that used Mg citrate dibasic
Kelsay and Prather (1983)	12 men	34–58 years, 61–97 kg	28 days	Last 14 days, urine and faecal losses considered	A: $300 \pm 11/308 \pm 10$ <sup>(b)</sup> B: $375 \pm 10/350 \pm 7$ <sup>(b)</sup> C: $346 \pm 10/326 \pm 10$ <sup>(b)</sup> , duplicate diet analysis	$-20 \pm 14/20 \pm 14$ <sup>(b)</sup> $28 \pm 10/-10 \pm 13$ <sup>(b)</sup> $21 \pm 5/18 \pm 13$ <sup>(b)</sup>	Subjects housed in metabolic ward during the week, but no supervision on weekends; diets were low fibre with spinach (A), higher fibre with spinach (B) and higher fibre without spinach (C); when pooling data for weeks 3 and 4, diet had no effect on Mg balance
Kelsay et al. (1979)	12 men	37–58 years	26 days, 21 days of adaptation	Last 7 days	$356 \pm 10$ (low fibre diet) $322 \pm 12$ (high fibre diet), duplicate diet analysis	$28 \pm 17$ (low fibre diet) $-32 \pm 10$ (high fibre diet), P < 0.01	High fibre diet had $\approx$ 24 g/day from fruits and vegetables, low fibre diet had $\approx$ 5 g/day

Reference	Number of subjects	Characteristics	Experimental period	Data collection for balance	Magnesium intake (mg/day unless otherwise indicated) mean $\pm$ standard error	Balance (mg/day), mean $\pm$ standard error	Comments
Lakshmanan et al. (1984)	18 women, 16 men	20–53 years	1 year	1 week per season, 4 collection periods in total, urine and faecal losses considered	234 (women) 323 (men) 0.14 mg/kcal per day (both sexes), 4.3 mg/kg body weight per day (men) 4.15 mg/kg body weight per day (women), duplicate diet analysis	-25 mg/day (women) -32 mg/day (men)	Self-selected diets, free-living subjects Effect of magnesium, calcium, phosphorus, protein, and fibre intakes on urinary and faecal excretion and balance tested separately in men and women, with no consistent influence noted
Mahalko et al. (1983)	10 men	19–64 years, 76 $\pm$ 11 kg	28 days, 16 days of adaptation	Last 12 days, urine and faecal losses considered	229 $\pm$ 24 <sup>(a)</sup> 258 $\pm$ 24 <sup>(a)</sup> duplicate diet analysis	13 $\pm$ 30 (65 g protein/day) <sup>(a)</sup> 17 $\pm$ 36 (94 g protein/day) <sup>(a)</sup>	Subjects housed in metabolic ward; the study was done at USDA but not included in the meta-analysis of Hunt and Johnson (2006)
Nielsen and Milne (2004)	21 post-menopausal women in groups of 9 or 12	50–76 years, 65.1 $\pm$ 9.5 kg	90 days, 12 days of adaptation	Last 36 days, urine and faecal losses considered	310 (low Cu, low Zn) 313 (low Cu, high Zn) 328 (high Cu, low Zn) 334 (high Cu, high Zn), 180 mg/day as supplemental Mg gluconate, duplicate diet analysis	23.1 1.0 26.0 5.6	Subjects housed in metabolic ward, 4 diets combining high (3 mg) and low (1 mg) copper with high (53 mg) and low (3 mg) zinc intakes daily, high dietary zinc significantly decreased magnesium balance. the study was done at USDA but not included in the meta-analysis of Hunt and Johnson (2006)
Schwartz et al. (1984)	8 men	48–62 years, 55–94 kg	100–130 days, at least 22 days of adaptation	Collection of urine and faeces for 5-6 days after each test day and throughout study	331–447 mg/day, 50 mg/day as Mg oxide	All balances were positive (from 6 to 48 mg/day)	Subjects housed in metabolic ward, study focused on magnesium absorption from 4 freeze-dried leafy vegetables incorporated into muffins
Schwartz et al. (1978)	4 men	48–75 years, 67–83 kg	149 days, at least 35 days of adaptation	Days 66–76 and 109–119, urine and faecal losses considered	243–321, including 50 mg/day of supplemental Mg oxide	Individual balances from -44 to + 20 (4 positive or null, 4 negative), no statistics	Subjects housed in metabolic ward, objective of the study was magnesium absorption

Reference	Number of subjects	Characteristics	Experimental period	Data collection for balance	Magnesium intake (mg/day unless otherwise indicated) mean $\pm$ standard error	Balance (mg/day), mean $\pm$ standard error	Comments
Spencer et al. (1994)	5 men	38–75 years, osteoporosis or psychoneurosis, otherwise healthy	Unclear, but at least 28 days of adaptation	Unclear if more than 6 days, urine and faecal losses considered	240 $\pm$ 24 (normal Ca) 264 $\pm$ 26 (low Ca), duplicate diet analysis; periods with supplemental Mg not considered here	-26 $\pm$ 14 -23 $\pm$ 21	Subjects housed in metabolic ward
Wisker et al. (1991)	12 women	22–28 years	3 separate experiments each for 22 days with 14 days of adaptation	Last 6 days, urine and faecal losses considered	252 $\pm$ 9 245 $\pm$ 8 243 $\pm$ 9, duplicate diet analysis	7.3 $\pm$ 4.9 4.9 $\pm$ 2.4 -12 $\pm$ 4.9	Comparison of low fibre (22.5 g/day and 1.1 g protein/kg body weight per day), high fibre/high protein (38.6 g/day and 1.1 g protein/kg body weight per day) and high fibre/adequate protein (38.6 g/day and 0.8 g protein/kg body weight per day)
Schwartz et al. (1986)	7 men	22–32 years	1–3 weeks	7 day periods	657–719 Duplicate diet analysis	Balance close to zero, conclusions more on experimental requirements than on balances	The objective was to determine apparent magnesium absorption in the presence of bran.
van Dokkum et al. (1983)	10–12 men	23 $\pm$ 2 years, 67 $\pm$ 6 kg	16 days	20 days	312–389 Duplicate diet analysis	From 3 $\pm$ 15 to 16 $\pm$ 23 <sup>(a)</sup>	The objective was to determine the influence of fat and linoleic acid on absorption (no impact on magnesium balance); no negative balance with intakes of 300–400 mg/day
Nishimuta et al. (2006)	10 men, 80 women	18–28 years	Not reported	8–12 days	154–334 mg/day, 2.4–6.4 mg/kg body weight per day	Null balance at 4.1 mg/kg body weight per day (regression, $r^2 = 0.141$ )	Sodium intake can influence magnesium absorption and balance

1894 Studies not included in this table because of adaptation periods below 12 days: Slavin and Marlett (1980); Greger and Baier (1983); McDonald and Margen (1979) and because of short  
 1895 duration of adaptation and balance periods: Jones et al. (1967).

1896 (a): mean value  $\pm$  SD.

1897 (b): values for 3<sup>rd</sup>/4<sup>th</sup> period of 6 or 7 days.

1898

1899

1900

**Appendix H. Balance studies in children**

Reference	Number of subjects	Age (years)	Experimental period	Data collection for balance	Magnesium intake (mg/day unless otherwise specified), mean $\pm$ standard deviation	Balance (mg/day unless otherwise specified), mean $\pm$ standard deviation	Comments
Abrams et al. (1997)	12 boys	10.9 $\pm$ 1.1	10 days	Not reported	261 $\pm$ 40 (6.4 $\pm$ 1.2 mg/kg per day) (range 194–321)	15.6 $\pm$ 36.8	11 out of 25 subjects were in negative balance
	13 girls	12.3 $\pm$ 1.6				-0.9 $\pm$ 41.2	
Andon et al. (1996)	13 girls	11.3 $\pm$ 0.5	14 days	Samples from the 2 <sup>nd</sup> week used to calculate magnesium balance	Basal diet: 193 $\pm$ 39 Basal diet plus calcium supplement: 199 $\pm$ 45	19 $\pm$ 25	Magnesium balance study conducted 15 weeks after the start of supplementation trial. All balances positive if intake > 5 mg/kg per day. Calcium intake has no effect on magnesium balance
	13 girls	11.3 $\pm$ 0.7				22 $\pm$ 15	
Greger et al. (1978)	14 girls	12.5–14.5	30 days in total. 9 days equilibration, 21 days for experimental diets	Meal and urine samples on a daily basis, faecal samples each 6 days period. Excreta not collected during the first 3 days of adjustment period	Baseline: 196 $\pm$ 17 S <sub>0</sub> Z <sub>13.4</sub> : 190 $\pm$ 26 S <sub>30</sub> Z <sub>7.4</sub> : 195 $\pm$ 29 S <sub>30</sub> Z <sub>13.4</sub> : 195 $\pm$ 29	-5.0 $\pm$ 26.8 -5.6 $\pm$ 16.5 -6.8 $\pm$ 10.4 -1.8 $\pm$ 2.2	Magnesium concentration in the metabolic diet was insufficient for most of the girls to maintain positive balances
Schofield and Morrell (1960)	35 children	7–9	7 weeks	Not reported	From 135.6 $\pm$ 2.5 to 231.7 $\pm$ 12.7 in three different groups	All balances positive (from 10.4 $\pm$ 6.4 to 16.2 $\pm$ 8.6)	Low protein diets (17–20 g/day)

Reference	Number of subjects	Age (years)	Experimental period	Data collection for balance	Magnesium intake (mg/day unless otherwise specified), mean ± standard deviation	Balance (mg/day unless otherwise specified), mean ± standard deviation	Comments
Schwartz et al. (1973)	12 boys	13–14	30 days	10 days, 5 first days of each 15-day experimental period regarded as adjustment period and excreta not collected	LPLM: 4.3 ± 0.21 <sup>a</sup> LPHM: 14.5 ± 0.61 <sup>a</sup> HPLM: 4.1 ± 0.16 <sup>a</sup> HPHM: 13.1 ± 0.5 <sup>a</sup>	-0.62 ± 0.07 <sup>(a)</sup> 0.88 ± 0.48 <sup>(a)</sup> 0.19 ± 0.08 <sup>(a)</sup> 1.25 ± 0.26 <sup>(a)</sup>	Diets with either low (43 g/day) or high (93 g/day) amount of protein or magnesium Magnesium retention was significantly increased by consumption of the high protein diet
Sojka et al. (1997)	5 girls	12–14	2 × 21 days with 5 week interval in-between	14 days	800 mg Ca: 305 ± 30 1 800 mg Ca: 286 ± 9	13 ± 35 (1/5 negative) -34 ± 48 (4/5 negative)	

1901 Ca, calcium; S<sub>0</sub>,Z<sub>13.4</sub>, 13.4 mg zinc and no soy; S<sub>30</sub>,Z<sub>13.4</sub>, 13.4 mg zinc and 30 % of meat replaced by soy; S<sub>30</sub>,Z<sub>7.4</sub>, 7.4 mg zinc and 30 % of meat replaced by soy; LPLM, low protein and low  
 1902 magnesium diet; LPHM, Low protein and high magnesium diet; HPLM, high protein and low magnesium diet; HPHM, high protein high magnesium diet  
 1903 (a): mg/kg

1904

1905 **Appendix I. Characteristics of prospective cohort studies on magnesium intake and risk of type 2 diabetes (adapted from Dong et al. (2011))**

Reference	Number of subjects, place of study (number of cases)	Age (years)	Duration (years)	Median magnesium intake (highest vs. lowest, mg/day)	Adjusted RR unless otherwise specified (95 % CI)	Adjustment for potential confounders
Hodge et al. (2004)	34 641 adults Australia (365)	40–69	4	773 vs. 230 <sup>(a)</sup>	0.55 (0.32–0.97)	Age, BMI, sex, education, country of birth, family history, WHR, weight change, physical activity, and intakes of total energy and alcohol
Hopping et al. (2010)	75 512 adults, USA (8 587)	45–75	14	370 vs. 260 <sup>(b)</sup>	Men: 0.77 (0.70–0.85); women: 0.84 (0.76–0.93)	BMI, physical activity, education, ethnicity, and total energy intake
Kao et al. (1999)	11 896 adults, USA (1 106)	45–64	6	361 vs. 154 <sup>(c)</sup>	White: 1.08 (0.78–1.49); black: 0.98 (0.57–1.72)	Age, BMI, sex, education, family history, WHR, sports index, diuretic use, and intakes of alcohol, calcium, and potassium
Kim et al. (2010)	4 497 adults, USA (330)	18–30	20	403 vs. 200 <sup>(d)</sup>	0.53 (0.32–0.86)	Age, BMI, sex, ethnicity, study centre, education, smoking, physical activity, family history, systolic blood pressure, and intakes of total energy, alcohol, saturated fat, and crude fibre
Kirii et al. (2010)	17 592 adults, Japan (459)	40–65	5	303 vs. 158	0.64 (0.44–0.94)	Age, BMI, family history, smoking, hours of walking and sports participation, and intakes of total energy, alcohol, green tea, and coffee
Lopez-Ridaura et al. (2004)	42 872 men, USA (1 333)	40–75	11	457 vs. 270	0.72 (0.58–0.89)	Age, BMI, family history, hypertension, hypercholesterolemia, smoking, physical activity, and intakes of total energy, alcohol, glycaemic load, PUFA, TFA, processed meat, and cereal fibre
Lopez-Ridaura et al. (2004)	85 060 women, U.S. (4 085)	30–55	17	373 vs. 222	0.73 (0.65–0.82)	Age, BMI, family history, hypertension, hypercholesterolemia, smoking, physical activity, and intakes of total energy, alcohol, glycaemic load, PUFA, TFA, processed meat, and cereal fibre

Reference	Number of subjects, place of study (number of cases)	Age (years)	Duration (years)	Median magnesium intake (highest vs. lowest, mg/day)	Adjusted RR unless otherwise specified (95 % CI)	Adjustment for potential confounders
Meyer et al. (2000)	35 988, USA (1 141)	55–69	6	362 vs. 220	0.67 (0.55–0.82)	Age, BMI, education, smoking, WHR, physical activity, intakes of total energy, alcohol, whole grains, and cereal fibre
Nanri et al. (2010)	59 791 adults, Japan (1 114)	45–75	5	348 vs. 213	Men: 0.86 (0.63–1.16); women 0.92 (0.66–1.28)	Age, BMI, study area, smoking, family history, leisure time physical activity, hypertension, and intakes of total energy, alcohol, coffee, and calcium
Schulze et al. (2007)	25 067 adults, Germany (844)	35–65	7	377 vs. 268	0.99 (0.78–1.26)	Age, BMI, sex, education, sports activity, cycling, occupational activity, smoking, WC, and intakes of total energy, alcohol, carbohydrate, PUFA-to-SFA ratio, MUFA-to-SFA ratio, and cereal fibre
Song et al. (2004)	38 025 women, USA (918)	≥ 45	6	399 vs. 252	0.89 (0.71–1.10)	Age, BMI, family history, smoking, physical activity, and intakes of total energy and alcohol
van Dam et al. (2006)	41 186 women, USA (1 964)	21–69	6	244 vs. 115	0.65 (0.54–0.78)	Age, BMI, education, family history, smoking, physical activity, and intakes of total energy, alcohol, coffee, sugar-sweetened drinks, red meat, processed meat, and calcium
Villegas et al. (2009)	64 191 women, China (2 270)	40–70	6.9	318 vs. 214	0.80 (0.68–0.93)	Age, BMI, WHR, smoking, physical activity, income, education, occupation, hypertension, and intakes of total energy and alcohol
Weng et al. (2012)	1 604 adults, Taiwan (141)	38–63	4.6	406 vs. 212	2.61 (1.42–4.79) <sup>(e)</sup>	Age, sex, age-sex interaction, caloric intake, residential area, family history of diabetes, BMI, central obesity, education, smoking habits, current drinking habits, frequency of activity, hypertension, hypercholesterolaemia, hypertriglyceridaemia and low HDL-cholesterol

- 1906 BMI, body mass index; CI, confidence interval; MUFA, monounsaturated fatty acid; PUFA, polyunsaturated fatty acid; RR, relative risk; SFA, saturated fatty acid; TFA, trans fatty acid; WC, waist circumference; vs., versus; WHR, waist-to-hip ratio.
- 1907
- 1908 (a): The primary paper reports median magnesium intake (g/day) across glycaemic index quartiles.
- 1909 (b): The primary paper reports median magnesium intake expressed as g/4 184 KJ/day.
- 1910 (c): The primary paper reports mean magnesium intake expressed as mg/4.2 KJ.
- 1911 (d): The primary paper reports median magnesium intake expressed as mg/1 000 kcal.
- 1912 (e): Hazard ratio using the highest quintile as the reference category.

1913 **ABBREVIATIONS**

Afssa	Agence française de sécurité sanitaire des aliments
AI	Adequate Intake
AR	Average Requirement
BMI	body mass index
COMA	Committee on Medical Aspects of Food Policy
CVD	cardiovascular disease
Da	Dalton
D–A–CH	Deutschland–Austria–Confoederatio Helvetica
DH	UK Department of Health
DIPP	Type 1 Diabetes Prediction and Prevention survey
DNFCS	Dutch National Food Consumption Survey
DNSIYC	Diet and Nutrition Survey of Infants and Young Children
DRV	Dietary Reference Value
EAR	Estimated Average Requirement
EsKiMo	Ernährungsstudie als KIGGS-Modul
EU	European Union
FAO	Food and Agriculture Organization
INCA	Etude Individuelle Nationale des Consommations Alimentaires
INRAN-SCAI	Istituto Nazionale di Ricerca per gli Alimenti e la Nutrizione – Studio sui Consumi Alimentari in Italia
IOM	U.S. Institute of Medicine of the National Academy of Sciences
LTI	Lower Threshold Intake
NANS	National Adult Nutrition Survey
NDNS	National Diet and Nutrition Survey
NNR	Nordic Nutrition Recommendations
NOAEL	No Observed Adverse Effect Level
NWSSP	Nutrition and Wellbeing of Secondary School Pupils

PRI	Population Reference Intake
RI	Recommended Intake
RDA	Recommended Dietary Allowance
RR	relative risk
SCF	Scientific Committee for Food
UL	Tolerable Upper Intake Level
UNU	United Nations University
VELS	Verzehrsstudie zur Ermittlung der Lebensmittelaufnahme von Säuglingen und Kleinkindern für die Abschätzung eines akuten Toxizitätsrisikos durch Rückstände von Pflanzenschutzmitteln
WHO	World Health Organization

1914